

Thermomechanical Modeling of Sintered Silver – A Fracture Mechanics-based Approach: Extended Abstract

Preprint

Paul Paret, Douglas DeVoto, and Sreekant Narumanchi

Presented at the13th International Conference and Exhibition on Device Packaging Fountain Hills, Arizona March 6 – 9, 2017

NREL is a national laboratory of the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy Operated by the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC

This report is available at no cost from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) at www.nrel.gov/publications.

Conference Paper NREL/CP-5400-67908 August 2017

Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308

NOTICE

The submitted manuscript has been offered by an employee of the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC (Alliance), a contractor of the US Government under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Accordingly, the US Government and Alliance retain a nonexclusive royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so, for US Government purposes.

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or any agency thereof.

This report is available at no cost from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) at www.nrel.gov/publications.

Available electronically at SciTech Connect http://www.osti.gov/scitech

Available for a processing fee to U.S. Department of Energy and its contractors, in paper, from:

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Scientific and Technical Information P.O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062 OSTI <u>http://www.osti.gov</u> Phone: 865.576.8401 Fax: 865.576.5728 Email: <u>reports@osti.gov</u>

Available for sale to the public, in paper, from:

U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service 5301 Shawnee Road Alexandria, VA 22312 NTIS <u>http://www.ntis.gov</u> Phone: 800.553.6847 or 703.605.6000 Fax: 703.605.6900 Email: <u>orders@ntis.gov</u>

Cover Photos by Dennis Schroeder: (left to right) NREL 26173, NREL 18302, NREL 19758, NREL 29642, NREL 19795.

Thermomechanical Modeling of Sintered Silver – A Fracture Mechanics-Based Approach

Paul Paret, Douglas DeVoto, and Sreekant Narumanchi National Renewable Energy Laboratory 15013 Denver West Pkwy. Golden, CO, USA - 80041 Ph: 303-275-4376 Email: Paul.Paret@nrel.gov

Abstract

Sintered silver has proven to be a promising candidate for use as a die-attach and substrate-attach material in automotive power electronics components. It holds promise of greater reliability than lead-based and lead-free solders, especially at higher temperatures (>200°C). Accurate predictive lifetime models of sintered silver need to be developed and its failure mechanisms thoroughly characterized before it can be deployed as a die-attach or substrate-attach material in wide-bandgap device-based packages. We present a finite element method (FEM) modeling methodology that can offer greater accuracy in predicting the failure of sintered silver under accelerated thermal cycling. A fracture mechanics-based approach is adopted in the FEM model, and J-integral/thermal cycle values are computed. In this paper, we outline the procedures for obtaining the J-integral/thermal cycle values in a computational model and report on the possible advantage of using these values as modeling parameters in a predictive lifetime model.

Key words

J-integral, predictive lifetime model, sintered silver, thermomechanical modeling, power electronics.

I. Introduction

A power electronics package in an automotive inverter, as shown in Fig. 1, will need to be redesigned with novel materials if it is to withstand the thermal and mechanical challenges brought about by the usage of wide-bandgap devices and elevated temperatures. Materials that can reliably operate at higher temperatures (>200°C) for long periods of time need to be used. Sintered silver is one such material that has proven to be a promising candidate for use as a bonded material at the interfaces. As opposed to certain solders, it can reliably operate at higher temperatures without significant creep issues while still efficiently conducting heat away from the devices. Although the choice of sintered silver in electronics packages is not fully without any drawbacks due to the requirement of higher application pressures (~40 MPa) for bonding, research over time has led to several manufacturers to develop sintered silver materials that require lower (3-5 MPa) or no bonding pressures. Pressure-bonded sintered silver has even been commercially deployed as a die-attach material in inverters/converters, but large-area applications of pressureless sintered-silver material and its reliability evaluation are still in the research and development phase.

A major output of the reliability evaluation of an interface material is its predictive lifetime model. Failure of these materials due to thermomechanical fatigue when subjected to thermal or mechanical loads in its operational environment is a major concern in the automotive industry. Inaccurate lifetime estimations of interface materials lead to a large safety factor in the design of electronics packages, which in turn results in conservative designs and increased costs. Hence, accurate predictive lifetime models are crucial to the industry in cost- and time-effective optimal designs.



Fig. 1. A traditional power electronics package.

Predictive lifetime models based on different parameters such as stress and strain have been formulated in the past. A detailed review of the predictive models developed for solder joint fatigue is available in [1]. Among these different models, researchers have identified energy-based models to be a good indicator of solder joint fatigue. In energy-based models, strain energy, which combines the effect of both stresses and strains in a single term, is correlated with experimentally obtained cycles to failure through a data-fitting equation. This approach can be reasonably applied to sintered-silver, provided they undergo the failure mechanisms under similar loading conditions.

