HVAC Controls (DDC/EMS/BAS) Evaluation Protocol

Jeff Romberger, SBW Consulting, Inc.



Acknowledgements

The chapter author wishes to thank and acknowledge Dan Barbieri of DNV-GL, and David Jacobson of Jacobson Energy Research LLC for their thoughtful contributions.





Acronyms

BAS Building automation system

CDD Cooling degree day

DDC Direct digital controls

EMS Energy management system

HDD Heating degree day

Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning

<u>IPMVP</u> <u>International Performance Measurement and Verification Protocol</u>

M&V Measurement and verification

OAT Outside air temperature

RMS Root mean square

TMY Typical Meteorological Year

Table of Contents

1	Measure Description	<u></u> 1	
2	2 Application Conditions of Protocol		
3	Savings Calculations	4	
4	Measurement and Verification Plan	6	
	4.1 Baseline Definition Considerations	7	
	4.1.1 Program Requirements	7	
	4.1.2 Multiple Measure Installation	8	
	4.2 End Use Regression Model Method	8	
	4.3 Whole-Building Billing Analysis Method	<u></u> 11	
	4.4 Bin Model Calculations Method		
	4.5 Calibrated Simulation Method		
	4.6 Other Modeling Considerations	14	
	4.6.1 Regression Modeling Direction	14	
	4.6.2 Testing Regression Model Validity	16	
	4.6.3 Model Calibration	17	
5	Sample Design	18	
6	Other Evaluation Issues		
	6.1 Net-to-Gross Estimation	19	
	6.2 Realization Rates		
Re	eferences		

List of Tables

Table 1. Model Statistical Validity Guide	<u></u> 10
Table 2. Model Calibration Criteria	1 [·]



1 Measure Description

The HVAC Controls Evaluation Protocol is designed to address evaluation issues for direct digital controls/energy management systems/building automation systems (DDC/EMS/BAS) that are installed to control heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning (HVAC) equipment in commercial and institutional buildings. (This documentchapter refers to the DDC/EMS/BAS measure as HVAC controls.) This protocol may also be applicable to industrial facilities thatsuch as clean rooms and labs, which have either significant HVAC equipment or conditioned spaces that requirerequiring special environmental conditions , such as clean rooms and labs.

This protocol addresses only HVAC-related equipment and the energy_savings estimation methods associated with <u>installation of installing</u> such control systems as an energy <u>conservation of ficiency</u> measure. <u>That The affected equipment includes the following</u>:

- The two categories of air Air side equipment (air handlers, direct expansion systems, furnaces, other heating and cooling related devices, terminal air distribution equipment, and fans), and
- Central plant equipment (chillers, cooling towers, boilers, and pumps).

These controls may also operate or affect other end uses, such as lighting, domestic hot water and, irrigation systems, and life safety systems (such as fire alarmalarms and other security). systems.

Also, there may be considerable Considerable nonenergy benefits associated with these systems, such as maintenance scheduling, system component troubleshooting, equipment failure alarms, and increased equipment lifetime. Additionally, when, may also be associated with these systems. When connected to building utility meters, these systems can also be a valuable demand-limiting control tool; howevertools. However, this protocol does not address evaluating evaluate any of these additional capabilities and benefits.

¹ As discussed in "Considering Resource Constraints" within the introduction section of this UMP report, small utilities (as defined under the Small Business Administration regulations) may face additional constraints in undertaking this protocol. Therefore, alternative methodologies should be considered for such utilities.

2 Application Conditions of Protocol

The type of HVAC control system to which this protocol applies is common in newly constructed commercial and institutional buildings that are morelarger than 100,000 square feet in size; however, manyhowever, manyhowever, numerous older buildings have either minimal HVAC controls or older systems with less-efficient control sequences that can gainbenefit from this measure. Many older building automation systems utilize BASs use pneumatic controls, and these controls which are often in disrepair. A There is also a significant opportunity also exists for more advanced control systems in smaller buildings.

