

Hydrogen for Energy Storage Analysis Overview



National Hydrogen Association Conference & Expo

Darlene Steward, Todd Ramsden, Kevin Harrison

National Renewable Energy Laboratory

May 3-6, 2010

Long Beach, CA

NREL/PR-560-48360

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

NREL is a national laboratory of the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, operated by the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC.

Objectives

Compare hydrogen and competing technologies for utilityscale energy storage systems.

Explore the cost and GHG emissions impacts of interaction of hydrogen storage and variable renewable resources

Outline

Study Framework

- **Preliminary Study Results**
 - Lifecycle cost analysis for hydrogen and competing technologies

– GHG emissions credit impact for a remote wind farm
 NREL Wind to Hydrogen Study Perspectives

Scenarios for Hydrogen Energy Storage Analyses

Comparison of costs for hydrogen and competing technologies

resources



Hydrogen

Storage

Energy Storage Scenario for Comparison Study



Nominal storage volume is 300 MWh (50 MW, 6 hours)

- Electricity is produced from the storage system during 6 peak hours (1 to 7 pm) on weekdays
- Electricity is purchased during off-peak hours to charge the system

Electricity source: excess wind/off-peak grid electricity

- Assumed steady and unlimited supply during off-peak hours (18 hours on weekdays and 24 hours on weekends)
- Assumed fixed purchase price of off-peak/renewable electricity

Levelized Cost of Hydrogen and Competing Technologies



Hydrogen is competitive with batteries and could be competitive with CAES and pumped hydro in locations that are not favorable for these technologies.

Round-Trip Efficiency and Electricity Price Sensitivity



Electricity price sensitivity

- Low-capital-cost, high-efficiency pumped hydro system is sensitive to electricity price
- High-capital-cost NiCd system is insensitive to electricity price
- For other storage systems, sensitivity to electricity price is roughly inversely proportional to round-trip efficiency

Energy Storage & Greenhouse Gases

+	_
Additional energy from the windfarm can be captured for later use	Efficiency losses incurred by routing wind energy through the storage system reduce the greenhouse gas benefit of wind
Wind Farm Output Storage System Output 1800 1600 1400 1200 1000 800 600 400 200 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 1 1 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	 Stored Wind Energy Spilled Wind Energy 4 4 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14

Source: Denholm, Paul. (October 2006). "Creating Baseload Wind Power Systems Using Advanced Compressed Air Energy Storage Concepts." Poster presented at the University of Colorado Energy Initiative/NREL Symposium. <u>http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy07osti/40674.pdf</u>

Wind Farm Location



Study Framework - Add Hydrogen Storage to a Base Case Without Storage

Storage Constrained Case

Analysis of the base case provides LCOE and avoided emissions for comparison



500 MW

Primary Study Assumptions



Major Assumptions

- Electrolyzer and PEM fuel cell performance and cost values derived from mid-cost case of lifecycle cost analysis
- Hydrogen storage in geologic storage
- The storage system is located at the wind farm & all electricity charged to the storage system is derived from the wind farm
- A dedicated transmission line carries electricity from the wind farm/storage system to the grid near demand centers.
- Power from the wind farm will be curtailed (shed) if:
 - It exceeds the maximum charging rate of the storage system + maximum capacity of the transmission line
 - The storage system is full

Wind Farm and Hydrogen Storage for Storage Constrained Case - Hydrogen to Storage



Wind Farm and Hydrogen Storage for Storage Constrained Case - Hydrogen from Storage



Summary of Preliminary Results

Storage reduces the amount of electricity that must be curtailed and reduces the LCOE

	Base Case	Storage Constrained	Transmission Constrained	
	(% of Total Wind Farm Output)			
Electricity Direct from Wind Farm to Transmission Line	82.7	82.7	60.8	
Electricity from Storage	N/A	4.5	7.4	
Electricity Shed	17.3	1.9	11.7	
Net Electricity to Transmission Line	82.7	87.2	68.2	
(% of Total Transmission Line Capacity)				
Transmission Line Utilization	56.0	59.0	69.0	
	(LCOE ¢/kWh)			
Without cost of carbon	13	10	12	
@ cost of carbon \$50/MT CO2eq	9	6	8	
<pre>@ cost of carbon \$100/MT CO2eq</pre>	5	2	4	

Effect of a Cost of Carbon on the Competitiveness of Wind & Hydrogen Storage System

Credit for avoided emissions reduces LCOE for wind electricity below grid price



Cost comparison for Chicago Grid Electricity v Wind Electricity for Various Storage Configurations

NREL Wind to Hydrogen Project - 10kW Wind Turbine Powered Electrolysis

 Initial tests with third generation power electronics, wind speed measurement and control algorithm indicate further improved energy capture of wind electricity into hydrogen production



Cost Analysis



- Cost analysis performed based on NREL's power electronics optimization and testing and on our electrolyzer cost analysis study
- Large centralized system capable of 50,000 kg per day production
- Optimized power conversion
 system due to a closer
 coupling of the wind turbine to
 the electrolyzer stack can
 reduce the total cost of
 hydrogen by 7%.

Key Findings from Wind2H2 RD&D

System Efficiency (HHV): At rated stack current...

- The PEM electrolyzer system efficiency of 57%
- The alkaline system had a system efficiency of 41%
 - H2 production about 20% lower than the manufacturer's rated flow rate
 - 50% system efficiency would be realized if rated flow were achieved

Cost Reductions from Power Electronics Optimization:

- Analysis showed a potential 7% reduction in cost per kg of hydrogen based on capital cost improvement
 - Projected cost of hydrogen falling to \$5.83/kg from a baseline of \$6.25/kg
- Energy Transfer Improvements: PV configuration testing compared direct-connection to the electrolyzer stack with a connection through power electronics
 - The MPPT power electronics system captured between 10% and 20% more energy than the direct-connect configuration



Questions?

Thank You

Darlene Steward Darlene.steward@nrel.gov