

Pathway and Resource Overview



Delivering Renewable Hydrogen Workshop – A Focus on Near-Term Applications

Mark F. Ruth

November 16, 2009 Palm Springs, CA

NREL/PR-6A1-47108

Hydrogen pathway analysis is analysis of the total levelized cost (including return on investment), well-towheels (WTW) energy use, and WTW emissions for hydrogen production, delivery, and distribution pathways.

This presentation focuses on

- Pathway analyses using the Macro-System Model (MSM)
- Resource and pathway analysis using the Hydrogen Demand and Resource Analysis Tool (HyDRA)
- Status of water-electrolysis technology

MSM: Hydrogen Macro-System Model

Modeling tool that supports DOE Hydrogen Program and stakeholder decision-making by performing rapid crosscutting analysis while providing consistency, data assurance, and an easy-to-use tool for sensitivity analysis

- Consistency provided by utilizing and linking other models
 - Current version performs pathway analyses
 - It links H2A Production, the Hydrogen Delivery Scenario Analysis Model (HDSAM), and ANL's Greenhouse Gas, Regulated Emissions, and Energy Use for Transportation (GREET) model
 - Models estimate "nth plant" costs so the assumption is that the extra expenses for process integration, permitting, and process guarantees for firstof-a-kind plants have been eliminated.
- Data assurance provided by documentation of data in each of the foundational models and data validation with industrial partners
 - Pathway report at <u>http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/46612.pdf</u> presents results of the current status for seven hydrogen pathways and shows many sensitivities around those results.
- Ease-of-use provided by web-based graphical user interface (GUI)
 - Available at http://h2-msm.ca.sandia.gov/

GUI Allows All Users To Perform Pathway Analyses

Initial input

- Technology
 - Production
 - Delivery
- City size
- Fuel Economy

Defaults

Model defaults

National averages

 Users can modify as desired

Interaction	Unload file	Mutti naram	Arabàn						
Interactive	Upload file	muni-param	Arcnive						
System -						Mode	ils		
		Wells	to Wheels 💌				Detailed Innuts		
							Teedstock Utilities		
Year							- Biomass		
			2005 🔻				- Source of biomass feedstock consumption (H2A PROD)		
							- D Source of biomass feedstock cost (H2A PROD)		
Production	Size/Delivery						Source of poplar farming energy use (GREET)		
		Central	Liquid Truck	-			- Selection of H2 plant co-product allowances (None)		
		⊖ Distribu	ted				← 🗂 Utilities, co-products		
- Foodetack/	Dracase						 Source of natural gas utility consumption (H2A PROD) 		
recusiock	FIOCESS						 Source of utility electricity consumption (H2A PROD) 		
		Woody biom	ass	-			Source of utility electricity price (H2A prod)		
City						9-0	Production Facility		
City		Denutation	Lange of				 Source of production total capital investment (H2A PROD) 		
		Population	100000g	1			 Source of production capacity factor (H2A PROD) 		
		H2 penetrat	1011 (%) 75]			Source of number of production FTEs (H2A PROD)		
Vehicle Fue	el Economy —						Source of internal rate of return (H2A PROD)		
		O GREET so	urce			? -0	Delivery		
		O HDSAM s	ource				Cliquid H2 Delivery		
		User defi	ned (mi/GGE)	65 -			Vehicle Characterization		
Title						11.	- Taseline Fuel Efficiencies		
Near-term w	oodv biomass	gasification / liqu	uid truck / 75% ma	rket penetration	1		- Source of gasoline internal combustion engine vehicles' fuel efficiency (4		
- ID	,	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					- 🗋 Source of gasoline hybrid electric vehicles' fuel efficiency (42.0 mile / gge		
10							📙 🗋 Source of E-85 ethanol internal combustion engine vehicles' fuel efficien		
Description		0	sei. Iun				H2 FCV to gasoline ICE vehicle emissions ratio		
bloor torm un	•	recification							
Delivery LH2	trucks	gasmcauon					purce of production total capital investment		
1 MM city population, 75% market penetration						Value: User Input v 25000000			
65 mi/gge H2 FCV						Units: \$ Description: source of production total capital importment			
							OK Reset		
					-				
		Edit D	etailed Inputs =>				<= Edit Required Inputs		

