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ABSTRACT

Concentrating photovoltaic (CPV) systems have great potential to reduce photovoltaic (PV) electricity costs because of the low cost of optical components relative to PV cells. Ultimate success of CPV will require long-term reliability, including stability of the transparent polymeric material that encapsulates the cell, and, therefore, is exposed to concentrated light. It is desirable to evaluate the UV-resistance of these materials in a reasonably short time. In this work we investigated two strategies for enhancing UV exposure, achieving a sustainable intensity of 40-45 suns UV. We applied these strategies to polydimethyl siloxane (PDMS), ethylene vinyl-acetate (EVA), ionomers, and thermoplastic polyurethanes (TPU). Superior performance was found for PDMS materials, but some of the hydrocarbon-based materials could also be suitable for CPV applications.

INTRODUCTION

Concentrating photovoltaic (CPV) systems have great potential to reduce systems cost and the cost of electricity produced by photovoltaic (PV) cells. The relatively small area covered by the cells allows high-performance cells to be used with optical systems that concentrate solar flux by as much as 500X to 1000X. At geometric concentrations less than 50X, monocrystalline silicon-based cells typically balance the considerations of cell cost and performance. However at high concentration (250X to 1000X) high-performance III/V multijunction cells are used exclusively because the high performance of the cells justifies their relatively high cost.

For CPV systems, a transparent polymeric material is typically used to optically couple the PV cell to optical components while also providing electrical insulation against condensed moisture that may create a grounding hazard and/or increase corrosion rates. The light intensity against condensed moisture that may create a grounding hazard and/or increase corrosion rates. The light intensity typically used to optically couple the PV cell to optical systems that concentrate solar flux by as much as 500X to 1000X. At geometric concentrations less than 50X, monocrystalline silicon-based cells typically balance the considerations of cell cost and performance. However at high concentration (250X to 1000X) high-performance III/V multijunction cells are used exclusively because the high performance of the cells justifies their relatively high cost.

For CPV systems, a transparent polymeric material is typically used to optically couple the PV cell to optical components while also providing electrical insulation against condensed moisture that may create a grounding hazard and/or increase corrosion rates. The light intensity typically used to optically couple the PV cell to optical systems that concentrate solar flux by as much as 500X to 1000X. At geometric concentrations less than 50X, monocrystalline silicon-based cells typically balance the considerations of cell cost and performance. However at high concentration (250X to 1000X) high-performance III/V multijunction cells are used exclusively because the high performance of the cells justifies their relatively high cost.

For CPV systems, a transparent polymeric material is typically used to optically couple the PV cell to optical components while also providing electrical insulation against condensed moisture that may create a grounding hazard and/or increase corrosion rates. The light intensity typically used to optically couple the PV cell to optical systems that concentrate solar flux by as much as 500X to 1000X. At geometric concentrations less than 50X, monocrystalline silicon-based cells typically balance the considerations of cell cost and performance. However at high concentration (250X to 1000X) high-performance III/V multijunction cells are used exclusively because the high performance of the cells justifies their relatively high cost.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Ultraviolet exposure was obtained using an Atlas Ci4000 Weather-Ometer® with a light intensity of 114 W/m² between 300 nm and 400 nm. The light was filtered using a type “S” borosilicate inner and outer filter. This light intensity (about 2.5X the AM 1.5 global UV Suns) is experienced by samples placed at a radius of r=32.4 cm from the lamp. To increase the UV dose, test samples were placed at a radius of r=7 cm from the lamp. Here, the UV dose was estimated by approximating the lamp as a 12.5 cm long line source with the light intensity decreasing as 1/r² from each point along its length.

\[ I = I_o \int_{z}^{Z_{sample}} \frac{1}{z^2 + r^2} dz \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Here \( z \) is the vertical distance from the center point of the lamp, \( r \) is the horizontal radial distance from the lamp, \( I \) is the total length of the lamp, and \( I_o \) is a coefficient for the lamp intensity (See Fig. 1). Integration of Eq. 1 yields,

\[ I = I_o \frac{l}{r} \left[ \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{Z_{sample} + \frac{l}{2}}{r} \right) - \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{Z_{sample} - \frac{l}{2}}{r} \right) \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

* A Similar Version was presented at the 34th IEEE Photovoltaics Specialists Conference, June 7-12, 2009, in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
where \( I_o \) can be solved from the known condition that the UV intensity at \( r=32.4 \text{ cm} \) and \( z=0 \text{ cm} \) is 2.5 suns.

