Informing Blade Design through MDAO Practices



Evan Gaertner, Ph.D. · Drew Eisenberg, M.Eng.

Evan Gaertner · 6th WESE Workshop 2022



Agenda

- 1. Overview of Blade Design at SGRE
- 2. SGRE's MDAO design tool
- 3. Example MDAO use cases



SGRE Boulder Blade Design Office

- Established in 2008 as a global competency center
- Responsible for conceptual blade design, from specification to final design
- 50-person office with colocation of Aerodynamics, Loads, Structures, and Controls engineers
- In-house software development of engineering design and analysis tools





Blade Design Problem





Blade Design Process at SGRE





Challenge: Accelerating Conceptual Blade Design



Reduce Cycle Time for Design Convergence

Multi-disciplinary design analysis

- Aerodynamics, Loads, and Structures in a single tool
- Capture design trade-offs

Multi-fidelity analysis

- Design maturation within the same tool framework
- Include higher fidelity tools earlier in the design process, make more informed decisions / fewer iterations

Optimization

• Parallelized analysis drivers for design space exploration



Design Process for a Candidate Blade Design



© Siemens Gamesa Renewable Energy

Evan Gaertner · 6th WESE Workshop 2022

MDAO Design Tool



MDAO Tool Framework





How Is MDAO Used?

Design Space Exploration



- GA optimization results in information dense trade-off fronts (Each dot is a blade design)
- Design engineers compare current/next design iteration to objective trade-off trends on the front
- Design space exploration most useful after changes topology, technology, or large design steps



How Is MDAO Used?

Design Space Exploration



 GA optimization results in information dense trade-off fronts (Each dot is a blade design)

1.075

1.050

1.025

1.000 Moment [N]

0.950

0.925

0.900

- Design engineers compare current/next design iteration to objective trade-off trends on the front
- Design space exploration most useful after changes topology, technology, or large design steps



MDAO Examples



High Solidity Example Study: Solidity increased by 10%

Front slices

- Visualize trade-offs along constant planes in the N-D objective space
- Provide "map": is the current design an optimal trade-off ratio?

Results

- Initial design has a poor trade-off ratio between AEP and Mass Moment
- Typically aim for the "knee" of the curve; In this case 5:1 – 10:1 region is more desirable
- Cost models determine the optimal trade-off ratios

Conclusions

- After topology changes, we are often far from optimal
- Design changes are needed in multiple dimensions, not always intuitive, which optimization is very good at solving
- Expert designers are needed to formulate the right optimization problems and interpret the results







Flutter Requirements Example Case

Scenario

- Blade stiffness can come from blade structure or the planform design •
- **Goal:** Remove reinforcement (high mass moment penalty) using planform ٠ design while satisfying the same flutter constraint

Result

Significant net benefit to mass moment •





Conclusions

- MDAO is invaluable for accelerating blade design projects
 - Improves the quality of candidate designs, reducing the number of design iterations needed
 - Optimization results are not always intuitive solutions
- Optimization is not push button
 - Findings from optimization are a design direction, rather than a final design
 - Experienced design engineers needed to correctly formulate problems and interpret results
 - High fidelity analysis is needed after low/mid fidelity MDAO
- Modern software engineering best practices: moving beyond "research codes"
 - Investing in software development leads to better:
 - Code maintainability
 - Development agility
 - Improved user outcomes
 - Focus on extensibility
 - Detailed project planning
 - High unit test coverage with automated testing → maintain accuracy and reliability (Over 2,500 CI pipeline tests to date)





Evan Gaertner · 6th WESE Workshop 2022