MSM available to the analysis community at http://h2-msm.ca.sandia.gov/

GUI Results – Central Electrolysis / Wind Power

Btu Heat 4.88 \$kg Levelized Cost of H2 at Pump Btu Electricity Btu Electricity For Compression Btu Electricity For Forecourt For Forecourt For Compression Btu Electricity Compression Btu Electricity Btu Electricity For Compression Btu Electricity Btu Electricity For Source Btu Electricity Btu Electricity For Source Btu Electricity Btu Electricity For Source Btu Electricity Btu								
Energy Use and Emissions		Energy Efficiency		Case Definition				
Well-to-Wheels Total Energy Use (Btu/mile)	2920	Production Process Energy Efficiency	75%	Year	2020			
Well-to-Wheels Petroleum Energy Use (Btu/m	ile) 21	Pathway Efficiency	68%	Form of Hydrogen	Gas			
Well-to-Wheels Fossil Energy Use (Btu/mile)	438	WTP Efficiency	61%	Production Means	Central			
Well-to-Wheels Greenhouse Gas Emissions	43			Feedstock	WIND			
(grinne) WTP Emissions (lb CO2 Equivalent / GGE fue)				Sequestration	NO			
available): GHG	6			Transport for Delivery	Pipeline			
				Vehicle efficiency	65 miles/GGE			
				City Hydrogen Use	238466 kg/day			
Energy and efficiency results are on a lower heating value (LHV) basis.		Energy and efficiency results are on a lower heating value (LHV) basis.						
Model Information		Other Information		Disclaimer				
HDSAM	ersion 2.0	Application version	1.1 (May 2009)	The MSM is being validate	d so these results are not			
HyARC version 1.0		Run serial number 252		guaranteed. If any results are problematic please inform Mark Ruth at mark_ruth@nrel.gov				
H2A version 2-01								
GREET	ersion 1.8b							

Single-value results for central hydrogen production via electrolysis from wind-electricity and delivered via pipeline are shown. Many other results are available but not shown by the GUI

Comparative Fuel Cost and GHG Emissions



Levelized Cost of Hydrogen and Market Price of Gasoline & Diesel (\$ / mile without fuel taxes)

Figure shows results based on current technology status scaled to full utilization of production capacity as represented in H2A and HDSAM. Parameter values referenced at <u>http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/46612.pdf</u> Stochastic analysis can be run to estimate variability of each result.

* Gasoline and diesel prices are from AEO 2007 high projections for 2009 and do not include fuel taxes

Distributed Electrolysis Cost & GHG Breakdown



Purchasing electricity is the largest driver of hydrogen cost so reducing electricity purchase price and increasing electrolysis efficiency have the greatest potential for reducing cost.



Generating electricity for hydrogen production generates 96% of the WTW greenhouse gases (GHG) based on the US average grid mix. Electrolysis efficiency and grid mix have the greatest potential for reducing GHGs.

Basis: 1500 kg/day design capacity, production electricity use of 53.44 kWh/kg H_2 (62% efficiency – LHV basis), electricity cost of \$0.055/kWh, electrolyzer system capital cost of \$675/kW, total capital investment of \$2,730,000, and 2005 US\$

Cost Sensitivities





Improved system efficiency and reduced capital cost have the potential to reduce the levelized cost.

Electricity price has the greatest effect on levelized cost of hydrogen but it is driven by location and policies.

Basis: 1500 kg/day design capacity, production electricity use of 53.44 kWh/kg H₂ (62% efficiency – LHV basis), electricity cost of \$0.055/kWh, electrolyzer system capital cost of \$675/kW, and 2005 US\$

Web-based geographic information system (GIS) tool that allows analysts, decision-makers, and general users to view, download, and analyze hydrogen demand, resource, and infrastructure data spatially and dynamically.

