



Wind Turbine Generator System Power Performance Test Report for the Entegrity EW50 Wind Turbine

J. Smith, A. Huskey, D. Jager, and J. Hur

NREL is a national laboratory of the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy, operated by the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC.

Technical Report NREL/TP-5000-51392 May 2011

Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308



Wind Turbine Generator System Power Performance Test Report for the Entegrity EW50 Wind Turbine

J. Smith, A. Huskey, D. Jager, J. Hur

	Prepared under Task No. WE10.2211
	NREL is a national laboratory of the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy, operated by the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC.
National Renewable Energy Laboratory 1617 Cole Boulevard	Technical Report NREL/TP-5000-51392
Golden, Colorado 80401 303-275-3000 • www.nrel.gov	May 2011
	Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or any agency thereof.

Available electronically at http://www.osti.gov/bridge

Available for a processing fee to U.S. Department of Energy and its contractors, in paper, from:

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Scientific and Technical Information

P.O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062 phone: 865.576.8401 fax: 865.576.5728 email: <u>mailto:reports@adonis.osti.gov</u>

Available for sale to the public, in paper, from:

U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161 phone: 800.553.6847 fax: 703.605.6900 email: orders@ntis.fedworld.gov online ordering: http://www.ntis.gov/help/ordermethods.aspx

Cover Photos: (left to right) PIX 16416, PIX 17423, PIX 16560, PIX 17613, PIX 17436, PIX 17721 Printed on paper containing at least 50% wastepaper, including 10% post consumer waste.







Wind Turbine Generator System

Power Performance Test Report

for the

Entegrity EW50 Wind Turbine

Conducted for

National Renewable Energy Laboratory 1617 Cole Blvd. Golden, CO 80401

Conducted by

National Wind Technology Center National Renewable Energy Laboratory 1617 Cole Blvd. Golden, CO 80401

Joe Smith, Arlinda Huskey, Dave Jager, Jerry Hur

22 March 2011

Notice

This report was prepared by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), operated for the United States Department of Energy (DOE) by the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC (Alliance), as an account of work sponsored by the United States government. The test results documented in this report define the characteristics of the test article as configured and under the conditions tested.

THIS REPORT IS PROVIDED "AS IS" AND NEITHER THE GOVERNMENT, ALLIANCE, NREL NOR ANY OF THEIR EMPLOYEES, MAKES ANY WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING THE WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, OR ASSUMES ANY LEGAL LIABILITY OR RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, OR USEFULNESS OF ANY SUCH INFORMATION DISCLOSED IN THE REPORT, OR OF ANY APPARATUS, PRODUCT, OR PROCESS DISCLOSED, OR REPRESENTS THAT ITS USE WOULD NOT INFRINGE PRIVATELY OWNED RIGHTS.

Neither Alliance nor the U. S. Government shall be liable for special, consequential or incidental damages. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of the authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or any agency thereof or Alliance.

NREL is a DOE Laboratory, and as an adjunct of the United States government, cannot certify wind turbines. The information in this report is limited to NREL's knowledge and understanding as of this date.

NREL is accredited by the American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) and the results shown in this test report have been determined in accordance with the NREL's terms of accreditation unless stated otherwise in the report.

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Alliance or successor operator of NREL.

Approval By:

Arlinda Huskey, NREL Test Édgineer

Review By:

Jeroen van Dam, NREL Test Engineer

Date

13 May

Contents

Lis	t of 1	Tables	4
Lis	t of F	Figures	5
1.	Bac	ckground	6
2.	Tes	st Summary	6
3.	Tes	st Turbine Configuration	9
Tes	st Sit	e Description	.10
4.	Des	scription of Test Equipment	.12
5.	Des	scription of Test Procedure	.15
Tes	st Re	sults	.16
5	5.1.	Tabular Results of Power Performance Test	.16
5	5.2.	Graphical Results Power Performance Test	. 20
6.	Exc	ceptions	.27
6	S.1.	Exceptions to the Standard	.27
6	õ.2.	Exceptions to NWTC Quality Assurance System	. 27
Α.	Арј	pendix - Photographs of the Test Site from the Turbine Base	.28
В.	Ар	pendix - Equipment Calibration Sheets	.35

List of Tables

Table 1. Test turbine configuration	9
Table 2. Structures close to test turbine	11
Table 3. Criteria for test site without site calibration	11
Table 4. Equipment used in the power performance test	12
Table 5. Uncertainty values used in the analysis	15
Table 6. Performance at sea-level air density, 1.225 kg/m ³	. 17
Table 7. Performance at site average density, 1.050 kg/m ³	. 18
Table 8. Annual energy production at sea-level air density, 1.225 kg/m3	. 19
Table 9. Annual energy production at site average density, 1.050 kg/m ³	. 19

List of Figures

Figure 1. Power curve summary	7
Figure 2. Entegrity EW50 test turbine at the NWTC. PIX # 16390	8
Figure 3. Map of area surrounding Entegrity's EW50 at NWTC's 1E1test site	10
Figure 4. Meteorological tower and instruments	13
Figure 5. Power curve at sea-level density, 1.225 kg/m ³	20
Figure 6. Power curve at site average density, 1.050 kg/m ³	21
Figure 7. Scatter plot of mean, standard deviation, minimum, and maximum power data	22
Figure 8. Scatter plot of mean power data, zoomed to show secondary power curve	23
Figure 9. Coefficient of performance at sea-level density, 1.225 kg/m ³	24
Figure 10. Wind turbulence intensity as a function of wind speed	25
Figure 11. Wind speed and turbulence intensity as a function of wind direction	26

1. Background

This test is being conducted as part of the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) Independent Testing project. This project was established to help reduce the barriers of wind energy expansion by providing independent testing results for small turbines. Five turbines are being tested at the National Wind Technology Center (NWTC) as a part of round 1 of this project. Power performance testing is one of up to five (5) tests that may be performed on the turbines, including duration, safety and function, noise, and power quality tests.