Using Eq. 2, the intensity at a radius of \( r=7 \text{ cm} \) as a function of height was estimated as shown in Fig. 2. To avoid using regions where the light intensity changes rapidly, only the vertically central \( Z=±3.8 \text{ cm} \) region was utilized. In this region, the calculated irradiance varies between 39.3 UV suns and 44.0 UV suns with an average of 42.3±1.5 UV suns. Whenever a sample was removed for measurement, the positions of all samples were randomized to avoid any location specific variations in light intensity. The Ci4000 Weather-Ometer was set at 30ºC and 30% RH with baffles placed to enhance air flow to the specimens to reduce their temperature. The black panel temperature was set at 29ºC to force the recirculation blower to continually operate at maximum speed, providing the maximum convective cooling possible.

![Atlas Ci4000 Setup for high UV flux](image)

Figure 1. Schematic of Atlas Ci4000 Weather-Ometer in cross-section, with samples placed close to the lamp.

To verify the applicability of Eq. 2, measurements of the light intensity as a function of radial distance \( r \) were performed by Atlas weathering services in an Atlas Ci5000 Weather-Ometer using an integrating sphere attached to a fiber optic cable and a spectroradiometer, Fig 3. The dashed lines indicate a slope of \( 1/r^2 \), which is what one would expect at large distances from a point light source, or the slope of \( 1/r \), which is what one would expect for an infinite linear light source. For most of the range of distances measured, the calculated line (Eq. 2) closely matches the measurements. However, at large distances (and low light intensities) the measured values are high, which could be explained from reflected light within the chamber. At small distances (<7 cm) there is a negative deviation. While this deviation may be attributable to the limitations of the optical measurement, this analysis demonstrates that Eq. 2 is a reasonable representation of the light intensity at most locations within a Xe arc lamp chamber.

At \( r=7 \text{ cm} \) in the Ci4000 Weather-Ometer, a deviation in radius \( r \) of ±1 mm corresponds to about 1 UV sun irradiance. When one considers that the ability to position the samples should be accurate to ±3 mm, then the greatest sources of uncertainty in the irradiance comes from the vertical-radial-placement.

![Figure 3. Plot of the calculated light flux on a sample at a fixed height, z=0, as a function of radial distance, r. The calculation (Eq. 2) applies to an Atlas Ci5000 Weather-Ometer with 2 UV suns at r=47 cm with a 25-cm-long bulb.](image)

The samples used in this experiment were made using a ~0.5-mm thick polymer laminated between two 2.5-cm×2.5-cm, 3.18-mm or 2.95-mm thick glass sheets. Because the samples are transparent, they remained at temperatures between 78ºC and 95ºC depending on their age, and hence relative amount of IR radiation, the Xe arc lamp emits. It was also observed that as samples begin to discolor and become more optically absorbing, their steady-state temperature increased. Black samples were
found to reach temperatures as great as 150 to 170°C. Samples with significant changes in YI were found to reach temperatures of 110 to 120°C.

After exposure to UV light, the transmittance of the samples was measured using a Varian Cary 6000i UV-VIS-NIR spectrophotometer equipped with an integrating sphere. From this, the yellowness index (YI) was calculated according to ASTM E313 using D65 as the illuminant (solar equivalent) spectrum and the 1931 procedure [4]. Because medium-concentration CPV systems typically use monocrystalline silicon cells, the light transmittance was weighted against the AM 1.5 direct solar spectrum [5] and the quantum efficiency of a typical monocrystalline silicon PV cell.