Energy-efficiency programs encourage the installation of HVAC controls as retrofits toin existing facilities and, in some cases, encourage installation in new construction. Generally, energy codes do not require that DDC/EMS/BAS-type controls be installed; however, energy codes tend to specify minimum HVAC control features, such as time-of-use on/off scheduling and economizer controls on air handlers. Some codes specify significantly more control requirements, such as reset schedules on supply air temperature in air handlers. In instances where code minimum requirements apply to new construction, or to new HVAC systems in major renovation projects, code-required controls should be considered baseline.

These two Two common program-delivery mechanisms are in use around the country:

- **Prescriptive**: This approach usually entails an incentive that is based on an easy-to-calculate building metric (such as the building floor area affected by the HVAC controls) or on the number of qualifying control points. The <u>incentiveincentives</u> may vary, based on the type of building where the equipment is installed, <u>sincebecause</u> the <u>achieved</u> energy savings-<u>achieved</u> tend to be specific to a building's use (e.g., hospitals versus schools).
- Custom: This approach also provides an incentive for the HVAC controls that is based on the expected annual energy savings (kWh), which iskilowatt-hours) estimated using a custom calculation tool. The custom calculation approach is often used for facilities that are applyingapply incentives forto multiple measures in a building. In this circumstance, estimation may be the result of a complete hourly building energy_simulation model that is developed using a program such as eQUEST or EnergyPlus; however. However, other calculation approaches may be used, such as developing a bin model for the HVAC systems in a building. Note that customCustom programs may require measurement and verification (M&V-activities to be performed) after the controls are installed so as to calculate savings and determine incentive amounts based on actual equipment performance.

23 Savings Calculations

This section presents a high-level equation that applies to all HVAC controls measures for calculating gross energy savings.² Detailed direction on how to apply this equation is presented in the Measurement and Verification Plan section of this protocol.

Energy savings are determined using the following general equation (EVO 2012):

Equation 1

Energy Savings = (Baseline Energy – Reporting Period Energy) ± _____(1)

Routine Adjustments ± Non-routine Adjustments

where

Where,

Energy Savings = firstFirst-year energy consumption savings.

Baseline Energy = prePre-implementation consumption.

Reporting Period Energy = postPost-implementation consumption.

Routine Adjustments

= adjustmentsAdjustments made to account for routinely changing independent variables (variables that drive energy consumption). Savings should be normalized to typical meteorological year (TMY) weather data andas well as other significant independent variables (e.g., occupancy, production data), if applicable. If first-year energy savings are desired, savings should be normalized to the actual weather for the 12-month period following commissioning of the new controls.

Non-routine Routine Adjustments

= adjustmentsAdjustments made to account for parameters that are-typically are not expected to change during the implementation period. If these parameters change and this change influences the reporting-period energy use, the changethey should be accounted for (e.g., changes to a facility's building envelope during implementation of an HVAC controls measure). This would only-have to be considered if savings were verified using OptionOptions C or D of the International Performance Measurement and Verification Protocol (IPMVP)

This protocol <u>is focused focuses</u> on gross energy savings and does not include other parameter assessments, such as net-to-gross, peak coincidence factors, or cost effectiveness.

(EVO 2012) or calibrated simulation per ASHRAE Guideline 14-2002 (ASHRAE 2002).³

Determining HVAC controls demand savings for HVAC controls is not a straightforward extension of verified consumption savings (unlike lighting retrofits, for example, where established load savings profiles can easily be applied to consumption savings data). For HVAC controls projects, the load savings profiles vary, depending on the distribution of the measure types implemented and are weather dependent. These complications are accounted for in the M&V methods described in Section 4.

³ Whole-facility consumption analysis

34 Measurement and Verification Plan

This section contains four approaches for determining the energy savings that resultresulting from the HVAC controls measure, and it-provides guidance on how and when to use each-of the approaches.

Two methods use pre-installation and post-installation data, and the other two methods use post-installation data only post-installation metered data.

