


BAT383

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES OFFICE ANNUAL MERIT REVIEW

UNDERSTANDING IMPACT OF LOCAL HETEROGENEITIES DURING FAST CHARGE



KANDLER SMITH (PRESENTER)

National Renewable Energy
Laboratory (NREL)

HAKIM IDDIR (PRESENTER)

Argonne National Laboratory
(ANL)

MATTHEW KEYSER (P.I.)

National Renewable Energy
Laboratory

CO-AUTHORS:

- **NREL:** Francois Usseglio-Viretta, Weijie Mai, Andrew Colclasure, Shriram Santhanagopalan
- **ANL:** Dennis Dees, Juan Garcia, Shabbir Ahmad
- **PURDUE UNIVERSITY:** Partha Mukherjee, Aashutosh Mistry

OVERVIEW

Timeline

- Start: October 1, 2017
- End: September 30, 2021
- Percent Complete: 37%

Budget

- Funding for FY19 – \$6,390k
 - ANL – \$2,400k
 - NREL – \$1,600K
 - INL – \$440K
 - SLAC – \$1,000K
 - LBNL – \$950K

Barriers


- Cell degradation during fast charge
- Low energy density and high cost of fast charge cells

Partners

- Argonne National Laboratory (ANL)
- Idaho National Laboratory (INL)
- Lawrence Berkeley National Lab (LBNL)
- National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL)
- SLAC National Accelerator Lab

RELEVANCE – TRANSPORT LIMITATIONS



Transport limitations impact onset of lithium (Li) plating during fast charge



- When electrolyte Li^+ ions become depleted in graphite electrode, only the front of the electrode is used (BAT371)
- Excessive charge rate at the front leads to graphite saturation and Li plating
- Experiments show solid diffusion in graphite improves with higher charge rates (BAT371)
- This phenomena needs to be better understood (this presentation)

RELEVANCE – HETEROGENEITIES

Heterogeneities at all length scales cause early onset of Li plating



Main focus to date (this presentation)

Cell-scale heterogeneities²

Ira Bloom (ANL)



OBJECTIVES

10-15 minute fast charge of high-energy, 15-year-life electric vehicle (EV) battery

- Aid XCEL team in the interpretation of fast-charge experiments
 - Explain apparent increase in Li diffusivity in graphite at high rates
 - Explain patterns of localized Li plating
 - Accurately predict onset of Li plating
 - Li is not always visible or detectable yet still contributes to capacity fade on fast charge)
- Use models as feedback to experiments and provide guidance on designs/requirements/strategies to
 - Improve fast charge acceptance
 - Suppress Li plating

FY19 MILESTONES

NREL Milestones (shared with BAT340)	Date	Status
Simulation-based comparison of electrochemical response of ANL graphite electrode library using 3D geometry obtained from tomography. Correlate heterogeneity of electrode utilization with electrode morphology.	Q1	Complete
Demonstrate first simulation cases predicting the onset of Li plating side reaction guiding future quantification of how active material heterogeneous utilization impacts degradation.	Q2	Complete
Rationalize performance and degradation experimental findings from NREL, ANL, and INL using models to explain underlying mechanisms behind observed electrochemical performance and degradation. (Go/No-go)	Q3	On track
Propose design guidelines for active material particle size, morphology, and composite electrode tortuosity, as well as advanced strategies/architectures that can help batteries achieve 10-minute charge.	Q4	On track



ANL Milestones	Date	Status
Use atomistic models to examine possible mechanisms for apparent increase of Li diffusion coefficient in graphite at higher rates.	Q4	On track

TECHNICAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PROGRESS

1) ATOMISTIC MODELING OF LI TRANSPORT IN GRAPHITE

APPROACH – ATOMISTIC MODELING


Spin-polarized density functional theory (DFT) as implemented in the Vienna Ab Initio Simulation Package (VASP).



- The exchange-correlation potentials are treated by the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) parametrized by Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE).
- The interaction between valence electrons and ion cores is described by the projected augmented wave (PAW) method.
- The GGA+U (Hubbard potential correction) scheme is used for applying the on-site correlation effects among 3d electrons of the transition metals (TM).
- After geometry optimizations within the DFT+U framework, electronic relaxation was performed using a single point calculation with the hybrid functional HSE06.
- The Nudged Elastic Band (NEB) method was used to calculate the energy barrier for Li diffusion

ATOMISTIC MODELING

Is there a new mechanism of lithium diffusion in graphite during fast charge?