Another class of lifetime models that can offer better predictive capabilities of interface material failure is fracture-mechanics-based fatigue models. Instead of strain energy density, stress intensity factor or J-integral values are computed through simulations, and then correlated with experimental results to formulate the predictive lifetime model. The rationale behind adopting a fracture mechanicsbased approach is that the failure mechanisms observed for solder and sintered silver under thermal cycling is crack initiation and propagation. Hence, it can be reasonably argued that a parameter that can adequately describe the deformation and stress field around a crack front in the material can offer improved accuracy in predicting its lifetime.

In this paper, we first describe the sample and the loading conditions. Then, we explain the modeling procedure to calculate strain energy density/thermal cycle of a large-area, substrate attach in an experimental sample and also outline the modeling methodology adopted to compute J-integral/ cycle values in an FEM model.

II. Sample Description & Loading Conditions

The sample consisted of a substrate-attach bonded between a 5-mm-thick Cu baseplate and a 0.72-mm-thick directbonded-copper substrate with the ceramic thickness being 0.32 mm. The ceramic material was Si_3N_4 . Both the baseplate and the substrate ceramic had a 50.8-mm x 50.8mm footprint area while the edges of the substrate attach and the substrate metallization were offset by 1 mm from the outer edge of the sample. We adopted this sample configuration from a previous study that was conducted at NREL.

We created a meshed, quarter-symmetric model of the sample in ANSYS for analysis, as shown in Fig. 2. A modified thermal cycling profile based on Joint Electron Devices Engineering Council (JEDEC) standard number 22-A104D [2] was applied to the entire FEM model as the boundary loading condition. The load profile started at 25°C, the reference temperature, followed by a ramp up to 150°C, and then the cyclic loading initiated. First, the temperature was held constant at 150°C for 10 minutes, and then ramped down to -40°C. The temperature was again held constant at -40° C for another 10 minutes, and finally ramped up to 150° C to complete one cycle. The ramp rate was set at 5°C/min for both ramping phases. Also, a fixed point load was applied to the center node in addition to

symmetry boundary conditions to prevent rigid body motion of the model.

In this study, we used the Anand constitutive model [3] to simulate the deformation behavior of sintered-silver, but the appropriate constitutive model to use is an aspect that requires further study. Different types of sintered-silver materials have been described in the literature, and constitutive models developed for one material type may not accurately depict the deformation process in another. Additionally, the type of constitutive model that can best represent sintered silver is still an active area of research.



Fig.2. Quarter-symmetric model of the sample. Tetrahedral mesh is used.

III. Modeling Methodology

A. Strain Energy Density

Strain energy density at a particular node in the FEM model is the time integral of the product of stresses and incremental strains in all six directions at that node. As mentioned in the Introduction, it is a well-defined parameter that has proven to correlate well with experimental cycles-to-failure results. In the postprocessing phase of the FEM model of the sample, strain energy density values were found to be higher at the corner fillet regions due to higher stress concentrations. We separated out the fillet volume at the corner region from the rest of the sample, and using ANSYS commands, computed a volume-averaged value of the strain energy density/ thermal cycle results in this region. We adopted this volume-averaging technique from [4].

B. J-integral

J-integral is an elastic-plastic fracture mechanics parameter that was developed to describe the stress and displacement fields around a crack tip or crack front. It is a contour integral computed along a counterclockwise path around a crack front and is a convenient parameter for crack tip studies mainly because of its path-independent nature. However, it has to be noted that the path independence of Jintegral for elastic-plastic materials holds only under certain circumstances, such as when the material behavior can be described based on a deformation theory of plasticity [5] or when the material is subjected to purely monotonic loading. Under such circumstances, elastic-plastic materials can be idealized as non-linear elastic materials, and J-integral effectively characterizes the crack tip stress and displacement field.

Most of the constitutive models that were developed to describe material deformation are based on an incremental theory of plasticity. In these models, material plasticity is defined in the form of a strain rate tensor as a function of other parameters such as time, temperature, and stress. A few researchers have reported that the incremental theory of plasticity mimics the deformation theory of plasticity in cases when the applied loading is proportional in all directions, and J-integral remains path-independent [6]. Nevertheless, even if the loading is non-proportional under the incremental theory of plasticity, the path-independent nature of J-integral does not completely break down [7]. It exhibits a converging trend with successive contours around a crack front. This converged value can be considered as a valid parameter to input in the predictive lifetime models. It also has to be noted that the relevance of parameters computed numerically can be justified only after developing a model incorporating experimental results and determining the accuracy of that model in predicting the lifetime of sintered-silver samples tested under different loading conditions.



Fig. 3. Crack feature inserted in the model.

