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Permanent Magnet Synchronous Condenser for Wind Power Plant Grid Connection Support
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Abstract — A synchronous condenser (SC) using a permanent magnet synchronous generator (PMSG) is proposed for providing necessary reactive power to a wind power plant to support its connection to a weak grid. A PMSG has the advantage of higher efficiency and reliability. Because of its lack of a field winding, a PMSG is typically controlled by a full-power converter, which can be costly. In the proposed system, the reactive power of the SC is controlled by a serially connected compensator operating in a closed-loop configuration. The compensator also damps the PMSG’s tendency to oscillate. The compensator’s VA rating is only a fraction of the rating of the SC and the PMSG. In this initial investigation, the proposed scheme is shown to be effective by computer simulations.

Index Terms—Wind power plant, grid connection, synchronous condenser, permanent magnet synchronous machine, reactive power control, voltage stabilization.

I. INTRODUCTION

High impedance of a weak grid connection limits the output power of a wind power plant operating at unity power factor. This limitation can be alleviated by sufficiently high VAR support. Although it is possible for wind turbine generators to produce the necessary VAR, this approach can substantially increase the VA rating requirement (and hence the cost) of the wind generators and control inverters. Synchronous condensers (SCs) have been used traditionally in the power industry to support weak grids that have poor voltage regulation. Static equipment such as static synchronous compensators (STATCOMs) and static VAR compensators (SVCs) [1] are now often used for reactive power production. SVCs and STATCOMs have the advantage of faster responses [2]. Under certain grid fault conditions [3], SCs provide higher reactive power, and, more importantly, the kinetic energy stored in the rotor provides inertial support to the grid during faults [4]. The inertia support capability and fast response time become more important as the grid-connection requirements (such as low-voltage ride-through) for distributed generation become more stringent and wind turbines are required to provide ancillary services to support grid stability.

The proposed VAR compensation scheme utilizes a PMSG-based SC instead of a wound-field machine as in a traditional SC. The control of VAR output from the proposed SC is achieved by a series compensator connected to the PMSG. This compensator also damps the PMSG’s tendency to oscillate when connected to an AC source [5]. A crowbar circuit should be used to protect the power electronics of the compensator circuit during a grid fault.

II. THEORY OF OPERATION OF THE PROPOSED REACTIVE POWER CONTROL

2.1 Power Transmission Limitation

Fig. 1 shows a model of a WPP connected to a weak grid. $V_G$ and $V_L$ in the figure represent the voltage at the infinite bus and at the point of interconnection (POI) of the WPP, respectively. $R$ and $jX$ represent the transmission line impedance. Note that in most cases the transmission line resistance is often considered negligible. In weak grids, the impedance is often five to ten times higher than strong grids.

![Fig. 1. A wind power plant connected to a weak grid.](image)

The current $I_G$ in Fig. 1 can be expressed as in (1).

$$I_G = \frac{V_L - V_G}{R + jX}$$

(1)

Consider a WPP that operates at unity power factor. The current, $I_G$, is in phase with the voltage, $V_L$, as in (2), and in this case the WPP output power is given in (3).

$$\angle I_G = \angle V_L$$

(2)

$$P = 3|I||V_L|$$

(3)

The relationships between the WPP POI voltage, $V_L$, and the WPP output power, $P$, can be derived from (1), (2), and (3). Fig. 2 shows this relationship for the case $V_G = 12$ kV, $X = 11.31$ $\Omega$ (line inductance = 30 mH), and $R = 0.01$ $\Omega$. As shown, in this case the maximum power transmission is approximately 6.5 MW, and it is achieved with the WPP connection voltage drop to approximately 70% of the nominal voltage, which, of course, is not acceptable.

2.2 Reactive Power Control

Fig. 3 shows the configuration of the proposed PMSG-based SC. As shown, the controller’s output voltage is connected in series to the phase windings of the PMSG through...
transformers. It is possible to directly connect the compensator output in series to the PMSG (without the transformers) if the inverter circuit in the compensator is properly designed. The connection can also be made on the grid side if the common point of the PMSG’s Y-connection cannot be accessed and separated. In this case, the direct connection to the compensator inverter circuit would be difficult because of the high voltage and lack of a common voltage reference point.