- Li diffusion in graphite has been measured at different charge states [1, 2, 3], and modeled using a combination of DFT, cluster expansion, and kinetic Monte Carlo techniques [4]. DFT-based modeling has also been performed to include some edge effects (edge defects, O and H terminated) [5].
- Existing models cannot explain recent data on fast charging
- New possible diffusion mechanisms and configurations will be investigated using DFT:
 1. **Effect of C-C spacing (d) and local Li concentration on the diffusion mechanism**
 2. **Effect of electronic configuration on the diffusion mechanism**
 3. **Effect of defects (C vacancies, Stone-Wales, ...) on the diffusion mechanism**



1. Guo et al. *New Carbon Materials*, Vol. 22(1), 7-11 (2007)
2. Thomas-Alyea et al. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 164(11) E3063-E3072 (2017)
3. Langer et al. *Phys. Rev. B* 88, 094304 (2013)
4. Person et al. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2010, 1, 1176–1180 (DOI: 10.1021/jz100188d)
5. Leggesse et al. *Carbon* 103 (2016) 209-216

GRAPHITE INTER-PLANAR DISTANCE DURING FAST CHARGING

Assume at the beginning of the fast charge that there are more electrons than Li^+ ions.




- For LiC_{36} the insertion of Li increases all the inter-planar distances. (no extra charge)
- Extra charge increases the inter-planar distances d1 and d3 (next to Li layer) more than any other channel
- The inter-planar distance d2 (where Li sits) decreases for excess electron charges between 4 and 10 (increased ionic character)
- The next more favorable stage would fill the channels next to Li, which is opposite to what happens in slow charge conditions
- Evidence of a different mechanism for graphite lithiation under fast charging conditions.




KINETICS DURING THE FAST CHARGING PROCESS

- The NEB method was used to calculate the energy barrier for Li diffusion
- A supercell with very dilute Li concentration (LiC_{72}) is used to investigate the effect of the presence of extra electrons in the system
- The energy barrier decreases when the number of extra electrons increases
- The decrease in the energy barrier for Li diffusion is consistent with the increase of the inter-planar distance

Change in energy along Li diffusion reaction coordinate





Energy barrier for Li diffusion as a function of extra electrons




CONCERTED LI DIFFUSION

Neutral System




- The energy barrier for Li diffusion is reduced from 0.34 eV to 0.22 eV for high Li local concentrations (fast charge conditions)
- The energy barrier for Li diffusion is slightly reduced from 0.34 eV to 0.32 eV for first Li migration and to ~0.26 eV for the second Li migration (fast charge conditions)



CONCERTED LI DIFFUSION


Neutral System



The energy barrier for Li diffusion is higher for concerted migration (translation mode):
Not favorable Li migration concentration profile

CONCERTED LI DIFFUSION

Neutral System



The energy barrier for Li diffusion is drastically reduced for concerted migration (concentration front: “asynchronous” mode): Increased Li diffusion coefficient

TECHNICAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PROGRESS

2) IMPACT OF HETEROGENEITIES ON LOCALIZED / EARLY ONSET OF LI PLATING

APPROACH – ELECTROCHEMICAL MODEL (BAT371) EXTENDED TO MULTIPLE LENGTH SCALES

...to quantify heterogeneity influences on localized/early onset of plating

1) Edge effects

Round 2 cell aged at 6C






Photo: Eric Dufek and Tanvir Tanim, INL

2) Coating heterogeneity



3) Particle heterogeneity



- **3D meso-scale model**
- **3D microstructure model**
- **XCEL electrodes:** 3D electrode geometry imaged using micro- and nano-computed tomography
- **Virtual electrodes:** Stochastic reconstruction algorithms generate “what-if” geometries (BAT299)



MODELING OF ELECTRODE EDGE EFFECTS (1/2)

Anode overhangs cathode by 0.5 mm. Excess anode at edge (1) suppresses Li plating and (2) causes excessive cathode utilization