- The first method (End Use Regression Model) is more accurate than the second, <u>utilizing</u> the <u>using</u> pre-installation and post-installation metered data of the affected end uses; however, this method. However, it has limitations due to the caused by metering requirements and the necessity for fewer complication factors. The method is appropriate only for retrofit of HVAC controls.
- The second method (BillingConsumption Data Analysis) is similar to the first, but it is much simpler and cheaper to conduct; however. However, because this method uses using whole building consumption data (billing records, whole building interval or AMI data), it is also less accurate and typically requires that expected savings are greater than 10% of base year energy use in order to be separated from the noise. The method is appropriate only for retrofit of HVAC controls.
- The third method (Bin Model Calculations) is useful when pre-installation metering is not possible. Although this This method can be used for most situations; however, it can be expensive to conduct for large, complicated systems unless a model is available from the examte analysis or the evaluator has a model available that can be easily adapted. The method can be used for both retrofit of HVAC controls or for new construction.
- The fourth method (Calibrated Simulation) is appropriate for complex facilities, and it can be
 reasonably cost-effective if the building simulation model is available from the claimed (exante) savings estimate documentation. The method can be used for both retrofit of HVAC
 controls or for new construction.

The End Use Regression Model method is the most accurate method and is recommended as the preferred method for M&V of HVAC controls measures; however. However, it is acknowledged that this method is rarely used due to the because it has extensive pre-retrofit and post-retrofit metering requirements and the potential complications from interactions of other measures concurrently installed. The pre-metering requirement can delay the installation and add significant cost to the M&V process. The other methods are all commonly used, and M&V method selection is often based on the available calculation algorithm used to determine the ex ante energy savings-ante energy savings. Ultimately, the choice of method depends on the cost of performing the measurements, the magnitude of energy savings, and the scope and complexity of the measure.

System Commissioning. Generally programs require commissioning of control systems prior to incentive payment. It is also very important to verify that the installed controls have been commissioned and are functioning as intended before M&V activities commence. It is also important that the M&V activities do not influence the customer or utility program behavior prior to M&V, since the objective is to provide an independent evaluation of measure performance. M&V should commence after sufficient time has passed to ensure full opportunity for commissioning but before the occurrence of supplemental control sequence changes not originally specified.

3.14.1 Baseline Definition Considerations

For the measure evaluation to be consistent with program requirements, it is important to define clearly the baseline conditions. Consider these The two primary areas of concernto consider when defining the baseline conditions: are program requirements and multiple measure installation-(discussed in Section 4.1.1 and Section 4.12, respectively). These considerations also impact the selection of the appropriate savings calculation method.

3.1.14.1.1 Program Requirements

The conservation program under which the HVAC controls are incented often has specific rules concerningabout a measure's eligibility. For custom programs, the incentive payment is based on the estimated energy savings. Also, these programs often have specific requirements for the baseline definition as it relates to estimating the claimed savings. Some custom programs base the final incentive amount on the actual energy savings after a measure is installed, and those savings are often determined by the required M&V process and a recalculation of savings.

Common eligibility criteria for new construction specify only that the HVAC control features exceed energy code minimum requirements; therefore. Therefore, the prevailing energy code must be examined carefully before a list of eligible controls can be developed for a project. For example, with retrofit applications, savings are often based on the pre-installation control of the affected systems. So it is important to determine whether the energy code was—or should have been—triggered by the retrofit—because, as this might impact the baseline estimate as if the project were new construction. Furthermore, the code to use for the baseline can be difficult to determine for projects with long timelines between design and construction. For example, some states apply the code in effect at the time of 100% construction drawings; others use the code in effect during plan submission at 60% drawings. This can make a significant difference in savings estimates for new construction or major renovations.

Also, some program rules specify that broken controls (or controls that are in place but are overridden in the pre-retrofit period) are not eligible and should not be considered in the savings estimate. Examples of these These types of retro-commissioning issues include:

- An economizer that has dampers stuck in one position due to a failed damper motor
- A time clock for on/off scheduling that is not programmed (or has had all the "off" pegs removed), thus allowing) and allows the system to run all the time.

Although these types of retrocommissioning issues will likely be allowed under a retrocommission program, if they were completed under a custom HVAC program, the program rules may not allow them.

3.1.24.1.2 Multiple Measure Installation

For a major renovation-in an existing building, the HVAC controls measure is often only one of several measures in an overall package of measures. The package may include replacing constant-volume air handlers with variable-volume air handlers and replacing a chiller plant. In that instance, significant interactions between the measures need to be considered if the evaluation only encompasses the HVAC controls measure only or if savings for each of the measures—must be evaluated individually for each measure.

