A Comparative Study of Zn(O,S) Buffer Layers and CIGS Solar Cells Fabricated by CBD, ALD, and Sputtering

Preprint

Kannan Ramanathan, Jonathan Mann, Stephen Glynn, Steve Christensen, Joel Pankow, Jian Li, John Scharf, Lorelle Mansfield, Miguel Contreras, and Rommel Noufi

Presented at the 2012 IEEE Photovoltaic Specialists Conference
Austin, Texas
June 3–8, 2012
NOTICE

The submitted manuscript has been offered by an employee of the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC (Alliance), a contractor of the US Government under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Accordingly, the US Government and Alliance retain a nonexclusive royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so, for US Government purposes.

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or any agency thereof.

Available electronically at http://www.osti.gov/bridge

Available for a processing fee to U.S. Department of Energy and its contractors, in paper, from:

U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62
Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062
phone: 865.576.8401
fax: 865.576.5728
email: reports@adonis.osti.gov

Available for sale to the public, in paper, from:

U.S. Department of Commerce
National Technical Information Service
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161
phone: 800.553.6847
fax: 703.605.6900
email: orders@ntis.fedworld.gov
online ordering: http://www.ntis.gov/help/ordermethods.aspx

Cover Photos: (left to right) PIX 16416, PIX 17423, PIX 16560, PIX 17613, PIX 17436, PIX 17721

Printed on paper containing at least 50% wastepaper, including 10% post consumer waste.
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Abstract — Zn(O,S) thin films were deposited by chemical bath deposition (CBD), atomic layer deposition (ALD), and sputtering. Composition of the films and band gap were measured and found to follow the trends described in the literature. CBD Zn(O,S) parameters were optimized and resulted in an 18.5% efficiency cell that did not require post annealing, light soaking, or an undoped ZnO layer. Promising results were obtained with sputtering. A 13% efficiency cell was obtained for a Zn(O,S) emitter layer deposited with 0.5% O2. With further optimization of process parameters and an analysis of the loss mechanisms, it should be possible to increase the efficiency.

Index Terms — Buffer layers, copper indium gallium diselenide, thin film solar cells, wide band gap emitters, zinc oxysulfide.

I. INTRODUCTION

High conversion efficiency has been demonstrated for CIGS thin film solar cells [1]. An integral part of the device is a chemically deposited CdS layer. In the last decade, several alternative approaches have been taken to replace the CdS with more transparent emitter layers grown by different methods [2]. In principle, one can surpass the current efficiency levels because more current can be collected with transparent emitter layers. This is particularly beneficial to higher bandgap devices. ZnS-based layers grown by chemical bath deposition (CBD) and atomic layer deposition (ALD) have demonstrated the potential to be viable alternatives [3,4]. Sputtering is arguably the most attractive in terms of its suitability for inline, high throughput, low-cost processing of the junction. While the CBD CdS process is favored because Cd and Zn are donors in CIGS. It will be instructive to compare the CBD CdS and Zn(O,S) devices in a step-wise manner as they go through the different stages of device fabrication. More importantly, if electrical properties of the surface region and the changes caused by the processing can be measured, one can describe the device and model it in terms of the measured properties and phenomena. This basic knowledge will help identify the most important elements of the device and the relative magnitude of the various effects. A comparative approach is taken in this work. Because CBD Zn(O,S) solar cells have shown the greatest potential for making high efficiency cells, it would be prudent to fully characterize the emitter layers and the junctions. This knowledge can be applied to understand what is different about the interfaces produced by ALD and sputtering and how the gap can be bridged.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

CBD Zn (O,S) films were grown from an aqueous bath containing zinc sulfate, thiourea and ammonium hydroxide in the temperature range 65°C-95°C. Bath composition was similar to those described in the literature [3]. ZnS and Zn(O,S) films were deposited by ALD at 120°C using DEZn, H2S and H2O precursors in a Beneq reactor. R.F. sputtered films were deposited from a ZnS target at different target powers, pressures, and substrate temperatures. Moderate levels of oxygen were incorporated by adding O2 to the Ar process gas. Typical film thickness for CBD was 20 to 30 nm and ~50 nm for sputtering. Films were characterized by optical absorption, ellipsometry, Auger electron spectroscopy, XPS, and X-ray diffraction. Solar cells were completed by depositing only the conductive ZnO layer. Current-voltage measurements were made in our laboratory and the data reported here are not certified by NREL.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Zn(O,S) films deposited on witness substrates were characterized by a variety of techniques to determine the...
composition and optical properties. Film composition needed to be measured with good confidence because it is a critical parameter in controlling the solar cell properties. Auger sensitivity factors were first established from a measurement of a ZnS crystal. Fig. 1 shows the Auger depth profile of a CBD Zn(O,S) film deposited on a 3-stage CIGS absorber. Fig. 2 shows the Auger depth profiles for a film deposited on Mo-coated glass. It is clear there is a close correspondence between the two. Our CBD films were reproducible and contained ~30% O and 20% S. This has also been corroborated by XPS.