- 2D electrochemical model shows excessive C-rates in NMC at cathode edge on first 6C charge
- Damage model¹ relates NMC diffusivity to maximum C-rate

$$D_{s,\text{eff}} = \alpha D_{s,0}; \quad 0 < \alpha < 1$$

$$\alpha = (1 - f_{\text{damage}})^{\gamma}$$



max [C rate(x, t)]



average [C rate (x, t)]


NMC: lithium nickel manganese cobalt oxide

1. Barai and Mukherjee. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* (2013)


MODELING OF ELECTRODE EDGE EFFECTS (2/2)

No plating zone increases from 1 mm to 2.3 mm over five cycles due to cathode damage. Explains localized Li plating in Round 2 cell.

Lithium plating potential at anode front surface



Cathode damage parameter, α






- Decreasing driving force for lithium plating due to increased negative-to-positive ratio and increased overpotential at cathode edges
- Damage evolution reaches steady state in around five cycles


ELECTRODE TORTUOSITY HETEROGENEITY

Computed tomography (CT) imaging of electrodes shows local regions with agglomeration of active material leading to high tortuosity and preferential Li plating.

Purdue University
Partha P. Mukherjee
Aashutosh Mistry



plating propensity




$$d\pi^* = \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{C_e}{C_e^{\text{ref}}} \right) e^{-\frac{F(\phi_s - \phi_e)}{RT}} \right\}$$


SOC_a : Anode state of charge

SLC1506T MICROSTRUCTURE HETEROGENEITY

At 6C, microstructure model predicts Li plating onset 2.5% anode SOC, 8 mV earlier than the macro-homogeneous model




- Li plating front is $\sim 8\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ thick




PARTICLE MORPHOLOGY & ALIGNMENT IMPACT

Electrode tortuosity and surface area are key variables controlling early Li plating




- Surface area is a more influential metric than particle size
- Higher surface area delays Li plating onset (though at some cost to calendar life)
- Low tortuosity also delays Li plating onset



$\langle \varepsilon \rangle = 0.4$, $\langle D_{50} \rangle = 7.8 \mu\text{m}$, $C_e = 400 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$,
 $6C$, half cell domain $72 \times 72 \times (72 + 20) \mu\text{m}^3$

BI-MODAL PARTICLE DISTRIBUTION IMPACT


Bimodal



$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 4.2\mu\text{m} & 7.8\mu\text{m} & 11.4\mu\text{m} \\ 50\% & 0\% & 50\% \end{bmatrix}$$

vs.

Unimodal




$D = 7.8\mu\text{m}$ (same D_{50} , lower S_p)


$D = 6.6\mu\text{m}$ (similar S_p)

$D = 6.3\mu\text{m}$ (similar S_p)

$D = 5.4\mu\text{m}$ (higher S_p)



- Unimodal: Surface area differences explain differences in plating onset
- Bimodal plating:
 - 2.5% ΔSOC_a sooner than unimodal
 - Heterogeneity is 2x worse




All microstructures have same porosity and tortuosity

DUAL-COATED & GRADED ELECTRODE IMPACT


Combining high porosity and small particles near separator delays Li plating by 7% anode state of charge

Porosity difference from front to back, $\Delta\varepsilon = 0.3$




High porosity
Small diameter
(HP/SD)


High porosity
(HP/bilayer)



High porosity
Large diameter
(HP/LD)



- Dual/graded coatings provide slightly lower tortuosity
- Small particles near separator reduces surface overpotential & delays plating



COLLABORATION ACROSS LABS AND UNIVERSITIES



Cell and electrode design and build, perf. characterization, post-test, cell and atomistic modeling, cost modeling



Li detection, electrode architecture, diagnostics



Performance characterization, Li detection, failure analysis, electrolyte modeling and characterization, acoustic detection



Li detection, novel separators, diagnostics



Thermal characterization, life modeling, micro and macro scale modeling, electrolyte modeling and characterization



- Purdue University – meso-scale modeling
- Univ. College London – computed tomography
- European Synchrotron (ESRF) – beamline experiments
- Univ. Oslo – beamline data reduction



RESPONSES TO PREVIOUS YEAR'S COMMENTS

- There are no previous year reviewer comments.