2. Test Summary

Figure 1 is a summary of the results of the power performance test that the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) conducted on Entegrity Wind System Inc.'s EW50 small wind turbine (shown in Figure 2). In this test, the EW50 turbine was installed at the NWTC near Boulder, Colorado. This test was conducted in accordance with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) standard, Wind Turbine Generator Systems Part 12: Power Performance Measurements of Electricity Producing Wind Turbines, IEC 61400-12-1 Ed.1.0, 2005-12. Because the Entegrity EW50 is a small turbine according to the IEC definition, NREL also followed Annex H, which applies to small wind turbines. This test report refers to these procedures as the "Standard."

In the summary results shown in Figure 1, power is normalized to sea-level air density, as required by the Standard. However this method of density correction gives unrealistically high power output for sea-level. During installation the blades on the EW50 were pitched to accommodate the average density at the NWTC, in order to achieve the designed peak output of 65kW.

This test began on 1 November 2009 and ended on 31 December 2009. During that period 533 hours of valid data were collected. The highest bin filled was the 20.5 m/s bin. The amount of test data is sufficient to meet the requirements of the Standard.

Energy La	Renewable Iboratory	Bin Wind Speed (m/s)	Bin Power (kW)	Number Data Points	Ср
		0.54	-0.46	636	-27.6
		1.02	-0.50 -0.63	1,485 2,203	-4.28
Power Performance Te	est	2.00	-0.69	2,562	-0.80
Integrity EW50		2.50	-0.80	2,435	-0.47
		3.00 3.50	-1.03	2,506 2,535	-0.35
		3.99	-1.76	2,233	-0.26
Sea-Level Density Power	Curve	4.49	-2.06	1,872	-0.21
		5.00 5.50	-1.80	1,718 1,610	-0.13
		5.99	2.05	1,429	0.09
urbine Specifications:		6.49	5.22	1,248	0.18
Operated Newsylvery	00040400004	7.00	8.33	1,124	0.22
Serial Number: Rated Power:	CO812180024 50 kW	7.49	12.15 15.86	982 861	0.27
Cut-in Wind Speed:	4.0 m/s	8.50	20.36	726	0.31
Cut-out Wind Speed:	25 m/s	9.00	23.97	590	0.30
Rated Wind Speed: Rotor Diameter:	11.3 m/s 15 m	9.50 9.99	28.34 32.08	477 427	0.31
Rotor Diameter.	15 11	10.50	37.62	368	0.30
Control Type:	Stall	11.00	40.41	298	0.28
Pitch Setting:	Fixed	11.50 11.98	43.73 48.39	255 232	0.27
		12.48	51.89	190	0.20
ite Conditions:		12.99	55.27	171	0.23
Leasting	NINTO Bauldan CO	13.51	58.09	136	0.22
Location: Average Air Density:	NWTC, Boulder, CO 1.03 kg/m ³	14.00 14.47	61.20 64.90	114 86	0.21
Measurement Sectors:	272-350 degrees true	15.00	66.69	74	0.18
	_	15.49	69.33	56	0.17
est Statistics:		16.02 16.48	70.65 70.37	58 43	0.16
est statistics.		17.02	72.63	43	0.15
Start Date:	1-Nov-2009	17.48	73.34	34	0.13
End Date: Amount of Data Collected:	31-Dec-2009 532.6 hours	18.01 18.51	73.33 74.81	36 33	0.12
Highest Bin Filled:	20.5 m/s	19.05	73.39	19	0.10
Test Completed?	yes	19.53	73.10	20	0.09
		20.00 20.49	71.78	18 11	0.08
90 .	Sea-Level Air Density Norn EW50		Curve		0.40
80 • • • Power	7				
		A to the start	44.4	t	0.35
70 - Cp	p-o-a-a-a	J			0.30
<u>₹</u> 60 •	9 9 P	Ύ [⊥]			
jag 50 •					0.25
e 40		a.			_{0.20} ප්
40 ·	l X	8.8.8			0.20 0
·[30 -					0.15
					-
Ë 20 -				-	0.10
60			· · · ·		
ق 20 • 10 •			0-0-0		
					0.05
10 -	- Jack Market		9~ 0 ~0		






Figure 2. Entegrity EW50 test turbine at the NWTC. PIX # 16390.

3. Test Turbine Configuration

Table 1 lists the configuration of the Entegrity EW50 that was tested at the NWTC.

Turbine make & model	Entegrity EW50
Gearbox serial number	543132-007
Generator serial number	C0812180024
Rotor diameter (m)	14.9
Hub height (m)	31.1
Tower type	30.5m (100ft) freestanding monopole
Rated electrical power (kW)	50
Rated wind speed (m/s)	11.3
Rotor speed range (rpm)	65
Fixed or variable pitch	Fixed
Number of blades	3
Blade pitch angle (deg)	5.14° to 5.17° at 75% span
Blade make, type, serial number	Entegrity, epoxy/glass fiber, 7.2m, 150kg,
	s/n: 284, 285, 283 with tip brakes
Control system (device and software version)	TMC microprocessor by Orbital A/S in
	Entegrity enclosure version: "EW15 2.031"

Table 1. Test turbine configuration

Measurements verified the rotor diameter and hub height. The blades were pitched at the most aggressive pitch setting. Rated power and rated wind speed are as published by Entegrity, and provided to NREL as required by the Independent Testing project.

Test Site Description

The EW50 turbine is located at site 1E1 at the NWTC, which is approximately 8 km south of Boulder, Colorado. The terrain consists of mostly flat terrain with short vegetation (see Appendix A for photos of the test site). The site has prevailing winds bearing approximately 290 degrees relative to true north. It is important to accurately measure wind speed; NREL uses data obtained when the wind direction is between 272 and 350 degrees true. In this measurement sector, the influence of terrain and obstructions on the anemometer is small. Figure 3 shows the turbine and meteorological tower locations as well as nearby obstructions and topographical features of the site.




Figure 3. Map of area surrounding Entegrity's EW50 at NWTC's 1E1test site

Table 2 shows obstructions which affect the wind at the location of the EW50 or its met tower according to the Standard's obstacles assessment criteria in Annex A. The azimuth and

distance data are relative to the EW50. Several of these obstructions do not appear on the map in Figure 3.

