chnische Universität Münch

Wind Turbine Design Origins of Systems Engineering and MDAO for Wind Energy Applications

Carlo L. Bottasso Technische Universität München, Germany

WESE Workshop, Pamplona, Spain, 2-3 October 2019

Outline



 Some technical reasons behind the falling prices of energy from wind

Multidisciplinarity and the need for MDAO ►





MDAO tools:

architectures, methods, limits and gaps

Conclusions and outlook ►





Design Trends



Why?

Rated power: $P_r = \frac{1}{2} \rho A V_r^3 C_{P_{\text{max}}}$ Rated wind speed $V_r = \sqrt[3]{\frac{P_{r/A}}{\frac{1}{2} \rho C_{P_{\text{max}}}}}$

Since $C_{P_{\text{max}}}$ can not be drastically increased, the most effective way to decrease V_r is to reduce specific power (or power loading) P_r/A



More time spent in region III at full power, increased capacity factor



Design Trends & Challenges

Larger machines can not be designed by **simple upscaling** of smaller ones, to avoid cubic law of growth: need for R&D and technological innovation





Some Present and Future Technological Innovations that Enable Upscaling



Systems engineering -the final judge-: "Nice idea, but does it reduce CoE?"





Wind Energy Institute

(in part from G. van Kuik, TUDelft)

Multidisciplinarity & Couplings and the Need for MDAO

Wind Energy Institute

Wind Energy Institute

A Simple Example: Aero Optimum ≠ Structural Optimum

Example: INNWIND.EU 10 MW (class 1A, D=178.3, H=119m)

Baseline design by INNWIND.EU consortium

- 1. Perform purely aerodynamic optimization for max(AEP)
- 2. Follow with structural optimization for minimum weight

Dramatic reduction in solidity to improve AEP leads to large increase in weight

⇒ CoE increases (+2.6%)

Optimization-Based Design of WTGs

Pre-MDAO approach to design: discipline-oriented specialist groups

Requirements for multi-disciplinary optimization tools:

- Be <u>fast</u> (hours/days) (on <u>standard hardware</u>!)
- Provide solutions in <u>all areas (aerodynamics, structures, controls, sub-systems)</u> for specialists to refine/verify
- Account <u>ab-initio</u> for all complex couplings (no fixes a posteriori)
- Use <u>fully-integrated</u> tools (no manual intervention)

MDAO will **never replace** the experienced designer! ... but greatly speeds up design, improves exploration/knowledge of design space

Literature

Integrated tools:

- ECN: FOCUS (Duineveld, 2008)
- DTU:
 - HAWTOPT (Døssing, 2011)
 - New design framework by M. McWilliam
- NREL & Sandia: WISDEM (Dykes et al., 2014)
- POLIMI & TUM: Cp-Max (with A. Croce, P. Bortolotti & many others)
 - Begin in 2007 thanks to grant from TREVI Energy Spa
 - First presentations to industry from 2008 onwards
 - First conference presentations from 2009 onwards (EACWE 2009)
 - Papers: Bottasso et al., 2012–2015; Croce et al. 2016, Sartori et al. 2016, Bortolotti et al. 2016–19
- Several proprietary tools at various companies

What Does a Typical MDAO Tool Look Like?

Expensive performance analysis has to be repeated for each change in each design variable Possibly non-smooth load behavior (DLC jump)

Wind Energy Institute

"Coarse" level: 2D FEM & beam models

(Ref.: C.L. Bottasso et al., Multibody System Dynamics, 2014)

"Coarse" level: 2D FEM & beam models

(Ref.: C.L. Bottasso et al., Multibody System Dynamics, 2014)

Composite Co-Design

Idea:

- Define a parametric composite material model (mechanical properties vs. cost)
- Identify the best material for each component within the model

Result:

- Wind turbine designer: pick closest existing material within market products
- Material designer: design new material with optimal properties

Example: INNWIND.EU 10 MW

Redesign of **spar caps laminate** Optimum is between H-GFRP and CFRP

Combined optimum: Blade mass -9.3%, blade cost -2.9%

Airfoil Free-Form Co-Design

(Ref. Bottasso et al., J. Phys: Conf. Series, 524, 2014, SciTech 2015)

Some Open Issues: a Personal View

Conclusions

MDAO for WTGs: only about 10 years old, but growing strongly, gaining acceptance and delivering results

Work at TUM & POLIMI in collaboration with P. Bortolotti, H. Canet, F. Campagnolo, A. Croce, L. Sartori