Although this protocol does not address the <u>interaction interactions</u> of measures, it contains recommendations <u>regardingfor</u> the appropriate evaluation method to account for interactions. The first method, the End Use Regression Model, is discussed in detail with step-by-step descriptions. The other three methods are <u>only</u> discussed in general terms <u>only</u>, because they are less conducive to being described in terms of a uniform method.

3.24.2 End Use Regression Model Method

Consistent with IPMVP Option B (Isolation Retrofit, All Parameter Measurement), this method uses measured pre-installation and post-installation metering of kWkilowatt consumption of all of the affected end uses (heating, cooling, fans, pumps, other auxiliary). The metered data are averaged into temperature bins that are based on the outside air temperature (OAT) (obtained from concurrent metering). The model is then adjusted for weather differences by applying typical TMY weather data to the measured data and extrapolating to all temperatures.

A significant advantage of this method is that the analyst does not need to know how to describe the control features, either in an engineering equation (as required for the Bin Model Calculation Method), or in a simulation model (as required for the Calibrated Simulation Method). Some control features are difficult to express with these other methodologies.

The general overall equation describing this method is:

Installed kW — is the metered kW averaged into temperature bins and extrapolated — to the full range of TMY weather for the site,

Bin Hours ————are the number of TMY hours in each temperature bin.

The specific calculation steps are as follows:

Step 1. Define the System Boundary **System boundary**

In defining the boundary around the equipment in the evaluation, include all of the equipment that is directly impacted by the installed HVAC controls. An example of direct impact is the addition of demand ventilation controls to an air handler.

Also, include equipment that is indirectly impacted equipment if suchthis inclusion is expected to result in morehave a greater than a 5% effect on the total savings. Examples of indirectly affected equipment are the chiller and boiler serving the air handler with the demand ventilation control if there are resulting changes in heating and cooling loads.

Note that it It may be appropriate to include the boiler but not the chiller when a building is located in a cold climate (where cooling energy is a very small percentage of total building consumption, and heating energy is a much larger percentage). In a hot climate, the opposite would be true.

Step 2. Collect the **Data**data

Collect these data for the evaluation.

- HVAC <u>Load Determinants.load determinants.</u> In most cases, the heating and cooling loads will be a function of <u>outside air temperatureOAT</u>. Identify the TMY weather station that is closest to the project site. The weather data are needed to normalize <u>both</u> pre-<u>energy</u> and post-energy consumption and, thus, eliminate weather year differences.
- Facility Operations Schedule.operations schedule. Determine the period for each mode
 (defined, as needed, by hour of day, day of week, and season), because this method requires
 that metered data be collected during all schedule modes. If the HVAC systems have different
 operation modes, then determine based on by the facility's operations operation schedule (e.g.,
 setback of space temperature set point during night and weekend hours).
- **Equipment** Inventory inventory. Obtain nameplate information for each control system's affected equipment within the system boundary.

Step 3. Perform Metering metering

Meter equipment to obtain the following information:

• True <u>root mean square (RMS-Power) power</u>. For this protocol, it is preferable to have a trend log (noting the data in 15-minute intervals) of true poly-phase RMS power for all circuits that

powerpowering the desired end uses. If the system load is primarily determined by outside air temperature (OAT), then, the measurement period must be sufficient for capturing the system's operation during a range of outside temperatures. The metering periods must also span seasonal changes, if any, in the operating schedule. Some HVAC control systems have a power-trending function for some equipment. If using this function, take a one-time power measurement to verify the accuracy of the control system values. If these values are off, develop a calibration curve to adjust the values.

- Alternative Power Measurement. power measurement. In lieu of true power trending, it is acceptable to trend the electrical current combined with a one-time true power measurement at three load levels within the typical operating range of the equipment....
- **OAT.** Trend the <u>outside air temperatureOAT</u> concurrently with the power measurements. This information is <u>probablylikely</u> available from the control system; however, check the values for accuracy. Alternatively, deploy a temperature logger to trend OAT.