Description	azimuth [deg true]	distance [m]	height [m]	diam / width [m]
Blade Test Facility	36	137	8	20
NW100-a	251	170	25	19
Industrial Users Facility	56	231	15	50
Dynamometer Test Facility	66	322	15	20
Concrete factory	250	676	30	40
CART-3	119	705	37	43
CART-2	130	740	37	40
GE/DOE	90	851	80	77
Siemens	138	908	80	101

Table 2. Structures close to test turbine

NREL completed a site assessment to determine if the site fails the requirements of Annex A and B of the Standard and would therefore require a site calibration. Table 3 shows the results from the site assessment, which confirm that a site calibration was not required.

Table 3. Criteria f	for test site without s	site calibration
---------------------	-------------------------	------------------

EW50		Site: 1e1				
	Preliminary Measurement Sector:	272	to	350	deg True	
	Criteria for Test Site without Site Calibra	tion Test	ing			
Criterion	Description	Distance	Sector (deg)	allowable	Test Site Condition	Pass/Fail
1	Maximum slope of best fit plane < 3%	<2L	360	3%	2.3%	Pass
2	Maximum variation from best fit plane < 0.04 (H + D)	<2L	360	+/-1.8m	0.3	Pass
3	Maximum slope of best fit plane < 5%	2-4L	In	5%	2.2%	Pass
4	Maximum variation from best fit plane < 0.08 (H + D)	2-4L	In	+/-3.6m	0.1	Pass
5	Steepest slope maximum < 10%	2-4L	Out	10%	2.6%	Pass
6	Maximum slope of best fit plane < 10%	4-8L	In	10%	2.2%	Pass
7	Maximum variation from best fit plane < 0.13 (H + D)	4-8L	In	+/-5.9m	1.9	Pass
8	No neighboring and operating turbines	<2D _n	360	0	0	Pass
9	No obstacles	<2D _e	360	0	0	Pass
	Site Calibration Required?					no
				absolute	value used for si	te condition
			In =	Inside Prelim	inary Measurem	ent Sector
			Out =	Outside Preli	minary Measure	ment Sector

D = test turbine rotor diameter

L = distance between test turbine and meteorological tower

D_e = equivalent diameter of obstacle

The EW50 was connected to the electrical grid at a nominal voltage of 480 VAC at a frequency of 60 Hz. The grid tolerances are 5% for voltage amplitude and 1% for frequency.

4. Description of Test Equipment

All test equipment was calibrated; Appendix B contains the calibration sheets. Table 4 shows the equipment used and calibration due dates. Figure 4 depicts the placement of the meteorological instruments on the tower. The primary anemometer was sent out for recalibration after the test period. The difference between the pre-test and post-test calibrations is less than 0.04m/s for the range of 6 to 12m/s, and this is within the tolerances allowed by the Standard (0.1m/s).

Instrument	Make and Model	Serial Number	Calibration Due Date
Power transducer	Ohio Semitronics, DMT 1040E	07070473	12 Feb 10
Current transformers	Ohio Semitronics, 12974	001293045 001235428 001293049	Calibrated with power transducer
Primary anemometer	Thies, First Class	0707884	25 Feb 10
Reference anemometer	NRG, Max 40	179500049025	25 Feb 10
Wind vane	Met One, 020C with Aluminum Vane	U1477	25 Feb 10
Pressure sensor	Vaisala, PTB101B	C1020015	3 Sept 10
Temperature sensor	Met One, T200	0549828	10 Oct 09
Precipitation sensor	Campbell Scientific, 237	None	In situ
Data acquisition system	Compact DAQ w/LabView cDAQ backplane (9172) NI 9229 NI 9217 NI 9205	12E4CEB 13DEC38 13FAE1C 13E3D05	n/a 10 Nov 09 16 Dec 09 12 Nov 09

Table 4. Equipment used i	n the power	performance	test
---------------------------	-------------	-------------	------




Figure 4. Meteorological tower and instruments

To ensure that only data obtained during normal operation of the turbine are used in the analysis, and to ensure that data are not corrupted, NREL excluded data sets from the database under the following circumstances:

- External conditions other than wind speed are out of the normal range for turbine operation
- The turbine cannot operate because of a turbine fault condition

• The turbine is manually shut down or in a test or maintenance operating mode.

The primary method for checking such events will be reviewing the logbook. The second method is to validate the data file before analysis¹.

¹ Prior to installation it was mutually agreed by Entegrity and NREL that the EW50 controller's log would be used as an additional means to validate data from the database (e.g. logging grid disturbances); the EW50 controller's log was sent to a server via cell phone reception, and then emailed daily to Entegrity and NREL staff. However this service was terminated by Entegrity and emails ceased after 11 July 09.

5. Description of Test Procedure

NREL conducted the test according to the procedures in the Standard. The sampling rate was 10 kHz, decimated to 40 Hz. The averaging time was 1 minute for the mean values. NREL also collected standard deviation, minimum, and maximum values for each averaging period.

Only database A is reported because the turbine did not reach cut-out wind speeds during the test period.

Table 5 gives the uncertainty sources and values used in the analysis.

Component	Uncertainty	Source
Power		
power transducer w/ transformers	0.087%	calibration sheet
Data Acquisition System	0.45kW +0.075%	NI9229 specs
Resistor	0.006%	Specs
Wind Speed		
Calibration	0.006 m/s	calibration sheet
operational characteristics	0.052m/s +0.52%	IEC eq. (I.2)
mounting effects	1%	documented assumptions
terrain effects	2%	IEC
Data Acquisition System	0.001 m/s	calculations based on sampling frequency
Temperature		
temperature sensor	0.058 °C	calibration
radiation shielding	0.2 °C	calculations and assumptions
mounting effects	0.07 °C	calculations based on IEC assumptions
Data Acquisition System	0.2 °C	NI9217 specs
Air Pressure		
pressure sensor	0.1 kPa	calibration
mounting effects	0.004 kPa	IEC method
Data Acquisition System	0.034 kPa	NI 9205 specs

 Table 5. Uncertainty values used in the analysis

Test Results

5.1. Tabular Results of Power Performance Test

Table 6 through Table 9 list the power performance test results. Table 6 shows the binned power performance results at sea-level normalized air density. Table 7 shows the binned power performance results normalized to the site average air density for the NWTC.

Reporting power normalized to sea-level air density is required by the Standard. However the prescribed method of density correction gives unrealistically high power output for sea-level. For each EW50 installation, the blades should be pitched to accommodate the site's air density, such that the peak output is 65kW.