Some samples began to degrade at their perimeter as an oxidative process dominated the degradation. Others (all EVA samples tested), turned yellow in the center first as an oxidative process serves to remove chromophores at the perimeter. In both cases, these perimeter oxidative effects were limited to the outer millimeters of the samples. Because a cell used in a medium-CPV application (or a non-concentrating system) typically uses a glass front sheet located in front of a relatively large cell, the anaerobic center of our samples is likely to be more representative of the majority of the packaged region useful in a PV application. Therefore, all transmittance measurements were made in the center of the samples. This assumption is more applicable to CPV systems than non-concentrating systems because intense UV irradiation degrades the encapsulant rapidly relative to oxygen diffusion rates which would not be accelerated equally.

RESULTS

The different encapsulant materials that may be used in CPV each possess unique UV-absorption spectra. Even though UV radiation comprises only a small amount of the total solar energy, the photons in this region (especially below 350 nm) are extremely effective at degrading polymeric materials [2, 6, 7]. In Fig. 4., the transmittance of low-Fe glasses, with and without Ce, are shown to illustrate how the addition of trace amounts of Ce affects the UV absorption [8]. The addition of Ce also reduces transmittance of infrared light, yielding a loss in transmittance that develops upon exposure to UV light as shown in Fig. 5. This “solarization” produces a 1% loss in transmittance for low-Fe, Ce-containing glass along with a 0.2 increase in YI. This small loss in transmittance influenced many in the PV industry to discontinue using glass with Ce. While this may be appropriate for flat-panel PV systems, medium-X CPV systems may require Ce-doped glass, or some equivalent UV screen, to enable the use of EVA (as opposed to silicone-based encapsulant materials).

![Figure 4. UV transmittance or reflection of representative materials used in CPV applications (glass shown after solarization).](image)

Figure 4. UV transmittance or reflection of representative materials used in CPV applications (glass shown after solarization).

![Figure 5. Transmittance as a function of exposure to 42 UV suns.](image)

Figure 5. Transmittance as a function of exposure to 42 UV suns.

Four different EVA formulations were obtained from different manufacturers and tested for changes in YI and optical transmittance as a function of exposure (Fig. 6). Different types of glass were used to demonstrate how blocking UV radiation below ~350 nm can have a dramatic effect on changes in YI and optical transmittance. Changes in YI correlate well with optical transmittance in all the polymeric materials tested in this study. The YI values generally display significant changes with less exposure than the weighted transmittance, making them good predictors of material performance. However, the Ce-glass itself produces an initial drop in transmittance over the first ~200 hr, attributable to solarization of the glass, that does not correlate with a significant change in yellowness index. In Fig. 6, one can see significant differences between the EVA formulations illustrating the importance of using a well-formulated EVA.

Because the loss of transmittance causes significant heating via optical absorption, the exact shape of the different curves might not be useful for comparison between different samples. Initially, all samples should be at nearly the same temperature, but as they become more absorbing, thermally induced degradation may further increase degradation rates. However, the onset of
degradation should correlate well with UV dose, as thermal runaway doesn’t occur until after significant degradation has accumulated.

After 1000 h all the non-Ce EVA samples began to show some changes in YI, whereas none of the Ce-containing samples show statistically significant increases in YI until after 2000 h. Transmittance for EVA #2 behind Ce-containing glass, appears to be dropping at 2000 h but lack of data between 2000 h and 4000 h indicates it could be longer than 4000 h before significant degradation occurs. For EVA #1 behind Ce-containing glass, somewhere between 2000 and 4000 h the sample began to delaminate. Therefore, further transmittance characterization was not possible. This sample will be reexamined to determine if delamination is an inherent problem for this formulation.

Figure 6. Transmittance and yellowness index for different EVA materials as a function of exposure to 42 UV suns of radiation behind different glass materials.

The yellowness index gave similar results to the transmittance measurements. For EVA sample #2, onset of significant changes in YI and Transmission occur at ~2000 h, 500 h, and 100 h for Ce, non-Ce, and silica glass respectively. Fig. 7 demonstrates the visual appearance of a typical EVA sample. The ingress of oxygen from the perimeter bleaches the chromophores making the samples more transparent around the edges [6, 9]. This trend continued with time, and at 1568 h (Fig. 8) the UV-blocking Ce glass sample is still relatively transparent as compared to the non-Ce sample. However, after 4000 h of exposure behind Ce-containing glass, EVA # 1, #2 and #4 (and #3 to a much lesser extent) are discolored around the oxygen-exposed perimeter as opposed to the more anaerobic center (Fig. 9). This demonstrates that there are at least two different processes causing yellowing.