When acquiring power measurements, take care that the effort conforms to the metering cross-cutting protocols in Chapter 9.

Step 4. Calculate the Savings savings

Complete the following activities separately for **both** the pre-**installation metering data** and **the** post-installation metering data. If more than one metering channel is recorded for each end use, **then**-sum all-**of** the metering data for each end use to create a single trend of values.

Also, to obtain a complete annual profile, one degree 1°F temperature bins can be used instead of two-degree 2°F bins, and the savings can be applied to 8,760 hourly TMY temperatures.

- Average kWkilowatts by Trend Log Bin. trend log bin. For each end use, average the kWthekilowatt values by two-degree2°F temperature bins for all trend log intervals during operating hours, as defined by facility operations schedules. If the facility has more than one operation mode, calculate the temperature-bin averages separately for each operation mode.
- Operating Hourshours by TMY Binbin. Divide the 8,760 TMY OAT data into two-degree 2°F bins and compute the frequency of annual operating hours for each bin, as defined by facility operations schedules.
- Average kWkilowatts by TMY Binbin. The TMY average-bin kW equalskilowatts equal the trend log average-bin kWkilowatts for each matching bin. Extrapolate the average kWkilowatts for those TMY bins that do not have trend log data. Plot the kWkilowatt value versus bin temperature data and then determine the regression equation that best fits through the data that extrapolateextrapolates to the highest and lowest TMY temperature bin. Note that noNo bin kWkilowatt value is allowed to exceed the full equipment kWkilowatt capacity.

- Savings by <u>Binbin</u>. For each end use and for each TMY bin, calculate the savings as the
 difference between the baseline estimate and the installed <u>kWkilowatt</u> values multiplied by the
 number of hours in the temperature bin.
- **Annual** Savings Sum the kWhkilowatt-hour values across the TMY bins for each end use, and then sum the end-use savings into an annual value.

3.34.3 Whole Building Billing Consumption Data Analysis Method

Whole-building billingconsumption data analysis is consistent with IPMVP Option C (Whole Building). This option is appropriate when conditions are similar to those of the End Use Regression Model Methodmethod but pre-installation end-use metering is not possible or practical. Although this method is much less costly to perform, it is also less accurate. For this method, the HVAC controls measure savings must be large compared to the random or unexplained energy variations that occur at the whole-facility level. Thus, this analysis cannot be undertaken until after a full season or full year of reporting-period billing data are is collected.

The billing analysis requires that a full year of billing data to-be available for both the pre-year and post-year-installation years. The monthly energy use of the facility can be correlated to the weather data that corresponde or each billing period. Commonly used forms of weather data for correlation include cooling degree days (CDDCDDs), heating degree days (HDD), or HDDs), and average temperature. Usually temperature will provide the best correlations, but CDD and HDD can also provide good correlations if the best correlation is searched from multiple sets of CDD and HDD values, produced from different base temperatures. This method provides weather-specific correlations for the pre-installation period and post-installation periods. The correlations are applied to TMY weather that corresponds corresponding to each billing period to determine long-term average energy use for the pre-period and post-periodinstallation periods. Savings is are then calculated as the difference between pre-annual and post-installation annual energy use. If first-year savings is are desired, the pre-installation period correlation can be used with the post-installation year weather to obtain energy use that corresponds corresponding with post-installation year weather conditions.

If interval billing data or AMI data (hourly) are is available, the correlations can be greatly improved by using the corresponding hourly weather in the correlations-instead of monthly average values. The data can also then be divided into time categories, such as for occupied and unoccupied hours, and/or weekday and weekend day types. The correlations can be done for each category-. Nonlinear correlations or change point linear correlations may be appropriate to define the models across the entire year.

Note that this method cannot be used for new construction or a major renovation because the baseline whole-building consumption would not be representative of a building that is

⁴——Typically, savings should exceed 10% of the baseline energy <u>consumption</u> for a particular meter (e.g., an electricity meter) in order to confidently discriminate the savings from the baseline data when the reporting period is shorter than two2 years (EVO 2012).

constructed to the prevailing energy code. (That is, for a major renovation, the entire building would have to be in compliance with the prevailing energy code.)