	Refere	ence air density:	1.225	kg/m ³			
Bin Number	Hub height wind speed m/s	Power output (kW)	СР	Number of Data Sets	Category A Standard Uncertainty (kW)	Category B Standard Uncertainty (kW)	Combined Standard Uncertaint (kW)
1	0.54	-0.46	-27.61	636	0.00	0.45	0.45
2	1.02	-0.50	-4.28	1485	0.01	0.45	0.45
3	1.51	-0.63	-1.68	2203	0.02	0.45	0.45
4	2.00	-0.69	-0.80	2562	0.03	0.45	0.45
5	2.50	-0.80	-0.47	2435	0.04	0.45	0.45
6	3.00	-1.03	-0.35	2506	0.04	0.45	0.45
7	3.50	-1.30	-0.28	2535	0.05	0.45	0.46
8	3.99	-1.76	-0.26	2233	0.05	0.46	0.46
9	4.49	-2.06	-0.21	1872	0.05	0.46	0.46
10	5.00	-1.80	-0.13	1718	0.05	0.45	0.46
11	5.50	-0.18	-0.01	1610	0.05	0.63	0.63
12	5.99	2.05	0.09	1429	0.07	0.80	0.81
13	6.49	5.22	0.18	1248	0.09	1.09	1.09
14	7.00	8.33	0.22	1124	0.12	1.14	1.15
15	7.49	12.15	0.27	982	0.15	1.46	1.47
16	7.99	15.86	0.29	861	0.19	1.47	1.49
17	8.50	20.36	0.31	726	0.21	1.85	1.86
18	9.00	23.97	0.30	590	0.30	1.60	1.63
19	9.50	28.34	0.31	477	0.40	2.02	2.06
20	9.99	32.08	0.30	427	0.46	1.84	1.90
21	10.50	37.62	0.30	368	0.38	2.74	2.76
22	11.00	40.41	0.28	298	0.55	1.52	1.62
23	11.50	43.73	0.27	255	0.73	1.84	1.98
24	11.98	48.39	0.26	232	0.60	2.72	2.78
25	12.48	51.89	0.25	190	0.56	2.12	2.19
26	12.99	55.27	0.23	171	0.66	2.04	2.14
27	13.51	58.09	0.22	136	0.80	1.76	1.94
28	14.00	61.20	0.21	114	0.64	2.12	2.21
29	14.47	64.90	0.20	86	0.30	2.72	2.74
30	15.00	66.69	0.18	74	0.40	1.26	1.32
31	15.49	69.33	0.17	56	0.40	1.99	2.03
32	16.02	70.65	0.16	58	0.41	1.04	1.12
33	16.48	70.37	0.15	43	0.58	0.53	0.78
34	17.02	72.63	0.14	43	0.66	1.74	1.86
35	17.48	73.34	0.13	34	0.43	0.78	0.89
36	18.01	73.33	0.12	36	0.55	0.47	0.73
37	18.51	74.81	0.11	33	0.42	1.35	1.42
38	19.05	73.39	0.10	19	0.73	1.26	1.46
39	19.53	73.10	0.09	20	0.57	0.55	0.79
40	20.00	71.78	0.08	18	0.65	1.36	1.51
41	20.49	72.11	0.08	11	0.73	0.57	0.93

Table 6. Performance at sea-level air density, 1.225 kg/m³

	Refere	ence air density:	1.050	kg/m ³			
Bin Number	Hub height wind speed m/s	Power output (kW)	CP	Number of Data Sets	Category A Standard Uncertainty (kW)	Category B Standard Uncertainty (kW)	Combined Standard Uncertainty (kW)
1	0.54	-0.40	-27.61	636	0.00	0.45	0.45
2	1.02	-0.43	-4.28	1485	0.01	0.45	0.45
3	1.51	-0.54	-1.68	2203	0.02	0.45	0.45
4	2.00	-0.59	-0.80	2562	0.02	0.45	0.45
5	2.50	-0.69	-0.47	2435	0.03	0.45	0.45
6	3.00	-0.89	-0.35	2506	0.04	0.45	0.45
7	3.50	-1.11	-0.28	2535	0.04	0.45	0.45
8	3.99	-1.51	-0.26	2233	0.05	0.46	0.46
9	4.49	-1.77	-0.21	1872	0.04	0.45	0.46
10	5.00	-1.54	-0.13	1718	0.04	0.45	0.46
11	5.50	-0.16	-0.01	1610	0.04	0.59	0.59
12	5.99	1.76	0.09	1429	0.06	0.73	0.73
13	6.49	4.47	0.18	1248	0.08	0.96	0.97
14	7.00	7.14	0.22	1124	0.10	1.00	1.01
15	7.49	10.41	0.27	982	0.13	1.27	1.28
16	7.99	13.59	0.29	861	0.16	1.28	1.29
17	8.50	17.45	0.31	726	0.18	1.60	1.61
18	9.00	20.55	0.30	590	0.25	1.39	1.41
19	9.50	24.29	0.31	477	0.34	1.75	1.78
20	9.99	27.50	0.30	427	0.39	1.60	1.65
21	10.50	32.25	0.30	368	0.32	2.36	2.38
22	11.00	34.64	0.28	298	0.47	1.32	1.40
23	11.50	37.49	0.27	255	0.62	1.59	1.71
24	11.98	41.48	0.26	232	0.51	2.34	2.40
25	12.48	44.47	0.25	190	0.48	1.83	1.89
26	12.99	47.37	0.23	171	0.57	1.76	1.85
27	13.51	49.79	0.22	136	0.69	1.53	1.68
28	14.00	52.46	0.21	114	0.55	1.83	1.91
29	14.47	55.63	0.20	86	0.26	2.35	2.36
30	15.00	57.17	0.18	74	0.34	1.11	1.16
31	15.49	59.43	0.17	56	0.34	1.73	1.76
32	16.02	60.56	0.16	58	0.35	0.92	0.99
33	16.48	60.32	0.15	43	0.49	0.51	0.71
34	17.02	62.26	0.14	43	0.57	1.51	1.61
35	17.48	62.86	0.13	34	0.37	0.71	0.80
36	18.01	62.85	0.12	36	0.47	0.47	0.67
37	18.51	64.13	0.11	33	0.36	1.18	1.24
38	19.05	62.90	0.10	19	0.62	1.11	1.27
39	19.53	62.65	0.09	20	0.49	0.52	0.72
40	20.00	61.52	0.08	18	0.56	1.19	1.31
41	20.49	61.81	0.08	11	0.63	0.54	0.83

Table 7. Performance at site average density, 1.050 kg/m³

Table 8 shows the annual energy production at sea-level normalized air density. Table 9 shows the annual energy production (AEP) at the site average air density at the NWTC.