REMAINING CHALLENGES AND BARRIERS

- Large atomistic modeling system sizes are needed to extend the configuration space and include surface effects (computationally demanding)
- Li-plating heterogeneities are characterized by a large range of length-scales
 - Requires separate models to resolve
 - Difficulty in experimentally resolving Li plating at all length scales

PROPOSED FUTURE RESEARCH

- Compute energy barriers of Li diffusion when extra electrons are present in the system for different conditions (fixed cell, localized electrons, edges...)
- Investigate bulk defects, surface and edge effects for select cases
- Apply Kinetic Monte Carlo simulations to predict changes in diffusion coefficient under fast charging
- Continue to improve the fidelity of multi-scale electrochemical models to
 - Explain experimental findings
 - Develop electrode/cell design guidelines
- Investigate subparticle- and cell-scale heterogeneities
- Predictive modeling of aging due to fast charge and mitigation strategies

SUMMARY

1) Atomistic modeling

- During fast charge, non-equilibrium conditions can induce extra negative local charge accumulation near the anode surface, which can lead to increased graphite spacing, and hence increased Li diffusion coefficient (lower Li migration barriers)
- The staging sequence might be altered as a consequence of graphite spacing response to local non-equilibrium conditions
- Concerted Li diffusion states affect Li diffusion coefficients

2) Impact of heterogeneities on Li plating

- 2D edge effects explain localized Li plating in center of Round 2 cells
- Coating and microstructure heterogeneities lead to $5\% \Delta \text{SOC}_a$ early plating onset
- Best (single-coated) electrode
 - Low tortuosity (spherical particles... or platelets/ellipsoids if aligned in thru-plane direction)
 - High surface area (with limit determined by calendar life-limiting side reactions)
 - Homogenous particle size
- Dual-coated electrode – delays plating by $7\% \Delta \text{SOC}_a$ under electrolyte-limited situations
 - Back: low porosity, large particles
 - Front: high porosity, small particles

CONTRIBUTORS AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Aashutosh Mistry

Andy Jansen

Abhi Raj

Alison Dunlop

Andrew Colclasure

Antony Vamvakeros

Aron Saxon

Bryan McCloskey

Bryant Polzin

Chuntian Cao

Daniel Abraham

Daniel Steingart

Dave Kim

David Robertson

David Wrapp

Dean Skoien

Dennis Dees

Donal Finegan

Eongyu Yi

Eric Dufek

Francois Usseglio-Viretta

Francesco De Carlo

Guoying Chen

Hakim Iddir

Hans-Georg Steinrück

Hansen Wang

Ilya Shkrob

Ira Bloom

Jiayu Wan

Jianming Bai

Johanna Nelson Weker

John Okasinski

Juan Garcia

Kamila Wiaderek

Kandler Smith

Kaushik Kalaga

Kevin Gering

Marca Doeff

Marco DiMichiel

Marco Rodrigues

Matt Keyser

Michael Evans

Michael Toney

Nancy Dietz Rago

Nitash Balsara

Olaf Borkiewicz

Partha Mukherjee

Partha Paul

Paul Shearing

Pavel Shevchenko

Pierre Yao

Ravi Prasher

Ren Yang

Robert Kostecki

Ruqing Xu

Ryan Jackman

Sang Cheol Kim

Sangwook Kim

Sean Wood

Seoung-Bum Son

Shabbir Ahmed

Shriram Santhanagopalan

Steve Trask

Tanvir Tanim

Uta Ruett

Venkat Srinivasan

Victor Maroni

Vince Battaglia

Vivek Thampy

Wei Tong

Weijie Mai

Wenxiao Huang

William Huang

Yanying Zhu

Yi Cui




*Support for this work from the Vehicle Technologies Office,
DOE-EERE – Samuel Gillard, Steven Boyd, David Howell*




TECHNICAL BACK-UP SLIDES

GRAPHITE STAGING DURING CHARGE



Staging structure of C_6Li_x : (a) first-stage for C_6Li , (b) second-stages for $C_{12}Li$ and $C_{18}Li$, (c) third-stage for $C_{27}Li$, and (d) fourth-stage for $C_{36}Li$.



