EM&V Uniform Methods Project (UMP)-HVAC (Gas Furnaces and Boilers)

THE

GROUP, INC.

David Jacobson May 31, 2012

Agenda

- Overview of Measure Covered
- Who Reviewed Protocol
- Who Wrote Protocols
- Overview of Protocol
- Major Points Requiring Reconciliation
- Key Assumptions
- Comparison to Industry Practices
- Questions/Comments



Measures Covered by Protocol

- Normal/Time of Replacement/New Construction Boilers and Furnaces in Residential, Multifamily and Small Commercial Applications
 - 60 kBtu/hr to 300 kBtu/hr
 - Single unit per billing meter
 - Baseline is standard practice or code compliant unit
 - Core component of most residential gas EE programs
- Typical delivery mechanism
 - Standard Rebate (majority)
 - Delivered by HVAC and Plumbing Contractors



Who Wrote Protocol??

- David Jacobson, Jacobson Energy Research
 - Former Manager of Evaluation for National Grid
 - Mechanical Engineer with 30 years experience in EE analysis and evaluation
 - Involved with EM&V of this measure for the past four years (gas evaluation is newer)
 - Key input from Matei Perussi and Arlis Reynolds (Cadmus),
 Ken Agnew and Jeremiah Robinson (KEMA), Pete Jacobs (Building Metrics and TAG member)



Who Reviewed Protocol??

- TAC Members:
 - Kevin Cooney and Elizabeth Davis -Navigant
 - Pete Jacobs Building Metrics
- SC Members:
 - NREL David Roberts
- Others from Cadmus and KEMA listed in previous slide



Background of Protocol

- Less work done on gas EE than electric
 - fewer past studies to rely on for methods
- Three TRM Equations in Use:

1) Savings = Capacity* EFLH * (AFUE_e / AFUE_b - 1)

2) Savings = Capacity* EFLH * (1/ AFUE_b – 1/ AFUE_e)

3) Savings = Capacity* EFLH * $(1 - AFUE_b / AFUE_e)$

Where capacity = input or output capacity in Btu/hr; EFLH= Equivalent Full Load Hours; $AFUE_{b}$, $AFUE_{e}$ = Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency base and efficient units

 Which equation is correct/best to use depends on assumptions about meaning of "capacity"(input or output Btu/hr) and specifics of derivation



Overview of Protocol

- 1st Task to sort out the differences in equations (lots of algebra)
- Input capacity data more readily available (imbedded in model number, ie York YP9C0<u>60</u>B12MP12C is 60,000 Btu/hr input capacity`)
- Approximation that Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency(AFUE) = peak output capacity/peak input capacity values works for non-condensing units, but for condensing boilers this assumption not valid
 - Peak efficiency is lower than annual efficiency so AFUE does not equal peak output capacity/ peak input capacity
- Formula that is recommended(derivations included in protocol) is:

Savings = Capacity_{input-e}* EFLH_{e-installed} * (AFUE_e / AFUE_b - 1)



Overview of Protocol(con't)

- Noting that:
 - Capacity_{input-e}* EFLH_{e-installed} = Normalized Annual Gas Usage for Heating = NAH_e
- Saving = NAH_e^* (AFUE_e / AFUE_b 1) where:
 - NAH_e is determined from <u>billing analysis</u> by separating out weather and non-weather sensitive gas usage
 - Savings determined from just AFUEs and Post installation billing data; AFUE_e from tracking data and AFUE_b from code or standard practice
 - EFLH = NAH_e / Capacity_{input-e} with Capacity_{input-e} from the tracking database
- Results can be used to produce average evaluated "deemed" savings in therms for a specific type and size range of units or can be used to produce inputs to TRM equations so savings calculations can be done on each unit rebated



Overview of Protocol(con't)

- Disadvantage of this approach is savings are not based on any measurement of CHANGE in consumption resulting from installation of a new furnace/boiler replacing unit at end useful life. But that change is not representative of savings from baseline to efficient model but from *replaced* unit which is not true base case
- Alternate/Enhanced approach developed using the CHANGE in consumption from REPLACED to energy efficient unit to the measured and scaling that change to the actual code
- Using an estimated of the replaced efficiency AFUE_{replaced} and the delta in heating usage from the replaced unit and the efficient unit △NAH_{e-replaced} the resulting savings is:

 $Savings_{e-b} = \Delta NAH_{e-replaced} * (1/AFUE_{b} - 1/AFUE_{e}) / (1/AFUE_{replaced} - 1/AFUE_{e})$

 All billing analysis methodologies for this protocol reference the Residential Whole-House Retrofit protocol



Fundamental Assumptions/Points of Reconciliation-

- Measurement of unit level gas consumption is prohibitively expensive and since baseline units not readily available for direct measurements not a viable option
- AFUE is a good indicator of the relative efficiency though not necessarily a good measure of real world measured efficiency of a given unit
- Use of large scale billing analysis "grounds" the results in actual consumption; something that engineering analysis or even metering of furnace fan or hot water pump cannot do
- Methods should work with a format compatible with prevailing TRM equations listed above even though many agree the equations are simplification



How Protocol Compares to Existing Industry Practices

- Protocol is similar to most recent studies in approach:

NMR and Cadmus. October 2010. "High Efficiency Heating and Water Heating Equipment Process and Impact Evaluation." Conducted for Gas Networks, a group of New England gas utilities offering energy-efficiency programs.

KEMA. November 2008. "Puget Sound Energy's Residential Energy Efficient Furnace Program Impact Evaluation."

 Protocol provides enhanced guidance and corrections regarding which of the common TRM equations to use and why



Questions/Comments?