Estimated annual energy production, database A (all valid data)					
	Reference air density:	1.225	kg/m^3		
	Cut-out wind speed:	25.00	m/s		
Hub height annual average wind speed (Rayleigh)	AEP-measured	Standard Uncertainty in AEP-measured		AEP- extrapolated	Complete if AEP measured is at least 95% of AEP extrapolated
m/s	kWh	kWh	%	kWh	
4	10,848	3,136	10%	10,848	Complete
5	42,283	5,243	7%	42,284	Complete
6	84,579	7,228	5%	84,645	Complete
7	131,784	8,799	4%	132,513	Complete
8	177,850	9,864	3%	181,216	Complete
9	217,719	10,454	3%	227,036	Complete
10	248,443	10,656	3%	267,162	Incomplete
11	269,306	10,570	2%	299,808	Incomplete
AEP measured assumes zero power between highest bin and cutout					
AEP	AEP extrapolated assumes power in last bin between last bin and cutout				utout

Table 8. Annual energy production at sea-level air density, 1.225 kg/m3

Table 9. Annual energy production at site average density, 1.050 $\mbox{kg/m}^3$

Estimated annual energy production, database A (all valid data)					
	Reference air density:	1.050	kg/m^3		
	Cut-out wind speed:	25.00	m/s		
Hub height annual average wind speed (Rayleigh)	AEP-measured	Standard Uncertainty in AEP-measured		Uncertainty in AEP-	
m/s	kWh	kWh	%	kWh	
4	9,298	2,745	10%	9,298	Complete
5	36,242	4,539	7%	36,243	Complete
6	72,497	6,231	5%	72,553	Complete
7	112,958	7,571	4%	113,582	Complete
8	152,443	8,479	3%	155,328	Complete
9	186,616	8,981	3%	194,602	Complete
10	212,951	9,152	3%	228,996	Incomplete
11	230,834	9,076	2%	256,978	Incomplete
AEP measured assumes zero power between highest bin and cutout					
AEP extrapolated assumes power in last bin between last bin and cutout					

5.2.Graphical Results Power Performance Test

Figure 5 through Figure 11 show the results of the power performance test.




Figure 5 shows a plot of the binned power curve normalized to sea-level air density.

Figure 5. Power curve at sea-level density, 1.225 kg/m³




Figure 6 shows a plot of the binned power curve at the site average air density during the test period.

Figure 6. Power curve at site average density, 1.050 kg/m³




Figure 7 shows a scatter plot of statistics for power for the turbine.

Figure 7. Scatter plot of mean, standard deviation, minimum, and maximum power data

There are several minimums around 5m/s, with power values between -60 and -140kW. These events are due to the EW50 motor starting, which is part of the normal & automatic operation.

There is also a second power curve, which is negative and most distinctive between 7 and 12m/s. Figure 8 shows the average power in this region. This power curve is due to the EW50 operating in the upwind position.




Figure 8. Scatter plot of mean power data, zoomed to show upwind power curve




Figure 9 shows a plot of the binned coefficient of performance as a function of wind speed at sea-level normalized air density.

Figure 9. Coefficient of performance at sea-level density, 1.225 kg/m³




Figure 10 shows a scatter plot and binned turbulence intensity as a function of wind speed.

Figure 10. Wind turbulence intensity as a function of wind speed




Figure 11 shows a scatter plot of wind speed and turbulence intensity as a function of wind direction.

Figure 11. Wind speed and turbulence intensity as a function of wind direction

6. Exceptions

6.1.Exceptions to the Standard

The power transducers are not compliant with IEC 60688, but they do exceed the minimum accuracy required by the Standard. This should have no effect on results or uncertainty.

The current transformers are not compliant with IEC 60044-1, but they do exceed the minimum accuracy required by the Standard. This should have no effect on results or uncertainty.

The reference anemometer is mounted 2.8m below the primary. This exceeds the Standard's allowable 2.5m as shown in Annex G.5. This should have no effect on results or uncertainty, because the primary anemometer was post-test calibrated and the reference anemometer was not used for an in situ calibration.

The connection to the grid is approximately 100m from the turbine base. This exceeds the maximum of three times the tower height, as required by Annex H.e. This may slightly lower the power curve. The power cables were sized for this distance; 1/0 copper for 100m. The additional 7m of 1/0 copper cable to reach the grid, which are in excess of the distance allowed by the Standard, results in a power loss of 54Watts when the turbine is operating at 65kW or 0.08%.

The temperature sensor is 3.6m below hub height. This is not 1.5 rotor diameters below hub height as required by Annex H.j. The cross-sectional area of the sensor is small in comparison to the diameter, therefore inflow blockage is minimal. Measuring the temperature close to hub height will result in less uncertainty.

The air pressure sensor is 4.4m below hub height. This is not 1.5 rotor diameters below hub height as required by Annex H.j. The cross-sectional area of the sensor is small in comparison to the diameter, therefore inflow blockage is minimal. Measuring the pressure close to hub height will result in less uncertainty.

6.2. Exceptions to NWTC Quality Assurance System

The data acquisition modules were used beyond the calibration due date. The modules were post-test calibrated and found to be in compliance within the specifications. Appendix B includes the post-test calibration sheets.

The temperature sensor was used beyond its calibration due date. It was post-test calibrated and the calibration coefficients were found to match the initial calibration coefficients within the margin of uncertainty. Appendix B includes the post-test calibration sheet for the temperature senor.