As shown in Fig. 3, it was possible to vary the band gap and the composition of the films. Fig. 4 shows the optical absorption in Zn(O,S) films deposited by sputtering. All the films have also been characterized by spectroscopic ellipsometry and those results are presented elsewhere in this Conference [7]. The variation of band gap is consistent with the literature reports [8,9]. Film composition was measured by AES in both cases and was found to vary over the entire range, depending on the process conditions.

ALD films over a range of compositions were prepared by choosing a cycle of deposition according to the desired ratio of H$_2$S/H$_2$O and repeating the cycles to get the final thickness. We now turn to a discussion of solar cells made by CBD and sputtering. We investigated the dependence of solar cell properties on the CBD conditions. Deposition temperature (65°C-95°C) and film thickness (immersion time) had an effect. Longer deposition time and high temperatures resulted in a loss of fill factor and lower efficiency as shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, respectively.
We have obtained contradictory results regarding the effect of post annealing after CBD deposition. In some samples, cell performance improved after an anneal and in others it degraded. This effect appears to be absorber dependent and needs more careful scrutiny. There are some differences between our devices and those reported elsewhere. The addition of the undoped ZnO layer is detrimental to our Zn(O,S) devices. The solar properties do not show much change upon light soaking. By optimizing the deposition conditions, we are able to reproducibly fabricate solar cells with efficiency of ∼18%; the highest efficiency measured was 18.5%. The solar cell parameters are: \( V_{oc} 692 \text{ mV} \); \( J_{sc} 34 \text{ mA/cm}^2 \), FF 78.5%, and efficiency 18.5% after an antireflection coating. At present, some loss is encountered in the long wavelength collection and in front surface reflection.

These losses are significant and amount to > 2 mA/cm\(^2\). Hence, the efficiency can be increased to more than 20%.

In sputtering, we have experimented with pure ZnS films, stacked layers of ZnS and ZnO, and Zn(O,S) films reactively sputtered in Ar/O\(_2\) ambient. The last approach has yielded the best results so far in our preliminary work and the process has not been fully optimized. We have found that pure ZnS layers block the photocurrent, and pure ZnO layers give rise to leaky cells with low barrier height. We have made very good working devices in the range of 0.5% to 1% O\(_2\)/Ar in which we observe a strong dependence of solar cell parameters as shown in Fig. 7.

Cell efficiency decreases sharply because of a loss of \( V_{oc} \) and FF as the oxygen content is increased. Compositions measured by AES were: ZnO\(_{0.45}\)S\(_{0.55}\) for the 0.5% O\(_2\) and...
ZnO$_{0.9}$S$_{0.1}$ for the 1% O$_2$ conditions, respectively. The highest conversion efficiency we have obtained is 13% with the following parameters: $V_{oc}$ 587 mV; $J_{sc}$ 36.1 mA/cm$^2$, FF 60% without any antireflective coating. This can be compared to the CdS devices on the same absorber. Average values for 10 cells were: $V_{oc}$ 684 mV; $J_{sc}$ 32.5 mA/cm$^2$, FF 74%. It is apparent that the performance difference comes from reductions in $V_{oc}$ and fill factor. These results were obtained in the beginning of this study and the process is not optimized.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have summarized the results from our studies of Zn(O,S) films and emitter layers deposited by CBD, ALD, and sputtering. We have determined their composition and optical properties. Aspects of the CBD Zn(O,S) process were studied so as to understand the effect of deposition temperature and thickness. Reactive sputtering of ZnS in O$_2$ produced Zn(O,S) films with varying oxygen content and band gap. The highest conversion efficiency obtained was 18.5% for CBD and 13% for sputtering. We have yet to optimize the sputter and ALD depositions.
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Fig. 7. Dependence of solar cell parameters on O$_2$ content.