The compensator output voltage, \( V_c \), is controlled to be in-phase with \( V_L \) via a phase-locked loop. Also, the PMSG has no mechanical loading. Under such conditions, all three voltages—\( V_L, V_c, \) and \( E \)—are in phase. In this case, if \( V_c \) is positively in phase with \( E \) and \( |V_c| \) is high enough such that \( V_c + E > V_L \), the effect is the same as a synchronous wound-field machine that is overexcited and provides VAR to the grid. If, on the other hand, \( V_c \) is 180 degrees out of phase with \( E \) and \( |V_c| \) is high enough such that \( V_c + E < V_L \), the effect is the same as a synchronous machine that is underexcited and absorbs VAR from the grid. This operation is described by equation (4) and (5) below. The current \( I_m \) in this circuit is given by (4), and the complex power drawn by the SC is given in (5), where the superscript asterisk represents complex-conjugate operation.

\[
I_m = \frac{V_L - (E + V_c)}{jX_m} \quad (4)
\]

\[
S = V_L \cdot I_m^* = V_L \left( \frac{V_L - (E + V_c)}{jX_m} \right)^* \quad (5)
\]

Under the condition that all three voltages—\( V_L, V_c, \) and \( E \)—are in phase, the complex power, \( S \), given by (5) is pure imaginary. In other words, this subsystem only produces or consumes VARs and the amount of VARs can be controlled by the signed magnitude of the compensator output voltage, \( V_c \).

In normal operating conditions, the value of \( E \) in (5) remains nearly constant, because the PMSG’s speed only slightly fluctuates about the synchronous speed, and \( E \), by design, matches the nominal voltage of \( V_L \). Under this condition, the compensator output, \( V_c \), can be varied to produce the necessary reactive power to support a WPP for a weak grid connection.

2.3 Damping Control

Equation (6) is the control law [5] for damping the PMSG’s tendency of oscillation when directly connected to the grid. The following nomenclatures are used in (6).

\[
L_m : \text{PMSG inductance}
\]

\[
R_m : \text{Resistance of the stator windings}
\]

\[
v_{qc}, v_{dc} : \text{q and d axis voltage of the compensator}
\]

\[
\omega, \omega_{\text{sync}} : \text{generator mechanical speed and sync speed}
\]

\[
\varepsilon : \text{a small number for the pseudo-differentiator}
\]

\[
k_b : \text{damping constant}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix} v_{dc}(s) \\ v_{qc}(s) \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} -\omega L_m \\ \frac{L_m s}{\varepsilon s + 1} + R_m \end{bmatrix} k_b (\omega_{\text{sync}} - \omega) \\
\end{align*}
\quad (6)
\]

The implementation of the damping control law (6) requires two additional transformation operations: a transformation from a dq frame to a synchronous frame and a transformation from two-phase to three-phase, as shown in Fig. 5 [5]. \( V_D \) in
Fig. 5 is the result from these transformations. $V_D$ and a reactive power control voltage (described in the following section) constitute the compensator output voltage.

![Diagram](image)

Fig. 5. The damping control implementation diagram.

### III. VAR Compensation Controller

The main objective of the compensator is to control the production of reactive power that is necessary to maintain the voltage $V_L$ at the nominal value. This is done by controlling the compensator output, $V_c$, according to a PI controller, as shown in (6). The phase of $V_c$ is locked to the phase of $V_L$. The secondary control objective is to produce the damping effect to damp the oscillation of the PMSG, as explained earlier.

$$|V_c| = \left(k_p + \frac{k_i}{s}\right)(V_{ref} - |V_L|) \tag{6}$$

$$|V_c|$$

WPP connection

![Diagram](image)

Fig. 6. A block diagram of the overall system.

The output voltage of the compensator ($V_{CMP}$) is the sum of the voltage $V_c$ and the damping control output, as given in (6) and Fig. 5 ($V_D$). Fig. 6 is a functional block diagram of the overall VAR compensation control system. This block diagram includes an infinite bus of voltage $V_G$, transmission line dynamics ($R$ and $jX$), WPP, and the SC (i.e., the PMSG) with the series compensator. The diagram also includes the structure of the damping control and the VAR control algorithm. $V_{ref}$ in the figure is the reference voltage for the WPP voltage control. A phase-locked loop is used to synchronize the phase of the WPP connection voltage and that of the compensator output voltage.

### IV. Simulation Results

The computer simulation model is created based on the block diagram shown in Fig. 6. Following are the values of some of the key parameters used in the simulation.