A. Appendix - Photographs of the Test Site from the Turbine Base

Direction pictures are facing:

A.1.	North
A.2.	Northeast
A.3.	East-northeast
A.4.	East
A.5.	Southeast
A.6.	South
A.7.	South-southwest
A.8.	Southwest
A.9.	West
A.10.	Northwest
A.11.	North-northwest



Figure A.1. Facing North. PIX #18724.



Figure A.2. Facing Northeast. PIX #18725.



Figure A.3. Facing East-northeast. PIX #18726.



Figure A.4. Facing East. PIX #18727.



Figure A.5. Facing Southeast. PIX #18728.



Figure Facing A.6. South. PIX #18729.



Figure A.7. Facing South-southwest. PIX #



Figure A.8. Facing Southwest. PIX #18731.



Figure A.9. Facing West. PIX #18732.



Figure A.10. Facing Northwest. PIX #18733.



Figure A.11. Facing North-northwest. PIX #18734.

B. Appendix - Equipment Calibration Sheets

- B.1. Primary anemometer calibration sheet; installed 25Feb09, removed 24Feb10
- B.2. Primary anemometer post-test calibration sheet
- B.3. Primary anemometer pre- & post-test calibration comparison; the difference is less than 0.1m/s for the range of 6 to 12m/s
- B.4. Power transducer calibration sheet; installed 25Feb09, removed 8Feb10
- B.5. Wind vane calibration sheet; installed 25Feb09, removed 24Feb10
- B.6. RTD probe calibration sheet; installed 25Feb09, removed 8Feb10
- B.7. RTD probe post-test calibration sheet
- B.8. RTD calibration comparison
- B.9. Pressure transducer calibration sheet; installed 10Sep09, removed 17Aug10
- B.10. NI 9229 data acquisition module calibration; installed 25Feb09, removed 3Feb10
- B.11. NI 9217 data acquisition module calibration; installed 25Feb09, removed 3Feb10
- B.12. NI 9205 data acquisition module calibration ; installed 25Feb09, removed 3Feb10
- B.13. NI 9229 data acquisition module post-test calibration
- B.14. NI 9217 data acquisition module post-test calibration
- B.15. NI 9205 data acquisition module post-test calibration





Figure B.1. Primary anemometer calibration sheet; installed 25Feb09, removed 24Feb10

Svend Ole Hansen ApS

SCT, JORGENS ALLÉ 7 · DK-1615 KOBENHAVN V · DENMARK TEL: (+45) 33 25 38 38 · FAX; (+45) 33 25 38 39 · WWW.SOHANSEN.DK



CERTIFICATE FOR CALIBRATION OF CUP ANEMOMETER

Certificate number: 10.02.6374

Type: Thies 4.3350.00.000

Date of issue: October 25, 2010 Serial number: 0707884

Manufacturer: ADOLF THIES GmbH & Co.KG, Hauptstrasse 76, 37083 Göttingen, Germany Client: NREL Meterology and Calibration Laboratory, 1617 Cole Blvd, Golden, CO 80401 USA

Anemometer received: October 21, 2010

Calibrated by: as

Certificate prepared by: jsa

Calibration procedure: IEC 61400-12-1, MEASNET Approved by: Calibration engineer, soh

Grend Cle Nasa

Calibration equation obtained: ν [m/s] = 0.04839 · f [Hz] + 0.24584

Standard uncertainty, slope: 0.00164

Standard uncertainty, offset: 0.07126

Anemometer calibrated: October 24, 2010

Covariance: -0.0000013 (m/s)²/Hz Co Absolute maximum deviation: 0.032 m/s at 12.219 m/s

Coefficient of correlation: $\rho = 0.999985$

Barometric pressure: 993.9 hPa

Relative humidity: 24.1%




Figure B.2. Primary anemometer post-test calibration sheet





Figure B.3. Primary anemometer pre- and post-test calibration comparison; the difference is less than 0.1m/s for the range of 6 to 12m/s

Branch #: 5000

Branch #: 5000		
NDF	L METROLOGY LABOR	ντοσν
101(15		AI OMI
	Test Report	
Test Instrument: Multifu	nction Transducer	DOE #: 03574C
Model # : DMT-104	OE	S/N : 07070473
Calibration Date: 08/26/	2008	Due Date: 08/26/2010
A.2. Current is applied that are connected to I A.3. Analog Output-1 is	! to Lines 1, 2, & 3 = 277.12 ! to n = 1-TURN through three	e current transformers
Input Current (AAC)	Input Power (KW)	Analog Output-1 (VDC)
150	124.71	5.022
105	87.30	3.517
60	49.88	2.009
0	0	0.001
-60	-49.88	-2.007
-105	-87.30	-3.515
-150	-124.71	-5.019
B. Set-Up for Power Fac B.1. Voltage & Current B.2. Analog Output-2 is	tor Calibration: are applied as A.1 & A.2. measured across precision 1	resistor = 250 Ω.
Power (KW)	Power Factor	Analog Output-2 (VDC)
49.88	1.0	5.001
w	0.8	3.999
N.	0.6	3.000
N	0.4	1.998
	Page 1 of 2	



Wind Vane Calibration Report




Figure B.5. Wind vane calibration sheet; installed 25Feb09, removed 24Feb10

Brand	ch #: 5000				sheet: 1 of: 1
		NREL METRO	OLOGY LABORA	TORY	
		Te	st Report		
Test	Instrument: RTD-Pro	be		DOE #:	02466C
Mođel	l # : 68N01N0	0N040		S/N :	0549828
Calib	pration Date: 10/10/	2008		Due Da	te: 10/10/2009
No	Function Tested	Nominal Value	Measured Values (Ω)		()Mfr. Specs. OR
	Tested	(°C)	AS Found	AS Left	(X)Data only
*	Temperature:	0	99.95	Same	
		25	109.69	N	
		50	119.35	w	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
_					
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
					-
	Notes: - Calibration was NIST. DOE#s 124272 - Calibration was humidity = 38. - Uncertainty of N	, 108603, an performed at	d 108604. temperature	= 23 °C and	
					•
				Tested Date	By: Reda : 10/10/2008