Figure 7. EVA #5 after 400h of 42 UV suns. Samples are 2.5-cm×2.5-cm square.

For EVA #2, it can be seen that the removal of Ce causes the onset of transmittance loss to occur about 4 to 6X faster. For EVA #2 a sample was made using silica glass for which the onset of transmittance loss was almost immediate. This identifies the high potential of UV-B (285<λ<320 nm) to cause degradation. This estimate of a 4 to 6X reduction in the time required for the onset of degradation is probably a low estimate for switching from Ce to non-Ce glass [10]. The observation that most of the EVA formulations laminated to Ce glass maintained good transmittance during extended exposure, indicates that the blocking of UV-B light may generally reduce discoloration by more than 6X. On the time scale of these experiments, it is apparent that oxygen has not fully permeated the samples; therefore, this result may not be applicable to non-concentrating systems where there may be sufficient time for oxygen ingress for photo-oxidative bleaching.

Figure 8. EVA #2 after 1568 h of 42 UV suns. Samples are 2.5-cm×2.5-cm square.
Other hydrocarbon-based polymers were examined (Fig 10). Only the ionomer showed better performance relative to EVA. The thermoplastic polyurethane (TPU) was comparable to EVA in its YI and transmittance changes. It also showed photo-oxidative bleaching around the perimeter in the non-Ce glass sample but not the Ce-glass sample after 4000 h. However, the non-Ce glass TPU sample delaminated after 2000 h of exposure.

The PDMS samples examined performed exceptionally well (Fig. 12). With a ±1% uncertainty in transmittance measurements, the only statistically significant changes in the silicone samples pertain to solarization of the Ce-containing glass and not silicone degradation. These silicone-based encapsulant samples clearly show superior performance as compared to all the hydrocarbon-based materials. There were no visual signs of degradation, even on the perimeters, of any of these samples.

DISCUSSION

At 42 UV suns running 24 hr/day, our modified test instrument provides a UV dose that is about 42×3=126 times as damaging as typical outdoor field exposure on a solar tracker. Therefore, 1000 h of exposure roughly corresponds to about 14 years at 1 sun, provided a linear relationship exists between exposure intensity and degradation. This assumption is tenuous at the extreme exposure conditions utilized here, but when making comparisons to CPV systems at 10X to 50X, this
assumption is more robust because the instantaneous dose is about 4.2 times as intense. Typically, the dependence on UV dose at high concentrations is sublinear \( (i.e.\ 10\ \text{suns}\ \text{renders less than 10X}\ \text{acceleration}) \) \[11, 12, 13\]. Furthermore, an Atlas Weather-Ometer configured with a borosilicate inner and outer filter on the xenon arc lamp, is designed to emulate the global spectrum not the direct solar spectrum utilized in CPV applications. The direct spectrum has 30.5 W/m² and the global spectrum has 46.1 W/m² between 300 nm and 400 nm; therefore, there is another 1.5X acceleration factor for concentrating systems. This results in an irradiance of 42X3X1.5=190 times the direct UV solar spectrum.

If these are the only factors being considered, it would take about 9,300 h (1.1 years) for 20 years equivalent exposure in a system operating at 10X concentration and 46,000 h (5.3 years) for a 20-year equivalent exposure for a system operating at 50X concentration.

The equivalent test time can be further reduced if one considers that the mirrors and polymeric Fresnel lenses used in CPV applications act to reduce the amount of UV light transmitted as concentrated light, Fig. 4. Medium-concentration CPV systems typically use linear concentration in the form of Fresnel mirrors or lenses or trough reflectors.

Fresnel lenses are typically constructed using an acrylate polymer \( (\text{e.g. polymethyl methacrylate}) \) that is stabilized using UV absorbers that will yield a transmittance profile similar to the UV-absorbing film shown in Fig. 4. Because this spectral distribution blocks much more UV light than the glass superstrates tested here, extrapolation to this condition would be very tenuous. It is anticipated with so little UV light, that other processes, such as thermal degradation, may instead dominate [1].

