

MADE3D: Enabling the Next-Generation High-Torque- Density Wind Generators by Additive Design and 3D Printing

Latha Sethuraman*, Ganesh Vijayakumar, Shreyas Ananthan, Parans Paranthaman, Jonathan Keller, and Ryan King

03/11/2021

Session: Design for Additive Manufacturing 5th Conference for Wind Power Drives CWD 2021

ATK 2021

Recent Trends in Large Wind Turbine Projects

New offshore wind project with a trend toward direct-drive generators

- Size and mass bring challenges
- Diameter > 10 m
- Original equipment manufacturers are moving their factories to port locations
- Huge cranes to lift to a 140-m hub height
- High dependence on rare-earth elements
- Generator costs >\$3 million Dutch North Sea





Nacelle mass:

The Quest for High-Torque Densities



Reduction in total capital costs when increasing the torque density for the International Energy Agency (IEA) 15-MW reference wind turbine¹

Impact on levelized cost of energy (LCOE): 3%–3.5% improvement

There is a need for new approach

- Improving the torque densities by up to 2X can help reduce turbine capital costs by 12%.
- Up to 50% reduction in generator mass alone will be required
- Is this achievable for a radial-flux permanent-magnet machine?
- Design: two-dimensional assumptions on geometry result in excessive use of magnetically active and inactive materials
- Materials: High-grade neodymium-iron-boron (NdFeB) magnets - brittle with expensive critical rare-earth elements, such as dysprosium (Dy)
- Lighter cores will necessitate lightweight alloys with high saturation flux densities and better near-net shaping and mechanical strength
- Manufacturing: Prohibitively time- and laborintensive

¹Barter, G., Mendoza, N., Sethuraman L., Keller, J., Bennion, K., Kekelia, B., Cousineau, E., Feng, X., Kotecha, R., and Narumanchi, S. 2020. *Advanced next-generation high-efficiency lightweight wind turbine generator analysis*. National Renewable Energy Laboratory. NREL/TP-5000-77516.

Our Solution





Manufacturing and Additive Design of Electric Machines enabled by 3-Dimensional printing (MADE3D) is a multiyear project sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) aimed at overcoming some of the challenges and kick-starting a new paradigm for on-site manufacturing of high-power-density electric machine designs.

MADE3D-AML leverages advanced multiphysics topology optimization and LCOE toolsets to produce 3Dprintable, high-torquedensity electric machines with low-cost, lightweight materials.

Designs with 3D-printed stator cores



Photo credit : makeSEA



Photo credit : ORNL

Enabling technologies include new materials and advanced printing processes including binder jet additive manufacturing and selective laser melting.

High-torque-dense designs enabled by additive manufacturing



Enables complexity and up to 50% weight reduction compared to traditional designs



Photo credit : ExOne

Advanced Design: Topology Optimization

- A technique to control material distribution as well as geometrical boundaries
- > 50 design variables (each mesh element is a variable)



Source: Gangl et al. 2016. "Sensitivity-Based Topology and Shape Optimization for Electrical Machines subject to Nonlinear Magnetostatics."

- Designs are too complex
- Largely focused on material removal
- Computationally prohibitive for large structures
 - A single optimization run can take a few days even when distributing over a high-performance-computing cluster with 50–100 nodes.

MADE3D-Advanced Machine Learning (AML) as the Accelerator



- <u>MADE3D-AML</u> is NREL's new proprietary software for performing multiphysics topology optimization (TO) of electric machines. This tool:
 - Employs deep generative machine learning algorithms
 - \odot Has no limit on design variables
 - \odot Has high robustness in image recognition
 - Can be trained to behave as surrogate models for regression relatively quickly, thereby greatly reducing computational costs
 - \odot Can identify multiple designs that satisfy a criteria.

