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**Renewable Electricity Futures Motivation**

- **RE Capacity Growth 2000-2010**

  ![](chart.png)

  Source: RE Data Book (DOE 2011)

- **2010 Electricity Generation Mix**

  Source: RE Data Book (DOE 2011)

- **RE is a low carbon, low air pollutant, low fuel use, low water use, domestic, and sustainable electricity source.**
- **To what extent can renewable energy technologies commercially available today meet the U.S. electricity demand over the next several decades?**
REF is a U.S. DOE-sponsored collaboration with more than 110 contributors from 35 organizations including national laboratories, industry, universities, and non-governmental organizations.
## Renewable Electricity Futures Introduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RE Futures does....</th>
<th>RE Futures does not...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identify commercially available RE generation technology combinations that meet up to 80% or more of projected 2050 electricity demand in every hour of the year.</td>
<td>Consider policies, new operating procedures, evolved business models, or market rules that could facilitate high levels of RE generation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify electric sector characteristics associated with high levels of RE generation.</td>
<td>Fully evaluate power system reliability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explore a variety of high renewable electricity generation scenarios.</td>
<td>Forecast or predict the evolution of the electric sector.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimate the associated U.S. electric sector carbon emissions reductions.</td>
<td>Assess optimal pathways to achieve a low-carbon electricity system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explore a select number of economic, environmental and social impacts.</td>
<td>Conduct a comprehensive cost-benefit analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illustrate an RE-specific pathway to a clean electricity future to inform the development of integrated portfolio scenarios that consider all technology pathways and their implications.</td>
<td>Provide a definitive assessment of high RE generation, but does identify areas for deeper investigation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Unprecedented geographic and time resolution for the contiguous United States

More than two dozen scenarios of U.S. electric sector focused on 2050.
Abundant Renewable Energy Resources

Biopower ~100 GW
• Stand-alone
• Cofired with coal

Hydropower ~200 GW
• Run-of-river

Solar CSP ~37,000 GW
• Trough
• Tower
• With thermal storage

Solar PV ~80,000 GW
• Residential
• Commercial
• Utility-scale

(roof top PV ~700 GW)

Geothermal ~36 GW
• Hydrothermal

Wind ~10,000 GW
• Onshore
• Offshore fixed-bottom

Geographic location, technical resource potential, and output characteristics are unique to each RE generation technology.
**RE Options Have A Wide Range of Characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Variability Time Scale</th>
<th>Dispatchability</th>
<th>Geographic Diversity Potential</th>
<th>Predictability</th>
<th>Capacity Value Range (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bioenergy</td>
<td>Seasons</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>Similar to thermal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>Minutes to years</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>&lt;25–75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSP (with thermal storage)</td>
<td>Hours to years</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geothermal (Hydrothermal)</td>
<td>Years</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>Similar to thermal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydropower (run of river)</td>
<td>Hours to years</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>0–90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydropower (reservoir)</td>
<td>Days to years</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>Similar to thermal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>Minutes to years</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>5–40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*IPCC Special Report on Renewable Energy Sources and Climate Change Mitigation (2011)*

- Some options are dispatchable like conventional fossil plants (e.g., geothermal, bioenergy).
- Others show variability and uncertainty (e.g., wind, PV).
- Most are widely distributed geographically (except geothermal hydrothermal).
### Historical and Projected Demand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>High-Demand Projection</th>
<th>Low-Demand Projection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>2% decline in intensity over 2010 levels</td>
<td>30% decline in intensity over 2010 levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>5% increase in intensity over 2010 levels</td>
<td>32% decline in intensity over 2010 levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>35% decline in intensity over 2010 levels</td>
<td>50% decline in intensity over 2010 levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>&lt;3% PHEV penetration</td>
<td>40% of vehicle sales are PEVs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Results
Additional variability challenges system operations, but can be addressed through increased use of supply- and demand-side flexibility options and new transmission.
All regions of the country could contribute substantial renewable electricity supply in 2050

80% RE-ITI scenario
As RE deployment increases, additional transmission infrastructure is required

• In most 80%-by-2050 RE scenarios, 110-190 million MW-miles of new transmission lines are added.
• AC-DC-AC interties are expanded to allow greater power transfer between asynchronous interconnects.
• However, 80% RE is achievable even when transmission is severely constrained (30 million MW-miles)—which leads to a greater reliance on local resources (e.g. PV, offshore wind).
• Annual transmission and interconnection investments in the 80%-by-2050 RE scenarios range from B$5.7-8.4/year, which is within the range of recent total investor-owned utility transmission expenditures.
• High RE scenarios lead to greater transmission congestion, line usage, and transmission and distribution losses.
A Transformation of the U.S. Electricity System

RE generation from technologies that are commercially available today, in combination with a more flexible electric system, is more than adequate to supply 80% of total U.S. electricity generation in 2050—while meeting electricity demand on an hourly basis in every region of the country.
The capacity of RE generation technologies “built” in 2050 depends on:

- Future RE technology cost and performance
- Electricity demand growth
- Presence of constraints that limit new transmission infrastructure, grid flexibility, or the accessibility of renewable resources.
No insurmountable long-term constraints to RE technology manufacturing capacity, materials supply, or labor availability were identified.
Installed capacity is sufficient to meet summer afternoon peak demand from diverse reserves supplying firm capacity.

Source: Renewable Electricity Futures (2012)
Especially during low-demand periods in the spring months, flexibility options include flexible generators, flexible load, new transmission, and wide area coordination.
High RE Reduces Emissions and Water Use

80% renewable electricity in 2050 could lead to:

- ~80% reduction in GHG emissions (combustion-only and full life-cycle)
- ~50% reduction in electric sector water use (withdrawals and consumption).

Source: Renewable Electricity Futures (2012)
RE Land Use Implications

• Area requirements:
  o The gross estimate for RE Futures scenarios = < 3% of U.S. land area.
  o About half of that land area is used for biopower.
  o The majority of the remainder is used for wind, but only about 5% is actually disrupted.

• Other siting issues:
  o Permitting processes that vary with technology and location
  o Wildlife and habitat disturbance concerns
  o Public engagement for generation and transmission

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gross Land Use Comparisons (000 km²)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biomass</td>
<td>44-88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other RE</td>
<td>52-81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other RE (disrupted)</td>
<td>4-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission &amp; Storage</td>
<td>3-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Contiguous U.S.</td>
<td>7,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009 Corn Production*</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Roads**</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf Courses **</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* USDA 2010, ** Denholm & Margolis 2008
The estimated incremental cost of high RE scenarios is comparable to published cost estimates of other clean energy scenarios. Improvement in the cost and performance of renewable technologies is the most impactful lever for reducing this incremental cost.
Key Results

- Renewable electricity generation from technologies that are commercially available today, in combination with a more flexible electric system, is more than adequate to supply 80% of total U.S. electricity generation in 2050—while meeting electricity demand on an hourly basis in every region of the country.

- Increased electric system flexibility, needed to enable electricity supply-demand balance with high levels of renewable generation, can come from a portfolio of supply- and demand-side options, including flexible conventional generation, grid storage, new transmission, more responsive loads, and changes in power system operations.

- The abundance and diversity of U.S. renewable energy resources can support multiple combinations of renewable technologies that result in deep reductions in electric sector greenhouse gas emissions and water use.

- The direct incremental cost associated with high renewable generation is comparable to published cost estimates of other clean energy scenarios. Improvement in the cost and performance of renewable technologies is the most impactful lever for reducing this incremental cost.
A future U.S. electricity system that is largely powered by renewable sources is possible, and further work is warranted to investigate this clean generation pathway.