Previous simulations of sintered silver in ANSYS showed that higher values of strain energy density occur in the corner fillet regions where the cracks are likely to initiate. In order to calculate J-integral in ANSYS, we manually inserted a crack feature in the model, as shown in Fig. 3. The semi-elliptical red curve denotes the manually inserted crack front. Six contours, shown as circles that run through the entire crack front, can be seen at both ends of the crack. We discretized these features sufficiently enough to obtain convergence in the computed J-integral values. For a contour surrounding the crack front, J-integral is given by the equation:

$$J = \int_{\Gamma} (W.\,dy - T_i.\left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x}\right).\,ds \tag{1}$$

 $\Gamma-\text{contour}$ surrounding the crack front

- W-strain energy density
- T_i traction vector
- u_i displacement vector

In ANSYS, J-integral is actually computed using an energy domain integral method. More details of this method can be found in [8].

We conducted three simulations with incrementally decreasing bond pad areas to get J-integral values that correspond to different stages of crack propagation. More simulations were run computing strain energy density values as it was computationally less intense.

IV. Results & Discussions

Fig. 4 shows a plot of strain energy density per cycle value for different bond pad regions obtained through multiple simulations.



Fig. 4. Strain energy density results.

For simulations with the crack feature, a contour plot of Jintegral along the crack front was obtained in the post processing phase. Analysis of J-integral values at the crack front nodes from each contour shows that path dependence becomes less pronounced with each successive contour and is within 5% to 6% after six contours. In order to avoid any singularity issues, J-integral values from the sixth contour were then averaged along the crack front nodes. Also, as Jintegral is a cumulative value, it keeps increasing with each thermal cycle. Hence, J-integral over a thermal cycle range was obtained and taken as the final output. Fig. 5 shows a contour plot of J-integral along the crack front.



Fig. 5. J-integral plot.

A plot of J-integral/cycle values versus bond length is shown in Fig. 6. Similar to the strain energy density values in Fig. 4, J-integral values exhibit a rising trend with decreasing bond lengths.



Fig. 6. J-integral versus bond length.

III. Conclusion

In this paper, we have outlined a modeling methodology to compute strain energy density and J-integral values of sintered-silver material in a representative power electronics package. J-integral, despite its perceived limitations in applicability to materials defined using an incremental plasticity theory, can be used as a modeling parameter input in predictive lifetime models. With successive contours around a crack front, it exhibits a converging trend and becomes more or less pathindependent. Finally, the relevance of these parameters for use in predictive lifetime models can be determined only based on the accuracy of the model when applied to similar sintered-silver material bonded configurations subjected to different loading conditions.

The next steps in this project include efforts to develop an appropriate constitutive model for pressureless sintered silver and obtaining experimental cycles-to-failure results. With experimental results in place, predictive lifetime models will be formulated based on both strain energy density and J-integral values separately, and their accuracy will be tested under different loading conditions.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank Andrew Wereszczak (Oak Ridge National Laboratory) for providing technical guidance throughout this project. The authors acknowledge financial support for the work provided by Susan Rogers, Technology Manager for the Electric Drive Technologies Program, Vehicle Technologies Office, U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE).

This research was performed using computational resources sponsored by the EERE, located at the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL). NREL is a national laboratory of the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of EERE, operated by the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308 with NREL. Funding provided by U.S. DOE Office of EERE Vehicle Technologies Office. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

References

- W. Lee, L. Nguyen, and G. Selvaduray, "Solder joint fatigue models: Review and applicability to chip scale packages," *Microelectronics Reliability*, vol. 40, 2000, pp. 231–244.
- [2] *JEDEC Standard Temperature Cycling*, JESD22-A104D, March 2009.
- [3] L. Anand, "Constitutive equations for hot working of metals," *Int. J. Plasticity*, vol. 29, no.2, 1985, pp. 213–231.
- [4] R. Darveaux, "Effect of simulation methodology on solder joint crack growth correlation," *J. of Electron Packag.*, vol. 124, Jul. 2002, pp. 147–154.
- [5] J. R. Rice, "A path independent integral and the approximate analysis of strain concentration by notches and cracks," *J. of App. Mech.*, vol. 35, 1968, pp. 379-386.
- [6] J. R. Rice, "Mathematical analysis in the mechanics of fracture," *Fracture: An Advanced Treatise*, vol. II, H. Liebowitz, ed., Academic, New York, 1968, pp. 191-311.
- [7] W. Brocks and I. Scheider, Numerical aspects of the pathdependence of the J-integral in incremental plasticity – How to calculate reliable J-values in FE analyses, Internal Report, Köln-Porz: Institute für Werkstofforschung, Oct. 2001.
- [8] C. Cueto-Felgueroso, "Implementation of domain integral approach for J-integral evaluations," *Transactions SMiRT 16*, Washington D. C., Aug. 2001.