Additive Manufacturing Is Gaining Popularity for Small Motors

- Multimaterial processes for magnets, copper windings, and iron core are under development
- Design for additive manufacturing provides new opportunities with weaker magnets²





Equipmake's motor utilizing 3D-printed cooling channels and magnets



3D-printed windings by <u>Additive Drives</u>



² McGarry et al. 2019. *Optimization of Additively Manufactured Permanent Magnets for Wind Turbine Generators*. <u>2019</u> <u>IEEE International Electric Machines & Drives Conference (IEMDC)</u>. IEEE International Electric Machines & Drives Conference. Examine the magnetic optimization potential for the rotor of <u>the IEA</u> <u>15-</u> <u>MW reference wind turbine generator</u> using additive manufacturing and topology optimization powered by conventional approach and the National Renewable Energy Laboratory's new software, MADE3D-AML.



- Generator rotor active mass: ~58 tons
- Focus: Rotor active regions including the back iron and magnets
- Dimensions and masses
 Rotor core thickness: 63.62 mm
 M_{rotorcore}: 34.22 tons
 Magnet thickness: 58.39 mm
 M_{mag}: 24.08 tons
 T_{mean}/(M_{rotorcore} + M_{mag}): 351.28 Nm/kg
- Optimization goal: maximize torque/rotor active mass

Flux Contour at Rated Torque



Reference 15-MW generator sector (a) technology optimization design domain are bounded in yellow and (b) the magnetic flux density contour at rated torque conditions. The maximum rotor flux loading at rated torque condition was 1.35 Tesla.

Approach

• Investigate single-material TO

Material removal: single composition of magnets and steel for the rotor core

AND multimaterial TO



Material replacement: two different types of materials for both the magnets and rotor core

O We use a four-step experimental design approach



Design Space Definition and Scenarios

Case #	Mesh Region	Material 1	Material 2
1. Core only	12-by-4 grid	Ferro-silicon alloy Fe-3.0Si	Air Soft magnetic composite (SMC)
2. Magnets only	10-by-6 grid per pole	Sintered magnet (NdFeB)	Air Polymer bonded NdFeB magnet with zero dysprosium
3. Core and		Fe-3.0Si	Air/SMC
magnets		Sintered magnet	Air/polymer bonded NdFeB
	12-by-4 grid for rotor core	(NdFeB)	magnet with zero
	TO-DA-D Rug ber bole		aysprosium

NREL | 11

Data Generation





- Several patterns of single and multimaterial designs were generated using Latin-hypercube sampling. For N mesh elements, the total number of designs is: 1.1*(N+1)(N+2)/2
 - Rotor core: 1,348 designs
 - Magnet region: 8,120 designs



Data Generation





- Each design is evaluated by a transient magnetic FEA
- For rotor core evaluations, meanair gap torque and rotor flux loading were extracted
- For magnet design evaluation, only mean air-gap torque was extracted

Pattern representation inside the machine





Conventional Topology Optimization Approach



- Training data: designs
 with mesh variables,
 torque, mass, rotor flux
 loading
- Build surrogate model:
 construct regression
 models to get best
 fitness between input
 and output
 - Define target torque, mass, and flux density constraints
- Perform TO using
 response surface
 method

MADE3D-AML Topology Optimization Approach



- Use same training data: designs with mesh variables, torque, mass, rotor flux loading
- Build surrogate
 models: update and
 optimize networks in
 MADE3D-AML and train
- Define target
 torque, mass, and
 flux density limits
- Perform topology
 optimization

Computational Efficiency Regression Model vs. MADE3D-AML

	Conventi	onal TO		TO using MADE3D-AML					
CASES	Rotor Core TO		Magnet TO	Roto	or Core TO	Magnet TO			
	Single material	Multimaterial	Multimaterial	Single material	Multimaterial	Multimaterial			
Total Training Size	1,348	1,348	8,120	1,348	1,348	8,120			
DOE Data Generation	2 days	2 days 2 days		2 days	2 days	1 week			
Training	1.5 hours	1.5 hours	>28 hours	26 min	26 min	26 min			
Fitness Evaluation				55 s	55 s	55 s			
Optimization	5 hours	17 hours	30 hours	<5 min	<5 min	<5 min			

Time for training, fitness evaluation, and optimization is substantially lower with MADE3D-AML. Single-material magnet TO was not pursued because of limitations in training data.