For the case of a mirror used to concentrate light prior to transmittance through a low-Fe non-Ce glass, inspection of Fig. 4 indicates that the spectral distribution would roughly be represented by the transmittance through a low-Fe, Ce-containing glass. In this work, exposure to high UV flux has indicated that the removal of Ce from glass causes degradation to occur between 2 and 6X faster. If one assumes the performance of samples behind non-Ce glass compares to a mirror/non-Ce glass concentrating system, then an additional 4X acceleration factor can be assumed as a first-order approximation bringing the total acceleration factor to 190X4=760. With these assumptions, samples behind low-Fe, non-Ce glass exposed for 2,300 h or 12,000 h emulate 20 yr in the field for 10X and 50X CPV systems, respectively. Because the effects of oxygen ingress are not adequately controlled in this experiment, and because the extra UV-B radiation with non-Ce glass has the potential to accelerate non-representative failure modes, this extra 4X acceleration should be used with caution.

All the silicone materials have so far demonstrated this criteria (>2000 h exposure) for the 10X CPV conditions. It is expected that they will continue to perform well for the 50X-CPV-equivalent exposure. For the hydrocarbon-based materials, only the ionomer (see Figs. 6 and 8) demonstrates UV stability for 10X-CPV applications. Continued study will examine the 50X-CPV-exposure condition. Even if it does continue to perform well, the perimeter degradation shown in Figure 9 is an important concern. Significant material degradation around the perimeter could create an electrical insulation hazard before the optical degradation affected module performance. However, extra UV protection around the oxygen-exposed parts may be sufficient to alleviate this concern. Further study is necessary to confirm this.

Another aspect to consider for materials selection is the total light transmittance. In prior work, it was estimated that PDMS silicones transmit about 0.7% more light (for normal incidence) than some of the best hydrocarbon-based materials \( (\text{e.g. EVA and PVB}) \) [3]. With continued exposure, further reductions in light transmittance is expected relative to the silicone-based materials. This 0.7% difference in performance should be considered as a minimum because properly formulated PDMS materials are less likely to experience transmittance losses after UV exposure. If the price difference between using a silicone and a hydrocarbon-based material is less than 0.7% of the overall module price, then a silicone is likely to be the more cost effective solution. As the concentration ratio gets higher, the relative amount of area (and materials) required to encapsulate a module will decrease making the case for a silicone-based encapsulant stronger.

Lastly, this analysis has only considered the effects of degradation on light transmittance. An encapsulant material must also maintain good adhesion. If delamination occurs, there will likely be enhanced corrosion, a loss of light transmittance (associated with interfacial reflectance), and the potential for a safety hazard (arc or grounding fault). This safety hazard could make the system inoperable which is the greatest of these concerns.

**CONCLUSION**

A method was developed for exposing transparent encapsulant materials to 42±5 UV suns of radiation. Test samples were constructed using Ce- and non-Ce-containing glass superstrates to further increase the UV dose. It was found that samples with non-Ce glass, which transmit more UV light, causes a loss of transmittance in a polymeric material somewhere between 2 and 6X faster than UV blocking Ce-containing glass.

As a first-order approximation, it was estimated that under these conditions a UV dose equivalent to 20 years for a system using mirrors and non-Ce glass can be obtained in 2,300 h and 12,000 h for 10X and 50X CPV systems, respectively. However, exposing samples to a UV-B rich environment has the potential to introduce non-representative degradation; therefore, if the spectrum is matched to the direct solar spectrum, 9,300 h and 46,000 h would instead be required for a 10X and 50X CPV system, respectively. This allows for evaluation of candidate materials in a more reasonable amount of time.

For the PDMS materials, no signs of degradation were seen after as long as 4000 h of exposure to 42 UV
suns. The best hydrocarbon-based polymer tested was an ionomer. After 4000 h of exposure, no change in transmittance or YI index was observed within the central portion of the ionomer samples; however, the edges that were exposed to air experienced severe UV-induced degradation. If adequate protection of these exposed surfaces were provided, it might be possible to use this material in a medium-concentration CPV application.
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