Figure B.6. RTD probe calibration sheet; installed 25Feb09, removed 8Feb10

Branch #: 5000

sheet: 1 of: 1

NREL METROLOGY LABORATORY

Test Report

Test Instrument: RTD Probe

DOE #: 02466C

Model # : 68N01N00N040

S/N : 0549828 Due Date: 02/10/2011

Calibration Date: 02/10/2010

	Nominal	Values		Measured Values	
N O	Nominal Resistance	Equivalent Temperature	Measured Resistance	Equivalent Temperature	Temperature Error
1	96.09 Ω	-10 °C	96.027 Ω	-10.16 °C	0.16 °C
2	100.00 Ω	0 °C	99.948 Ω	-0.13 °C	0.13 °C
3	103.90 Ω	10 °C	103.855 Ω	9.88 °C	0.12 °C
4	107.79 Ω	20 °C	107.745 Ω	19.88 °C	0.12 °C
5	111.67 Ω	30 °C	111.618 Ω	29.87 °C	0.13 °C
6	115.54 Ω	40 °C	115.490 Ω	39.87 °C	0.13 °C

Notes:

- 1. Total Uncertainty of Nominal Values = ± 0.02 °C
- 2. Calibration was performed at 23 $^\circ\text{C}$ and 40% RH
- 3. Resistance is measured using 4-wire technique

Calibrated by: R	eda	QA by: Bev
Date	: 02/10/2010	Date : 02/10/2010

Figure B.7. RTD probe post-test calibration sheet

Origonal	Calibration		Post-t	est Cal.
Temp	Resistance	Temperature Calibration Curve	Temp	Resistance
0	99.95	60 T • Origonal Calibration	-10.16	96.027
25	109.69	Origonal Calibration 50 Post-test Cal.	-0.13	99.948
50	119.35	Linear (Origonal Calibration)	9.88	103.855
		Linear (Post-test Cal.)	19.88	107.745
		v = 2.5706x - 257.05	29.87	111.618
		y = 2.5773x - 257.64 $y = 2.5770x - 257.64$	39.87	115.49
		$\begin{array}{c} 30 \\ 20 \\ y = 2.5773x - 257.64 \\ 10 \\ R^2 = 1 \\ \end{array}$		
		$-10 \ 90 \ 95 \ 100 \ 105 \ 110 \ 115 \ 120$		
m	b	-20 Resistance [Ohms]	m	b
2.577305	-257.636		2.570556	-257.046

Figure B.8. RTD calibration comparison

Branch #: 5000

sheet: 1 of: 1

NREL METROLOGY LABORATORY

Test Report

Test Instrument: Pressure Transmitter

Model # : PTB101B

Calibration Date: 09/03/2009

No	Function	Nominal		tput Voltage DC)	()Mfr. Specs. OR	
	Tested	Value (kPa)	As Found	As Left	(X)Data only (mb)	
*	Absolute Pressure					
		65	0.270			
		70	0.542			
		75	0.814			
		80	1.086			
		85	1.357			
		90	1.628			
		95	1.900			
		100	2.171			
		103	2.334			
	Notes: 1. Expanded Uncerta 2. Calibration was p 3. Calibration was p numbers: 128120, and	performed at 23° performed using	C and 37% RH.			
7-112	rated By: Reda					
Date:	09/03/2009				QA By: Bev Date: 09/03/2009	

Figure B.9. Pressure transducer calibration sheet; installed 10Sep09, removed 17Aug10

DOE #: 03508C

S/N : C1020015

Due Date: 09/03/2010



Certificate of Calibration

Board Information: Serial Number: 13DEC38 NI Part Number: 192580G-02L Description: NI 9229

Certificate Information: Certificate Number: 1222096 Date Printed: 31-MAR-09

Calibration Date: 10-NOV-08 Recommended Calibration Due Date: 10-NOV-09*

Ambient Temperature: 23 °C Relative Humidity: 36 %

National Instruments certifies that at the time of manufacture, the above product was calibrated in accordance with applicable National Instruments procedures. These procedures are in compliance with relevant clauses of ISO 9001 and are designed to assure that the product listed above meets or exceeds National Instruments specifications.

National Instruments further certifies that the measurements standards and instruments used during the calibration of this product are traceable to National and/or International Standards administered by NIST or Euromet members or are derived from accepted values of natural physical constants.

The environment in which this product was calibrated is maintained within the operating specifications of the instrument and the standards.

The information shown on this certificate applies only to the instrument identified above and the certificate may not be reproduced, except in full, without prior written consent by National Instruments.

For questions or comments, please contact National Instruments Technical Support.

NI Hungary Software és Hardware Gyártó Kft. 4031 Debrecen, Határ út 1/A. HUNGARY Signed,

2Km

Andrew Krupp Vice President, Quality and Continuous Improvement

* Recommended calibration due date is based on a combination of calibration interval and, when applicable, calibration shelf life. This date may vary depending on your application requirements.

Figure B.10. NI 9229 data acquisition module calibration; installed 25Feb09, removed 3Feb10



Certificate of Calibration

Board Information: Serial Number: 13FAE1C NI Part Number: 192547E-01L Description: NI 9217

Certificate Information: Certificate Number: 1267445 Date Printed: 31-MAR-09

Calibration Date: 16-DEC-08 Recommended Calibration Due Date: 16-DEC-09*

Ambient Temperature: 23 °C Relative Humidity: 39 %

National Instruments certifies that at the time of manufacture, the above product was calibrated in accordance with applicable National Instruments procedures. These procedures are in compliance with relevant clauses of ISO 9001 and are designed to assure that the product listed above meets or exceeds National Instruments specifications.

National Instruments further certifies that the measurements standards and instruments used during the calibration of this product are traceable to National and/or International Standards administered by NIST or Euromet members or are derived from accepted values of natural physical constants.

The environment in which this product was calibrated is maintained within the operating specifications of the instrument and the standards.

The information shown on this certificate applies only to the instrument identified above and the certificate may not be reproduced, except in full, without prior written consent by National Instruments.

For questions or comments, please contact National Instruments Technical Support.

NI Hungary Software és Hardware Gyártó Kft. 4031 Debrecen, Határ út 1/A. HUNGARY Signed,

nok m

Andrew Krupp Vice President, Quality and Continuous Improvement

* Recommended calibration due date is based on a combination of calibration interval and, when applicable, calibration shelf life. This date may vary depending on your application requirements.