Surrogate Models: Accuracy in Predictions Regression Model vs. MADE3D-AML

	Single mater	ial – rotor co	ore		Torque Predictions
CASES	Torque RMSE				
	Low Mass	High Mass	Median Mass	All cases	
Conventional Surrogate Model	0.513%	0.602%	0.527%	0.531%	20.50 20.25 20.00 19.75 19.50 19.25 19.00 19.75 19.00 19.5 20.00 19.5 19.00 19.5 20.00
MADE3D-AML	0.15%	0.24%	0.20%	0.202%	(WW) 20.50 20.25 20.00 19.75 19.50 19.50 19.25 19.00 19.75 19.00 19.50 19.00 19.50 19.50 19.00 19.55 20.00 20.55 19.00 19.55 20.00 20.55 19.00 19.55 20.00 20.55 19.00 19.55 20.00 20.55

Root-mean-square error (RMSE) in torque predictions is halved with the MADE3D-AML model.

Results of TO: Single-Material Designs – Both Approaches Resulted in ~14-ton Weight Reduction

REGRESSION MODEL (RM)

MADE3D-AML

	Objective f	function f ₁	Objective f	unction f ₂	Objective fu	unction f3	•	Objectiv	e function f1	Objectiv	e function f2	Objective	function f3							
Pattern mat1 mat2 (air)					Pattern mat1 air															
Manufacturability	Feas	ible	Feasi	ble	Feasi	ble	Manufacturability	fe	asible	fe	asible	corner conta	ct							
Pattern representa- tion inside the ma- chine							Pattern representa- tion inside the ma- chine													
M _{rotorcore} (tons)	20.5	527	20.5	27	19.9	95	M _{rotorcore} (tons)	23.95		22.81		19.95								
T _{mean}	RM	FEA	RM	FEA	RM	FEA		AML	FEA	AML	FEA	AML	FEA							
(MNm)	20.5	20.37	20.45	20.36	20.45	20.4	T _{mean} (MNm)	20.5	20.468	20.4	20.469	20.06	20.4							
%	I									9										
increase	29.	17	29.0	05	30.84		% increase in		<u>I</u>		<u>I</u>									
in TD							TD	2	13	2	43	31	.3							
Wall time to optimization	5 hc	ours	5 ho	urs	5 ho	urs	Wall time to optimization	< 5 min		< 5 min		< 5 min		< 5 min		< 5 min < 5 min		min	< 5 ו	min

Results of TO: Single-Material Designs: FEA Validation



 AML predictions for rotor flux loading closely resemble FEA results

Results of TO: Few Additional Designs Identified by MADE3D-AML



Multimaterial Designs for Rotor Core – ML Approach Replaced Material in Regions of Lower Magnetic Loading

REGRESSION MODEL (RM)

MADE3D-AML

_	Objec	tive function <i>f</i>	<i>i</i> Objectiv	e function f	2 Objective	function f3		Objecti	ve function f1	Objectiv	e function	f_2 O	bjective	function f3		
Pattern							Pattern mat1									
mat1							mat2									
mat2							Manufacturability	feasible		feasible		feas	ible			
Manufacturability Pattern representation inside the machine	n feasible		feasible		feasible		Pattern representation inside the machine									
M _{rotorcore} (tons)	32	.007	32.5	517	32.	07	M _{rotorcore} (tons)	33.28		33.21		31.9)5			
T _{mean}	RM	FEA	RM	FEA	RM	FEA	T _{mean}	AML	FEA	AML	FEA	A	ML	FEA		
(MNm)	20.45	20.432	20.46	20.44	20.454	20.45	(MNm)	20.48	20.47	20.48	20.47	20.4	14	20.44		
% increase in TD	3	.70	2.8	52	3.69		% increase in TD	1	.62	1.74		3.84		4		
Time to optimization	16.4	hours	16.5 h	ours	17 ho	ours	Wall time to optimization	< 5 min		< 5 min		< 5	min		< 5 r	nin