3.44.4 Bin Model Calculations Method⁵

Consistent with IPMVP Option A (Isolation Retrofit, Key Parameter Measurement), this method uses metered key variables of the affected equipment to inform the development of an engineering model that describes system operation. The model is then used to calculate energy consumption for the installed HVAC control system. The baseline consumption is determined by making changes to the model that reflect the baseline system operation.

This method can be used when pre-end-use<u>installation</u> metering <u>isdata are</u> not available or when there are other significant non-measure changes to the building during either the pre-metering or post-<u>installation</u> metering period. <u>Types The types</u> of <u>non-measure nonmeasure</u> changes include a significantly different occupancy level, the installation of other conservation measures, or a determination that the baseline is different from the actual pre-equipment operation.

The system boundary is defined through activities similar to those described for Step 1 of the End Use Regression Model method. Also, the data collection effort would encompass <u>both</u> a complete inventory of the equipment within the boundary and the operating sequences of that equipment. (The as-built plans and control system can be very useful for collecting these data.)

Trend data from the control system or from evaluator_installed metering equipment should be used to inform the bin model and to calibrate the bin model. Examples of the use of trend data include:

- Supply air temperature trends in air handlers to verify temperature reset schedules, such as a reset defined by outside air temperature. (This OAT (this requires that OAT is be trended also.)
 These data). This can be used in the bin model to define how the supply air temperature varies.
- Fan speed or kWkilowatt trends to determine the airflow at differing conditions in the model.
 This difference might also be a function of outdoor air temperature.OAT. Fan kWkilowatts can also be used to determine if the model is responding well by comparing the metered fan energy to the bin model calculated fan energy (calibration of the model).

The baseline model will be developed by using the calibrated post-installation model and changing the appropriate control function to baseline conditions. An example is an HVAC controls system change from an air handler with constant supply air temperature control to one that resets supply air temperature based on OAT. The post-installation model should be calibrated with the air handler supply air temperature that varies with OAT, as indicated by the trend data. The baseline version of the model will then result from changing the supply air temperature to the constant baseline value.

-

⁵ This also called the Inverse Bin method.

Since it is likely that Because no pre-installation trend data willare likely to be available, the baseline conditions need to be verified during the evaluation. Personnel This can be done through discussions with staff who are familiar with the baseline system, such as. This may include building maintenance staff or an HVAC maintenance contractor, can verify the baseline conditions. Baseline control and operation may also be determined by reviewing the original (or most recent prior-to-measure-pre-installation) mechanical drawings, control sequences, commissioning reports, or balancing reports.

Viewing this method as a uniform method of analysis can be difficult because of the challenge of gettingachieving results that are consistent between analysts. To use this is a challenge. This method, requires that a site-specific model needs to be developed for the measure; however, different engineers are likely to develop the bin model differently, and they may use different trend data to inform the model.

Although this This method is commonly used and can be used implemented at a reasonable cost for evaluating difficult or complex situations (such as a heating hot water valve that is leaking and increasing the cooling load) and for fairly complex systems, using it could become expensive because of the time required to develop), especially when the model has already been developed for the ex-ante savings calculations or when the evaluator has an existing bin model that can be easily adapted. However, if a model is not available, model development cost should be a consideration when choosing an evaluation method.

3.54.5 Calibrated Simulation Method

Consistent with IPMVP Option D (Calibrated Simulation), this is a good method to use for large, complex facilities because it can handle many different control sequences. It is also a useful approach for modeling multiple measures and accounting for the interactive effects between them.

This method may be cost-effective when a model developed for the claimed savings analysis is available to the evaluator; however. However, it is important to confirm that the model is representative of the actual installed systems. (Unless the model was used for M&V after the installation, it may be different from what was originally anticipated during the claimed savings analysis.)

An essential component of an effective savings estimate is analytical credibility and the ability for a third party to validate it. For this reason, evaluators often exclude proprietary building simulation models, models that prevent the reviewer from seeing the inputs, and models for which the simulation methodology is not published or documented publicly. The U.S. Department of Energy website has an extensive list of whole-building analysis energy simulation tools. ⁶

⁶ http://apps1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/tools_directory/subjects_sub.cfm

Ideally, the model represents the post-installation conditions and is calibrated to monthly bills with actual weather coincident with the bills. The HVAC control features should then be changed to be consistent with the baseline control features before the model is run againgrerun. The difference between the two runs will be the first-year savings. If long-term typical annual savings estimates are desired, then use TMY weather to run the baseline and as-built models using TMY weather.

