

Re-thinking plant operation and control – maximizing profitability over the plant lifetime

Christopher Bay, Jennifer King, Caitlyn Clark, Paul Fleming, and Garrett Barter 02.10.2019 Wind Energy Systems Engineering Workshop Pamplona, Spain

Controls in Wind Plants

- Recent focus on wind plant controls
- Goals of wind farm control:
 - AEP gains
 - Grid services
 - Load reduction
- How it is being done:
 - Wake steering
 - Axial induction control
 - Individual Pitch Control (IPC)
- General need for controls-oriented models





Horns Rev 1 (Photographer: Christian Steiness)

FLORIS: Controls-Oriented Wind Farm Model

FLORIS framework provides a computationally inexpensive, controls-oriented modeling tool for steady-state characteristics in wind farms.

Available on github (<u>https://github.com/NREL/floris</u>) with several examples.

Models currently implemented:

- Jensen model for velocity deficit
- Jimenez model for wake deflection
- Gauss model for deflection and velocity deficit
- Curl model for deflection and velocity deficit



Gaussian Model



Gaussian Model



Aerodynamics of Wake Steering



Aerodynamics of Wake Steering



Aerodynamics of Wake Steering



Effects from first turbine persist downstream.

Wake steering is even more beneficial in large rows of turbines (offshore)

Overview of the Curl Model



Public release later this year •

Martínez-Tossas, L. A., Annoni, J., Fleming, P. A., and Churchfield, M. J.: The aerodynamics of the curled wake: a simplified model in view of flow control, Wind Energ. Sci., 4, 127-138, https://doi.org/10.5194/wes-4-127-2019, 2019.

Application of Wake Steering

- Wake deflection can be used in wind turbine arrays/farms to increase AEP
- Gain in downstream turbines can outweigh loss in upstream turbines
- Wake steering is more powerful in low-TI conditions
- Active research into wake steering over recent years



*Not a complete list





(Fleming, et. al., 2019)

NREL | 10

Systems Engineering + Wake Steering

Old locations

6000

8000

 \times (m)

6000

5000

4000 ĵ

> 3000

2000

1000

0

4000

New locations

10000

12000

- What becomes possible when you include wake steering in the design process?
 - Increase AEP (regular or tight boundaries)
 - Maintain AEP while minimizing footprint

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x,y,\gamma}{\text{minimize}} & -\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{turb}}} P_i \left(x_i, y_i, \gamma_i, w_s, w_d, f \right) & \underset{x,y,\gamma}{\text{minimize}} & -\frac{1}{A(x,y)} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{turb}}} P_i \left(x_i, y_i, \gamma_i, w_s, w_d, f \right) \\ \text{subject to} & \underline{x} < x_i < \overline{x}, \qquad S_i > 3D, \\ & \underline{y} < y_i < \overline{y}, \qquad \underline{\gamma} < \gamma_i < \overline{\gamma} \\ & \underline{y} < y_i < \overline{y}, \qquad \underline{\gamma} < \gamma_i < \overline{\gamma}, \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{turb}}} P_i > P_{\text{init}} \end{array}$$

Illustrative Example



Baseline power: 5.46 MW



Individual Spacing Optimization Results



• Significant reduction in average spacing of turbines



13

Constant Spacing Optimization Results



• Effective yaw model gives a more aggressive approach



14

Validation Against SOWFA









Validation Against SOWFA



Influence of Initial Conditions on What Can be Achieved

- Performed sweep of different optimization initial conditions
- Trends show closer-spaced turbines have more potential for footprint reduction while maintaining same AEP output
- Lower yaw angles give larger spacings more potential



Influence of Initial Conditions on What Can be Achieved

Footprint Reduction from Wake Steering, 30 Degree Yaw ne Power) Performed sweep of different 1.4optimization initial conditions Footprint Reduction from Wake Steering, 25 Degree Yaw Footprint Reduction from Wake Steering, 20 Degree Yaw (Jawel) Power) 1.4 Power Ratio (Yawed Power/Baseline Power/Baseline .2 1.2 .0 1.0 Normalized for 6D Spacing Normalized for 6D Spacing Power Ratio (Yawed Normalized for 7D Spacing Normalized for 7D Spacing g 0.8 8 0 Normalized for 8D Spacing Normalized for 8D Spacing g Normalized for 9D Spacing Normalized for 9D Spacing Normalized for 10D Spacing Normalized for 10D Spacing g 0.6 0.6 Normalized for 11D Spacing Normalized for 11D Spacing Normalized for 12D Spacing Normalized for 12D Spacing 3.0 0.4 0. e) 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 2.5 3.0 3.0 Distance Between Turbines (Yawed Distance/Baseline Distance) Distance Between Turbines (Yawed Distance/Baseline Distance)

Summary

- Limited previous work of wake steering + layout has been completed in literature
- Improving FLORIS' modelling of yawing turbine effect for deeper arrays
- New FLORIS code has optimization module
 - Under continuing development
- Gaining further intuition into wake steering + layout
- Opportunities for co-design optimizations, tying together controls, layout, cabling, lease area, loads, etc.



(Gebraad, et. al., 2016)



Optimized Layout and Yaw

Thank you

www.nrel.gov

NREL/PR-5000-75660

This work was authored by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided by U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Wind Energy Technologies Office. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