It is a repository for spatial data inputs and results and is designed to display and aggregate that data

HyDRA can be accessed at <u>http://rpm.nrel.gov</u>. The user must request a login ID and password.

Industrial Electricity Price by US County



Grid mix and electricity cost vary

– HyDRA provides layers with residential, commercial, and industrial electricity cost from EPA

Distributed Electrolysis Cost by US County



Industrial electricity price by county was entered into the MSM to generate levelized costs of hydrogen by distributed electrolysis.

Distributed Electrolysis GHG Emissions



HyDRA also has grid mix by state. Grid mix was entered into the MSM to estimate WTW GHG emissions. HyDRA now has county-level data and that data will be used to generate a new layer.

Distributed Electrolysis Cost and Emissions



Overlaying levelized cost and GHG emissions indicates opportunities for distributed electrolysis. The MSM and HyDRA are being linked to allow users to vary electrolysis capital cost and efficiency.

Electrolysis Independent Review

- NREL commissions independent reviews at DOE's request
- Recently, a review of central and distributed electrolysis status was completed.
- Four independent experts reviewed information provided by electrolysis system providers, interviews, public data, and their own knowledge to estimate the current status of central and distributed production.
- They concluded that "significant technology advancements in reducing capital costs and improving efficiency have led to substantially improved electrolysis production costs."
- Their results and rationale are presented in a report available at http://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/peer_reviews.html

	H2A Levelized Cost	Panel's Cost Range	Panel's Base-Case Estimate
Distributed Electrolysis (1500 kg/day)	\$6.05 / kg	\$4.90 - \$5.70 / kg	\$5.20 / kg
Central Electrolysis (50,000 kg/day)	\$4.50 / kg	\$2.70 - \$3.50 / kg	\$3.00 / kg

Panel's Conclusions

Improvements Panel Identified for Dist. Electrolysis

	H2A	Vendor Range	Panel Base Case Value	Effect on levelized cost
Electrolyzer System Capital Cost	\$675 / kW	\$170 - \$420 / kW	\$360 / kW	-\$0.61 / kg H ₂
Electrolyzer System Electricity Use (kWh / kg)	53.4	48 – 59	50	-\$0.19 / kg H ₂
Electrolyzer System Efficiency (LHV)	62%	57% - 70%	67%	Same as above
Maintenance, replacement, CSD, and water requirements				-\$0.05 / kg H ₂

Basis: 1500 kg/day design capacity, electricity cost of \$0.055/kWh, and 2005 US\$

Conclusions

- MSM performs pathway analysis and gives users the ability to vary many input parameters
- HyDRA provides spatial resource data and some spatial pathway results
- MSM and HyDRA are being linked to allow users to run pathway analyses and sensitivities with spatial results
- An independent review panel has identified improvements made since the H2A cases were developed that make electrolysis more attractive.
- The MSM and HyDRA will be demonstrated this evening.

NREL is a national laboratory of the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Operated by the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC.

The author would like to thank Johanna Levene from NREL for the description of HyDRA and for interactions necessary to produce the HyDRA example.

Questions



Supporting Slides

Comparative Petroleum Use and GHG Emissions



WTW Petroleum Energy Use (Btu / mile)

Most hydrogen technologies use less petroleum and are likely to produce fewer GHG emissions than other fuels.

Stochastic Analysis

- MSM allows stochastic analysis to present results in ranges necessary to quantify the results' variability
- **Example Scenario:**
 - Advanced distributed SMR production technology case with a fuel economy of 50-70 mile/kg H₂
- Varied inputs:
 - Total capital investment; Operating and maintenance costs; Capacity factor; Production efficiency; Natural gas cost; Vehicle fuel economy



National Renewable Energy Laboratory