- Staging follows a thermodynamically favored phase transformation.
- 4th stage is the most favorable initial stage.







Discharge and charge curves of the graphite/Li cells for seven C_6Li_x samples. The applied current was 0.3 mA, which corresponds to a current density of ~ 0.096 mA cm^2 based on the surface area of the NG electrode.

Mukai and Inoue. *Carbon* 123 (2017) 645-650


GRAPHITE INTER-PLANAR DISTANCE DURING FAST CHARGING



- LiC₁₈ with extra electrons also favor the filling of adjacent empty channels before going to LiC₁₂
- Even after the formation of LiC₁₂ the increased inter-planar distance would speed up the formation of LiC₆



Electron density differences with extra electrons in the simulation box









The blue iso-surfaces represent regions where the electron difference is positive (extra electron density gain). The yellow iso-surfaces represent regions with a negative electron density difference (charge depletion).

- Electrons were withdrawn from the sp^2 hybridized orbital within the graphene layer, as shown in iso-surfaces (yellow)
- The charge density redistribution is such that the extra charge is pointing away from the graphene planes (P_z orbitals), increasing the electrostatic repulsion between the layers.
- For six extra electrons, the Li ions show a purely ionic character that would strongly attract the carbon layers adjacent to them, reducing the interlayer spacing between the Li-occupied graphite layers

Concerted Li diffusion

Neutral System



SUMMARY OF GRADED ARCHITECTURES INVESTIGATED WITH MICROSTRUCTURE MODEL

Graded architecture investigated	Impact on Li plating $\epsilon = 0.4$ and similar average specific surface area
High porosity/Large diameter (HP/LD) Low porosity/Small diameter	plate earlier ($Li_x -0.055$) with larger tortuosity
High porosity – low porosity	plate later ($Li_x +0.014$) with larger tortuosity
Linear porosity variation	plate later ($Li_x +0.028$) with similar tortuosity
High porosity/Small diameter (HP/SD) Low porosity/Larger diameter	plate later ($Li_x +0.072$) with larger tortuosity

Particle heterogeneity investigated	Impact on Li plating $\epsilon = 0.4$ and similar tortuosity factor (~1.8)
Bi-modal distribution particle size	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> At similar average particle size: plate later ($Li_x +0.12$) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> At similar specific surface area: Plate earlier ($Li_x -0.023$)
Non-spherical particles (ellipsoid with random orientation)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> At similar average particle size: plate later ($Li_x +0.042$) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> At similar specific surface area: Plate earlier ($Li_x -0.034$)


- Combining porosity and particle size variation seems to be the best option for suppressing Li plating
- These different particle heterogeneity contributions to lithium plating are combined for actual electrodes and are a source of underestimation for macro-homogenous model

DUAL-COATED ELECTRODE IMPACT

Combining high porosity and small particles (HP/SD) near separator delays Li plating

- This benefit is most pronounced for electrolyte transport-limited designs like that shown¹ at right
- If electrolyte transport is enhanced (low tortuosity architectures, elevated temperature, next generation electrolyte), plating occurs later in charge and the benefit largely goes away² at right
- Optimization study required to identify optimal dual-coated design parameters ($\Delta\epsilon$, ΔD_{50} , layer thickness ratio) to keep benefit over wider operating conditions

$$\langle\epsilon\rangle = 0.4, \langle D_{50}\rangle = 7.8 \mu\text{m}, C_e = 400 \text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}, \\ 6C, \text{ half cell domain } 72 \times 72 \times (72 + 20) \mu\text{m}^3$$



This work was authored in part by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided by the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Vehicle Technologies Office. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

NREL/PR-5400-73614

The logo for XCEL, featuring three stylized chevron arrows pointing right, followed by the text 'XCEL' in a bold, sans-serif font.

eXtreme Fast Charge Cell Evaluation
of Lithium-ion Batteries

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ENERGY

Energy Efficiency &
Renewable Energy

VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES OFFICE

Argonne 
NATIONAL LABORATORY

SLAC
NATIONAL ACCELERATOR LABORATORY

 NREL
NATIONAL RENEWABLE ENERGY LABORATORY


BERKELEY LAB
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory


Idaho National Laboratory