Figure B.11. NI 9217 data acquisition module calibration; installed 25Feb09, removed 3Feb10



Certificate of Calibration

Board Information: Serial Number: 13E3D05 NI Part Number: 193299F-01 Description: NI-9205

Certificate Information: Certificate Number: 1224953 Date Printed: 31-MAR-09

Calibration Date: 12-NOV-08 Recommended Calibration Due Date: 12-NOV-09*

Ambient Temperature: 22 °C Relative Humidity: 37 %

National Instruments certifies that at the time of manufacture, the above product was calibrated in accordance with applicable National Instruments procedures. These procedures are in compliance with relevant clauses of ISO 9001 and are designed to assure that the product listed above meets or exceeds National Instruments specifications.

National Instruments further certifies that the measurements standards and instruments used during the calibration of this product are traceable to National and/or International Standards administered by NIST or Euromet members or are derived from accepted values of natural physical constants.

The environment in which this product was calibrated is maintained within the operating specifications of the instrument and the standards.

The information shown on this certificate applies only to the instrument identified above and the certificate may not be reproduced, except in full, without prior written consent by National Instruments.

For questions or comments, please contact National Instruments Technical Support.

NI Hungary Software és Hardware Gyártó Kft. 4031 Debrecen, Határ út 1/A. HUNGARY Signed,

OKm

Andrew Krupp Vice President, Quality and Continuous Improvement

* Recommended calibration due date is based on a combination of calibration interval and, when applicable, calibration shelf life. This date may vary depending on your application requirements.

Figure B.12. NI 9205 data acquisition module calibration; installed 25Feb09, removed 3Feb10

The instrument on t	his certification has been	d Calibration Data w/uncertainties calibrated against standards traceable to t derived from ratio type measurements, or c	the National Institute of S	Standards and Technology (N	IST) or other recognized	
Remarks:	Reference attache	d Calibration Data w/uncertainties				
As Found Condition: As Left Condition: Procedure:	LEFT AS FOU	2018/5	CUTIVE REV 3.	4 Temperature:	12 MONTH	S
Reason For Service: Type of Cal:		Certificate 1 17025 WITH UNCERTAIN			WAYNE GET 22Feb2010	CHELL
Accuracy: Mfr Sp						
	NATIONAL INS	STRUMENTS 60 V, 24-BIT SIMULTANEO	Seria	I Number: NI 9229 I Number: 13DEC3 NPLIT	38	
Company ID: 12 NREL BEV KAY 16253 DENVEF GOLDEN, CO, 1	WEST PARKV			nber: CC- TBA		
7		Instrument I	dentification			1.86.1.01.1
	alibrati		REDITED BRATION	Certificate	39	Page 1 of 1

Figure B.13. NI 9229 data acquisition module post-test calibration

Davis	alibrat		CREDITED	Certificate	3	ration 929569
Company ID: 12 NREL BEV KAY 16253 DENVER GOLDEN, CO, 8	WEST PARK		Identification PO Nun	nber: CC- TBA		
Instrument ID: Manufacturer: Description: Accuracy: Mfr. St	NATIONAL IN 4-CH 100 OH	ISTRUMENTS M 24-BIT RTD ANALOG IN	Serial	Number: NI 9217 Number: 13FAE1	С	
		Cortificate	Information			
As Found Condition: As Left Condition:	ACCREDITED	N D 17025 WITH UNCERTAIN CE	ITIES	Cal Date Cal Due Date: Interval: Temperature:	12 MONTH 23.0 C	
Remarks:	Reference attach	ed Calibration Data w/uncertaintie	S.	Humidity:	39.0 %	
natio	mal metrology institute A test uncerta	n calibrated against standards traceable to κ_i derived from ratio type measurements, or inty ratio (T.U.R.) of 4:1 [K=2; approx. 95] fied to ISO 9001:2008 by Eagle Registratio	compared to nationally or % Confidence Level] was m ms (certificate # 3046). Lab	internationally recognized c aintained unless otherwise si Operations meet the require	consensus standards. tated.	1
All results conta	ined within this certific	ANSLINCSL 2540-1-1994, ISO 1001 accredited calibrations are per ACLASS ce ation relate only to iten(s) calibrated. Any instrument's calibrat ot be reproduced except in full, without write	ertificate # AC-1187 within number of factors may caus ion interval has expired.	the scope for which the lab is se the calibration item to drij		re the
		Approved By: GA Service Represent				
NIST Traceable#	Inst. ID#	Calibratio	n Standards	Model	Cal Date	Date Due
3144725	15-0063	6 1/2 DIGIT DIGITAL MULTIM	ETER	34401A	17Apr2009	17Apr2010
Davis Calibratio	on • 2324 Ridge	epoint Drive, Suite D • Austir	n, TX 78754 • Pho	one: 800-365-0147	• Fax: 512-926	i-8450

Figure B.14. NI 9217 data acquisition module post-test calibration

	A test uncerta	ainty ratio (T.U.R.) of 4:1 [K=2.	, approx. 95% Confidence Level] s	was mainaanca uniess omerwise s		
		es, derived from ratio type meas	s traceable to the National Institut surements, or compared to nationa	ally or internationally recognized of	consensus standards.	
		NSTRUMENTS3.4 C	CAL EXECUTIVE REV	/ 3.4 Temperature: Humidity:		
As Found Condition: As Left Condition:				Cal Due Date: Interval:	12 MONTH	S
Type of Cal:	ACCREDITE	D 17025 WITH UNC	ERTAINTIES	Cal Date	22Feb2010	
Reason For Service:			ificate Information		WAYNE GET	CHELL
Description: Accuracy: Mfr Sp		MV TO ±10 V, 16-BI	T, 250 KS/S ANALOG	INPUT MODULE		
Instrument ID: Manufacturer:	and the second second second	STRUMENTS		odel Number: NI 9205 erial Number: 13E3D0		
NREL BEV KAY 16253 DENVER GOLDEN, CO, 1		WAY				
Company ID: 12	0205	Instr	ument Identificatio PO I	Number: CC- TBA		
N		Turner	umant Identificatio		Centificate	Tage For F
		tion	CALIBRATION			Page 1 of 1
			ACCHEDITED		39	930692

Figure B.15. NI 9205 data acquisition module post-test calibration