Issues related to around obtaining baseline information are the same as those discussed in Section 4.4. It is also important to use trend data from the control system or from evaluator-installed metering equipment to inform the model and to assist in calibrating the model. Space temperature trends can be obtained to confirm the space temperature set points that should be specified in the model. Air handler supply fan kWkilowatt trends can be used to calibrate the fan energy use that the model predicts. This use of trends can greatly enhance the model calibration process by providing some end-use calibration to the model and ean increase confidence in the overall model calibration. Other data from observation of the control system should also be incorporated into the calibrated model. The additional data can This will include items such as chilled water temperature set point, air handler operating schedules, and minimum outside air damper settings. Some end uses, such as lighting and plug loads, are more difficult to specify and in some cases may be defined by default characteristics (ASHRAE 2000).

3.64.6 Other Modeling Considerations

Regression models may be very simple or complex, depending on the significance of the independent variables used. Some general modeling information <u>follows</u> is included in <u>Section 4.6.1 through Section 4.6.3</u>.

3.6.14.6.1 Regression Modeling Direction

To calculate normalized savings—whether following the IPMVP's Option A, Option C, or Option D—develop the baseline and reporting period regression model⁷ for the majority of most projects. These (ASHRAE 2004; BPA 2011). The three types of analysis methods are used to create a model follow:

- Linear Regression: regression. For one routinely varying significant parameter (e.g., OAT).
- Multivariable *Linear Regression:* Inear regression. For more than one routinely varying significant parameter (e.g., OAT and a process parameter).
- Advanced Regression: Such as polynomial regression. Polynomial or exponential, for example.

⁷——This could <u>either</u> be a single-regression model that uses a dummy variable to differentiate the baseline/reporting period data, or two independent models—one for the baseline periods and one for the reporting period.

One of the most common linear regression models is the three-parameter change-point model. For example, a model that represents cooling electricity consumption would have one regression coefficient that describes non-weather-dependent electricity use; a second regression coefficient that describes the rate of increase of electricity use with increasing temperature; and a third parameter that describes the change-point temperature (also known as the balance-point temperature), where weather-dependent electricity use begins.

When these models are required, develop them in accordance with best practices. Also, use these models only when they are statistically valid. (See subsection (see Section 4.6.2, Testing Regression Model Validity.) Note that when). When there are no significant independent variables, then no model is required, because the calculated savings will be inherently normalized.



⁹——Advanced regression methods might be required if a chiller plant is providing cooling for manufacturing or industrial processes.

3.6.24.6.2 Testing Regression Model Validity

To assess the accuracy of the model, review the parameters listed here (EVO, in Table 1 (EVO 2012).

Table 1. Model Statistical Validity Guide

Parameter Evaluated	Description	Suggested Acceptable Values
Coefficient of Determination determination (R ²)	A measure of the extent to which the regression model explains the variations in the dependent variable from its mean value.	> 0.75
T-statistic	An indication of whether the regression model coefficients are statistically significant.	> 2
Mean bias error	An indication of whether the regression model overstates or understates the actual cooling load.	< +/-± 5% (While this This value is typical, itbut depends on the project.)

If any of these parameters fall outside of the acceptable range, then the regression model is not considered statistically valid. Thus, it should not be used to normalize data. When when possible, attempt to enhance the regression model by:

- Increasing or shifting the measurement period.
- Incorporating more data points. This may include additional metering or obtaining trends from the EMS.
- Including independent variables that were previously unidentified. This may include additional metering or obtaining additional trends from the EMS. It may also be as simple as dividing the available trend data into different categories, such as separating out Saturdays out because they operate the same hours as on-weekdays, but at only half the weekday staffing levels.
- Eliminating statistically insignificant independent variables.