- Line voltage: 12 kV
- Transmission line resistance: 0.01 Ω
- Transmission line inductance: 30 mH
- PMSG inductance: 4 mH
- PMSG resistance: 0.05 Ω

Results from several simulation runs are shown in this section. In all the simulation runs, the power from the WPP is initially set to 0, and at 3 s it is ramped up to 10 MW (p.f. = 1 at 12 kv) in 2 s. At $t = 10$ s, the power is ramped back down to 0 in 2 s. As explained earlier, the output power from the WPP can be restricted by the transmission line if the grid is weak ($X$ is large). Between 5 s and 10 s, the WPP attempts to deliver 10 MW, but this is not achieved in some of the simulation runs.

![Diagram](image)

Fig. 7. Results from Simulation #1 (no SC is used): power from the infinite bus, VAR from the finite bus, and the voltage at the WPP POI.

Fig. 7 shows the results from the first simulation run, in which the proposed SC is not used. The transmission line inductance is set to 30 mH. The first and the second traces in this figure are the power and reactive power drawn from the infinite bus. The third trace is the voltage at the WPP connection, i.e., the RMS value of phase-to-phase voltage of $V_L$. As shown, as the output of the power is injected into the WPP connection, the voltage drops. In this case, the power only reached about 6
MW The voltage at the WPP connection drops to about 7kV. This result agrees with the analytical results shown in Fig. 2. Fig. 8 and Fig. 9 show simulation results from the second run, which has the same condition as the first except that the proposed SC is used. Traces in Fig. 8 correspond to those in Fig. 7. Fig. 8 shows that, with the SC, the output power from the WPP can reach 10 MW and the operation is stable. As shown by the third trace, the voltage at the WPP connection is kept nearly constant at the nominal value. The initial transient is due to the initial condition of the simulation model.

Fig. 8. Results from Simulation run #2 (SC is used): power from the infinite bus, VAR from the infinite bus, and the voltage at the WPP connection.

Fig. 9. Results from Simulation #2 (SC is used): power to the PMSG, VAR to the PMSG, phase-A output voltage of the compensator, and the line voltage of the PMSG.

The traces shown in Fig. 9 are the power and VAR delivered to the SC and the compensator output voltage, and the PMSG phase voltage. These traces are generated from the same simulation run as that shown in Fig. 8. The first trace in Fig. 9 shows that SC does not deliver or consume real power. The second trace shows that the SC provides approximately 5 MVar reactive power to the WPP connection. This amount is the same as the reactive power provided by the infinite bus. The third trace is the output voltage from the compensator ($V_{CMP}$ in Fig. 6). As shown, the peak value is approximately 1 kV, which is about only 10% of the phase voltage of the system. This shows that the VA rating of the compensator is approximately 10% of the rating of the SC. The last trace in Fig. 9 shows the PMSG’s phase voltage. This voltage is lower than the nominal value because of the voltage across the compensator.

To demonstrate the effectiveness of the damping control, in the third simulation run, the damping control portion of the SC is disabled. The result of this simulation is shown in Fig. 10, where the traces correspond to those in Fig. 8. As shown, the system oscillates at a sub-synchronous frequency. This is because of the lack of mechanical damping and the interaction between the PMSG rotor inertia and the electromagnetic torque as affected to the load angle.

Fig. 10. All traces correspond to those in Fig. 8. In this simulation, the damping control function of the compensator is disabled.

Two additional simulations are performed. These simulations are performed in the same condition as the condition that generated Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 (i.e., Simulation Run #1 and #2), except that the transmission line inductance is increased to 50 mH and only 7.5 MW is attempted by the WPP. Fig. 11 and Fig. 12 show the results from these two simulation runs. As shown in Fig. 11 (where no SC is used), the power limit is further reduced to under 2 MW from 6MW as in Fig. 7 because of the higher line inductance. Fig. 12 shows that with the VAR support from the SC, a higher real power (7.5 MW) can be transmitted. Simulations show that attempting to transmit more than 8 MW in this case can cause the voltage crash, even with the compensator online.
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VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose using a PMSG instead of a wound-field machine for SCs to support WPPs connected to a weak grid. The control of the reactive power is achieved by a serially connected converter that can react to the grid condition faster than that of a wound-field machine and has a VA rating that is only a fraction of the rating of the SC. An SC has the advantage over a STATCOM or SVC by being able to provide real power in a grid fault condition (provided by the rotating mass—inertial response). The proposed scheme is shown to be effective based on the preliminary study we have performed.
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