NREL
NATIONAL RENEWABLE ENERGY LABORATORY

40
1977 – 2017





Bifacial PV Performance Models: Comparison and Field Results

Chris Deline, B. Marion, S. MacAlpine (NREL)
J. Stein (SNL), F. Toor (U. Iowa), S. Ayala (U. Ariz.)

BiFiPV 2017 Workshop – Konstanz Germany
October 26, 2017
NREL/PR-5K00-70463

Outline

- Project overview
- Rear irradiance models
- Field validation
- Edge effects
- Irradiance nonuniformity

3-Yr Bifacial Research Project (2016-2018)

Collaborative project between Sandia, NREL and University of Iowa
(**pvpmc**.sandia.gov/pv-research/bifacial-pv-project/)

Task 1: Measure Outdoor Bifacial Performance

- Module scale
  - Adjustable rack IV curves (height, tilt, albedo, and backside shading effects)
  - Spatial variability in backside irradiance
  - Effects of backside obstructions
- String scale
  - Fixed tilt rack (tilt, mismatch effects)
  - Single axis tracker (investigate potential)
  - Two-axis tracker
- System scale
  - String level monitoring on commercial systems (validation data)

Stein, J. S., D. Riley, M. Lave, C. Deline, F. Toor and C. Hansen (2017). Outdoor Field Performance of Bifacial PV Modules and Systems. 33rd European PV Solar Energy Conference and Exhibition. Amsterdam, Netherlands. SAND2017-10254

3-Yr Bifacial Research Project (2016-2018)

Task 2: Develop Performance Models

Ray Tracing simulation

- Bifacial_Radiance software release
  github.com/cdeline/bifacial_radiance
- Configuration analysis publication[1]
  - Effect of row spacing, tilt optimization
  - Validation of model using Sandia field data



Screenshot – Bifacial_Radiance software

View Factor model

- BifacialVF software release
  github.com/cdeline/bifacialVF
- Method publication[2]
  - Model detail and configuration
  - Validation of model using NREL field data
- Integration with SAM software scheduled 2018



View Factor ground reflection geometry

[1] A. Asgharzadeh et al, "Analysis of the impact of installation parameters and system size on bifacial gain and energy yield of PV systems", IEEE PVSC 2017

[2] B. Marion et al., "A Practical Irradiance Model for Bifacial PV Modules", IEEE PVSC 2017 . https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy17osti/67847.pdf

Radiance CumulativeSky pre-processor

Typical ray-tracing approach: use Perez model to generate hourly sky description

- Runtime = hours for annual simulations

CumulativeSky approach: sum annual hourly irradiance into 145 sky patches

- Runtime = seconds for annual simulation.



Single hourly Perez sky (W/m^2)



Annual cumulative sky conditions (kWh/m^2)

Robinson, Stone "Irradiation modelling made simple: the cumulative sky approach" 2004

Modeling Rear Irradiance – parameters to consider



Size of array / simulation
Location
Weather
Sky Diffuse Model

Others:
Spacing between cells
Shade obstructions

Bifacial Gain Calculation

$$E_{bifacial} = (1 + BG_E)E_{monofacial}$$

Bifacial Energy Gain =

Module Bifaciality * Rear Irradiance Ratio – *Mismatch, shading loss*

$$\text{Bifaciality} = \frac{P_{mp,rear}}{P_{mp,front}}$$ (from single side flash data)*

Rear Irradiance Ratio
$= f(albedo, tilt, row\ spacing, height, racking, module\ transparency, climate)$

Our focus today

* V. Fakhfouri IEC TS 60904-1-2 ED1 (2017)

Industry Bifacial Models for comparison

PVSyst – 2D “unlimited sheds” bifacial model

- 6.6.4 update increased bifacial response

Solar World “Boost Calculator” – web interface

- Empirical model, not climate sensitive[1]



PVSyst 6.6.4 bifacial interface



SolarWorld online calculator

http://www.solarworld.de/fileadmin/calculator

[1]M. Kutzer et al., “Ertragssteigerung durch bifaciale Modultechnologie”, Symposium Photovoltaische Solarenergie, 2016

PVSyst – Bifacial rear irradiance calculation



Model intercomparison - height



Low tilt high albedo rooftop application
Richmond VA, 1.5m row spacing, 10° tilt, 0.62 albedo

Model intercomparison – row spacing



Low tilt high albedo rooftop application
Richmond VA, 0.15 m height, 10° tilt, 0.62 albedo

Not very good agreement

Field Validation: 3-row mock array
Adjustable spacing, tilt, height

Field Validation: 3-row mock array
Low ground clearance configuration

Mock array configuration - 4 rear, 2 forward facing irradiance



- Tilt= 10deg.
- Slant length = 610mm
- Height = 100 - 500mm
- Row spacing = 900 - 1800mm
- Albedo = 0.62
- Compare measured with site modeled conditions

(normalized)
→ 1
→ 0.16 - 0.9
→ 1.5 - 3

Mock Array – comparison with NREL models - Height



$$\frac{G_{rear,meas} - G_{rear,model}}{G_{rear,meas}} < 3\%$$

- 2 months field data
- RayTrace model reflects finite experiment size at high ground clearance.

Mock Array – comparison with models – Row spacing



$$\frac{G_{rear,meas} - G_{rear,model}}{G_{rear,meas}} < 20\%$$

- OK agreement. Additional conditions under test

System Modeling – Edge Effects

Modules / row



Total # Rows



Richmond VA, 1.5 m row spacing, 10° tilt, 0.62 alb.
1m landscape module width
20 modules, 3 rows default

System Modeling – Edge Effects

Modules / row



* = 1% edge effect

Total # Rows



* = 1% edge effect

Richmond VA, 1.5 m row spacing, 10° tilt, 0.62 alb.
1m landscape module width
20 modules, 3 rows default

Rear Irradiance Distribution and Mismatch loss





$$Energy\ \%loss = \frac{kWh_{avg} - kWh_{detailed}}{kWh_{avg}}$$

- **Current models only return an average value**. This doesn't capture additional shading or distribution mismatch loss.
- **Spatial distribution of rear irradiance** increases for low ground clearance
- **Energy loss can be significant** (e.g. 10% bifacial gain -> 8%)

Thank you!

Chris Deline
National Renewable Energy Laboratory
chris.deline@nrel.gov
github.com/cdeline

www.nrel.gov

This work was authored in part by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided by the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Solar Energy Technologies Office. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

NREL
NATIONAL RENEWABLE ENERGY LABORATORY

BACKUP SLIDES

NREL View Factor Model[1]

- Ground divided into *n* segments in row-to-row direction and shading determined for each
- Irradiance on each ground segment found using view of the sky (configuration factors)
- Rear side irradiance is sum of sky, ground reflected, object reflected components
- Runtime 4 seconds for annual simulation



[1]B. Marion, "A Practical Irradiance Model for Bifacial PV Modules", *IEEE PVSC*, 2017.

Ongoing work: Single-axis tracking



Improvement: the view factor model has been extended to apply to bifacial tracking PV systems. Field validation is underway.