After enhancement attempts, if the model is still outside the suggested range, this indicates that parameter coefficients are quite poorly determined and that normalized consumption will have a relatively high statistical prediction error. Ordinarily such a model should not be used for normalization, unless the analysis includes appropriate statistical treatment of this prediction error. Discussion of how to proceed in such circumstances is outside the scope of these guidelines.

3.6.34.6.3 Model Calibration

In estimating energy usage for systems and equipment, engineering models rely on thermodynamic, heat transfer, and other physical principles. When it is practical to do so, measure the energy use of the modeled system during the post-installation period. Then compare the estimated energy use (as derived from the model) to the measured use.

To calibrate the model to the measured use, adjust the model inputs or specification, as needed. The objective for this calibration process is to achieve a match between the modeled use and measured use that is within the limits defined by the IPMVP Option D protocol (summarized in the next table). Table 2). By applying the model to hourly data and comparing monthly and hourly values of metered data, bin models and statistical models can also be specified to achieve these limits, as determined by ASHRAE Guideline 14-2000.2002 (ASHRAE 2002).

Table 2. Model Calibration Criteria

Data Interval	Maximum Root Mean Square (RMS) Error	Maximum Mean Bias Error
Monthly	± 15%	± 5%
Hourly	± 30%	± 10%

45 Sample Design

Consult Chapter 11 ("Sample Design") for a description of general sampling procedures. Use this information when either when the HVAC controls measure includes a sufficiently large population of air handlers or the evaluation budget is constrained.

Ideally, use stratified sampling to partition the air handlers by size, type, and operating schedule. This ensures that sample findings can be extrapolated confidently to the remaining project population. The confidence- and precision-level targets that influence sample size are typically governed by regulatory or program administrator specifications.

56 Other Evaluation Issues

When claiming net program VFDHVAC controls measure impacts, consider the following evaluation issues in addition to considering the first-year gross impact findings:

- Net-to-Gross Estimationgross estimation
- Realization Ratesrates.

5.16.1 Net-to-Gross Estimation

The cross-cutting net-to-gross chapter, <u>Estimating Net Savings: Common Practices</u>, discusses an <u>various</u> approaches for determining net program impacts at a general level. To ensure that there is no double-counting of adjustments to impacts are not double-counted at a population level, follow the best practices that include close coordination between: (1) staff estimating gross and net impact results, and (2) the teams collecting site-specific impact data.

5.26.2 Realization Rates

For program-induced projects, divide the claimed (*ex*-*ante*) gross savings by the evaluated (*ex*-*post*) gross savings to calculate the realization rates.

References

American Society of Heating Refrigeration and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE). () 1983). Simplified Energy Analysis Using the Modified Bin Method.

NIST/SEMATECH. "e-Handbook of Statistical Methods." http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/

Reddy, T. Agami. Springer, (2011). Applied Data Analysis and Modeling for Energy Engineers and Scientists.

ASHRAE. (2002)... Guideline 14-2002 – Measurement of Energy and Demand Savings. (An update to this guideline is expected in 2014)

ASHRAE 2000. RP-1093 – Compilation of Diversity Factors and Schedules for Energy and Cooling Load Calculations

ASHRAE 2004. RP-1050 – Development of a Toolkit for Calculating Linear, Change-Point Linear and Multiple-Linear Inverse Building Energy Analysis Models

Bonneville Power Administration. (<u>(BPA)</u>. September 2011). Regression for M&V: Reference Guide

Agami Reddy., Springer; 2011 edition. Applied Data Analysis and Modeling for Energy Engineers and Scientists.

Efficiency Valuation Organization (EVO). (January 2012): International Performance Measurement and Verification Protocol – Concepts and Options for Determining Energy and Water Savings Volume 1.

Itron, Inc. and KEMA, Inc. (January 2012). Evaluation of the 2010-2012 Custom Impact Energy Efficiency Programs for the California IOUs – Procedures for Site-Specific Impact Analysis.

NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/

North America's Home and Building Automation Association. (2002). Best-Practices Guide for Evaluating Intelligent Building Technologies. IS 2002-28.

Pacific Northwest National Labs (PNNL). June 2012. Energy Savings Modeling of Standard Commercial Building Re-tuning Measures: Large Office Buildings