Results of TO: Few Additional Designs Identified by MADE3D-AML



M _{rotorcore} (tons)	33.28	33.21	31.95
Torque (MNm)	20.47	20.469	20.4
% increase in TD	1.68	1.74	3.84

Multimaterial Designs – Magnets: Up to 8.75% **Savings in Costs**

MADE3D-AML

REGRESSION MODEL (RM)							MADE3D-AML							
	Objective fu	nction f ₁	Objective	function f ₂	Objective f	unction f ₃		Objec	ctive func	tion f ₁	Objectiv	ve function f ₂	Objecti	ve function <i>f</i> ₃
Pattern mat1 'N' pole mat2 'N' pole mat1 'S' pole mat2 'S' pole							Pattern mat1 'N' pole mat2 'N' pole mat1 'S' pole mat2 'S' pole							
Manufacturability	Feasible- FGM	approach	Feasible- FG	M approach	Feasible- FGI	M approach	Manufacturability	Feasibl	e-FGM app	oroach	Feasible-F	GM approach	Feasible-F	GM approach
Pattern representation in side the machine							Pattern represent tation inside the machine							
M _{mag} (tons)	23.77		23.71		22.98		M _{mag} (tons)		23	.95		23.59	22.	987
IVI _{mag-mat1} (tons)	23.06		22.8		20.46		M _{mag-mat1} (to	ons)	23	.68	2	22.476	20	.46
Material cost savings (%)	2.49		3.23		8.75		Material	ons) cost	0.2	278		3.88	2.	51 75
Torque estimates	RM	A	RM	FEA	RM	FEA	Torque estir	nates	AML	FEA 20.37	AML	FEA 20.37	AML	FEA
(MNm)	20.51 20	.4	20.5	20.38	20.25	20.15		n TD	_0 21/	20.57	0 205	<u>20.37</u>		1 1 3 . 1
% increase in TD Time to optimization	0.184 32 hours		0.2 32.5 hours		0.67 32 hours		Time optimization	to n	<pre>0.314 0 < 5 min</pre>		< 5 min		< 5 min	

Summary

Overall, a total mass reduction of 15.1 tons was possible from rotor active parts for the 15-MW generator.

- MADE3D-AML demonstrated a significant reduction in computational costs and increase in accuracy in performance predictions.
- Additionally, a wider selection of optimal 3D printable designs was identified.
- Hybrid rotor core: Fe-3.0Si and low-strength SMC present a new opportunity to realize a low-loss, high-strength rotor core.
- Hybrid magnets: The sintered magnet and dysprosium-free, polymer-bonded magnet showed potential to save magnet costs by up to 8.75%.
- \circ We identified an improvement of more than 30% in torque/rotor active mass.
- The results will inspire a new paradigm for design-driven manufacturing with novel material compositions and lightweight, low-cost, high-strength multimaterial geometries that were previously unexplored for direct-drive generators.





High-torque-dense

designs enabled by

Manufacturing and Additive Design of Electric Machines enabled by 3-Dimensional printing (MADE3D) is a multiyear project sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) aimed at overcoming some of the challenges and kick-starting a new paradigm for on-site manufacturing of high-power-density electric machine designs.

Multimaterial printing

MADE3D-AML leverages advanced multiphysics

Additive Design Topology Optimization

Questions???

For partnership and licensing opportunities, please visit: https://www.labpartnering.org/lab-technologies/ 6ebf5c69-dc94-4393-a2e7-49042e16502d



Thank you

www.nrel.gov

NREL/PR-5000-79384

This work was authored by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided by U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Wind Energy Technologies Office. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

