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Estimated energy increase* of more than 50% in 7 years to ~140 billion kWh, equivalent to annual output of 50 power plants, with nearly 100 million metric tons of carbon emissions per year.

Data center electricity use would be 3.5% of total U.S. electricity use in 2020 according to projections.

Annual Energy Outlook 2019
Table: Electricity Supply, Disposition, Prices, and Emissions
Case: Reference case
Types of Data Centers

- **High performance computing**—High-speed computing-intensive application
- **Hyperscale, single customer**—Single company’s business needs. Hyperscale, single customer data centers are modularly designed to allow for the seamless increase of computing capacity. Sizes range from 100 kW to 100 MW.
- **Hyperscale, co-location**—Scaled appropriately based on the individual needs of multiple customers that contract from a third-party data center company.
- **Point of presence servers/mini data centers/micro data centers**—This design is smaller (50 kW to 2 MW).

Information collected from workshop participants and represents their views and opinions.
Power Scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thermal Integration</th>
<th>Frequency of Use</th>
<th>Incumbent Technology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prime</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>24/7/365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backup</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>~ 100 hrs/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backup + Grid</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>6 weeks of services + 100 hrs/yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Prime**—A large capacity fuel cell outside or smaller fuel cells integrated at the rack/row level inside the data center to meet the critical loads with optional thermal integration.
- **Backup**—Either a larger capacity fuel cell or distributed fuel cells used as backup and sized to meet the critical loads of the center.
- **Backup/Prime + Grid Services** - Services could include peak shaving, frequency regulation, and increased demand response.

Information collected from workshop participants and represents their views and opinions.
Integration Considerations

- **Footprint and electrical infrastructure**
  - Estimated 40% of the building footprint is electrical infrastructure
  - Multiple points of power conversions from the outside to IT racks
  - Each rack can be powered by up to four copper-based electrical cables that are several inches in diameter
  - Redundancy achieved using duplicate electrical infrastructure
  - AC power distributions more standardized options for IT racks and auxiliary data center systems

- **Thermal systems**
  - Higher quality heat might provide cooling through an adsorption chiller or other thermal systems like humidity control
  - Greater total efficiency

Information collected from workshop participants and represents their views and opinions.
Scenario Thoughts - Backup

- Advantages
  - Complete redesign of data center not necessary*
  - Option if diesel cannot be permitted
  - Fuel cells can offer robust durability and fast startup times

- Hurdles
  - Upfront capital cost (FC, H2 storage, and H2 supply) likely more expensive than diesel
  - Is regional hydrogen supply adequate for anticipated need?

- Grid support offers opportunity for improving the economics and leveling hydrogen supply requirements.

* If fuel cells used as direct replacement of diesel generators

Information collected from workshop participants and represents their views and opinions.
Scenario Thoughts – Large Prime

- **Advantages**
  - Thermal integration possible for both high- and low-temperature fuel cells
  - Redesign of electrical infrastructure not required
  - Potential for grid independence
  - Some UPS backup may be eliminated

- **Hurdles**
  - Relative price of natural gas/hydrogen to electricity
  - How are combined heat and power options incorporated
  - Design of redundancy and backup

- **Grid support opportunity**
  - Maintenance of grid connection would need to be investigated

Information collected from workshop participants and represents their views and opinions.
Scenario Thoughts – Distributed* Prime

- **Advantages**
  - Elimination of significant power electronics inside data center for stainless steel H2 pipelines and exhaust vents
  - Elimination of significant thermal load
  - Switch to DC power could improve metrics like power usage effectiveness (PUE)
  - Can be integrated with liquid cooled IT racks
  - Built in redundancy with design

- **Hurdles**
  - Radical redesign of data center power
  - Options for standardized DC powered IT racks and equipment are limited
  - Technicians required specialized training for work with DC power

* Distributed design also compatible with backup power

Information collected from workshop participants and represents their views and opinions.
Shifting to DC Power

Potential advantages
- Fuel cells output DC power
- Could simplify power electronics infrastructure
- Could decrease thermal loads

Hurdles
- DC power options for IT equipment are scarce and not standardized
- Technician training required for working with DC power

Information collected from workshop participants and represents their views and opinions.
## Onsite Storage and Flow Rates

Information collected from workshop participants and represents their views and opinions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Power Range</th>
<th>Module Size</th>
<th>For Specified Module Size</th>
<th>H2 Flow Rate at module peak power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hyperscale Computing</td>
<td>100 kW - 20 MW</td>
<td><strong>Onsite Storage 48-72 hrs (H₂)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Annual H₂ - Prime (50% LF)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 kW - 20 MW</td>
<td>60-90 tonne H₂</td>
<td>5,500 tonne H₂/y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15,000 nm³ H₂/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro datacenter</td>
<td>50 kW - 100 kW</td>
<td>300-450 kg H₂</td>
<td>27 tonne H₂/y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 kW - 2 MW</td>
<td></td>
<td>38 nm³ H₂/h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimated to demonstrate the scales required for onsite storage and flow rates.
Onsite Hydrogen Storage Requirements

Factors
- Capacity of 2-3 days of backup
- Footprint considerations
- Cost considerations
- Trade-offs between size of storage and frequency of delivery

Bulk Storage options
- Gaseous tank storage - Cost and footprint considerations. More appropriate for backup power.
- Cryogenic tanks – for >500 kg of hydrogen. Cost and boil-off considerations. More appropriate for prime power.
- Geologic cavern storage - regional option
- Other chemical carriers – R&D phase. Mechanism of release and flow rates would need evaluation.

Information collected from workshop participants and represents their views and opinions.
Hydrogen Supply

Currently the merchant market* is about 260 tonnes/day for liquid and 15,000 tonnes/day for gaseous.

The flexibility of the merchant market has tightened in recent years due to 1) new markets for FCEV’s and forklifts 2) aging liquefaction equipment retiring**.

Backup Scenarios may be limited to merchant market unless scenarios or synergies with other H2 users can be realized.

*Onsite production an option for large, regular usage

Information collected from workshop participants and represents their views and opinions.
Adoption Barriers

- Data center owner/operators
  - For-profit businesses in data, not energy
  - Conservative around technologies that may affect bottom line
  - Will look to large/established industry leaders as first adopters

- Factors in siting
  - Large space and power requirements
  - Regional conditions favorable to power, labor, land, business-friendly governments
  - Redundancy is important

- Obsoletion times (replacements/upgrades)
  - 3 years for IT equipment
  - 5 years for batteries
  - 5–10 years for power distributors
  - 30 years for generators

Information collected from workshop participants and represents their views and opinions.
Incumbent Technologies

- Prime power competes against grid electricity
  - Delivered power at 3¢/kWh (5¢/kWh for green)
  - Durability, efficiency, cost important over data center lifetime
- Backup power competes primarily against diesel generator/battery UPS
  - $1,000/kW delivered power
  - Cost main driver, durability and efficiency not as important

**BUT**
- Air permitting of diesels becoming harder
- Corporate strategies to increase the use of non-carbon/green fuels

Information collected from workshop participants and represents their views and opinions.
What is first step?

- Hyperscale computing application wants scalability of 20–30 MW module designs
- Testing mini data centers between 100 kW and 2 MW had wider acceptance.
- Natural gas was also seen as a potential bridge to green hydrogen
- Biggest potential benefit from most radical redesign (distributed prime power)
- Some options may not provide much/any economic benefit

Information collected from workshop participants and represents their views and opinions.
Actions Going Forward

- A techno-economic analysis of specific scenarios
- Pilot project(s) by a major industry entity where the government takes the initial risk
  - Backup power less disruptive
  - Micro data centers lower-risk options in backup or prime
  - Distributed prime many advantages but the most disruptive
  - Collaboration with Indian Tribes or underserved people
- Reliable hydrogen supply is key
  - Buildout plan leverages multiple sectors and applications
- Utility companies engaged for impacts on the grid.
- Industry panel on safety and standards for DC power
- Training programs for handling DC power equipment
- System integration R&D for balance of plant and system cohesion.
- R&D into low-cost bulk storage options is needed

Information collected from workshop participants and represents their views and opinions.
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Key Considerations

- Implicit assumption in previous estimates that hydrogen is the key end-product
  - Dependent on fuel cell type used
- H2 Carrier
  - Onsite space requirements (energy density) and vessel cost
  - Onsite weight not likely an issue for this application
- Delivery requirements and considerations
  - Frequency of deliveries
  - Time for off-loading of carrier
- Process for onsite hydrogen release
  - Response time of system start-up important for backup scenarios
  - Redundancy to reduce compressed hydrogen storage
  - Thermal integration a possibility in prime power applications

Information collected from workshop participants and represents their views and opinions.
Key Challenges/Opportunities for Alternate H₂ Carriers

- Compressed hydrogen
  - Large space requirement for quantities needed
- Liquid Hydrogen
  - Not suitable for purely backup application
- Alternate H₂ Carriers
  - Competes against traditional H₂ storage *and* incumbent technologies
  - Application requires reliable supply and large quantities
  - *Green/renewably-sourced H₂ an industry priority, but fossils fuels can be part of the transition*

Information collected from workshop participants and represents their views and opinions.