

Distributed Hydrogen Technologies for Commercial Buildings and Vehicle Refueling



Ali A. Jalalzadeh-Azar

ASME 3rd International Conference on Energy Sustainability

July 19-23, 2009 San Francisco, CA

NREL/PR-560-46368

Premise of Multi-Faceted Analysis

- Energy- and water-resource assessment is important for infrastructure development.
- Effective hydrogen utilization on the demand side can influence the economic and environmental attributes of hydrogen technologies.
- Use of a joint hydrogen production facility for stationary and transportation applications can provide an opportunity for overall cost reduction—a critical criterion for accelerating market acceptance.

Successful implementation of hydrogen technologies requires macro- and micro-level analysis.

Objectives

Perform energy analysis of H2-based DG systems serving commercial buildings

Address resource requirements—energy and water—for the DG systems.

Investigate the potential economic benefits of integrated stationary and transportation applications in the context of tri-generation.

System Characteristics

- Distributed generation (DG) system consists of a steam methane reformer, and a fuel cell system—PEMFC, PAFC, or SOFC.
- DG system generates electricity for commercial buildings; excess H2 is used for refueling.
- Heat recovery from PAFC and SOFC is available for internal and external applications.



Approach

- Perform thermodynamic analysis of the three H2based DG systems for commercial buildings using the DOE Benchmark Load Profiles:
 - **FCDG:** A basic system with no heat recovery—all FC types.
 - **CHP:** FCDG plus heat recovery for end-use equipment— PAFC and SOFC.
 - FCDG-ADV: Advanced system incorporating SOFC and internal heat recovery for H2 production



Elec.

FCDG-

ADV

Approach (cont.)

- Extrapolate the results of system-level analysis for national-level assessment of resource requirements stemming from adoption of DG technologies for commercial buildings using the EIA data.
- Evaluate the primary energy and environmental impact of the DG systems.
- Investigate the economics of a consolidated H2production facility serving both stationary & transportation applications in commercial communities consisting of a H2 refueling station and an EIA-based group of buildings—hospitals, supermarkets, offices, and retails.

Approach (cont.)

Two models are conceptualized for integration:

- Model I: A properly sized H2 production facility for buildings also supplies the excess hydrogen during the off-peak periods to the community refueling station.
- Model II: The FCV refueling station is oversized in H2production capacity to meet the aggregate demand of the buildings within the community.



Key Assumptions

System Category	Туре	Efficiency ¹	Operating Temp. (°C) ¹	Application
Distributed Hydrogen Production	NG Reformer	0.75	N/A	FCDG, FCDG-ADV, and CHP
Distributed Power Generation	PEMFC	0.42	90	FCDG
	PAFC	0.48	190	FCDG and CHP
	SOFC	0.56	600	FCDG, FCDG-ADV, and CHP
End-Use Systems	Electric Cooling	3.0 COP	N/A	Baseline, FCDG, FCDG- ADV, and CHP
	Gas-fired Furnace/ Space Heating ²	0.80 AFUE	80	Baseline, FCDG, FCDG- ADV, and CHP
	Gas-fired Service Hot- Water Heater ²	0.62 AFUE	50	Baseline, FCDG, FCDG- ADV, and CHP

1. Nominal values

2. Gas-fired systems are retrofitted to accept non-combustion heat source in the CHP system

Results and Discussions

- EIA Data
- Resource Assessment
- Vehicle Fueling Capacity
- Energy and Environmental Benefits
- Economic Impact of System Integration

Relative Energy Use of Buildings—EIA Aggregates

- EIA-aggregated electricity use of office buildings has he highest share—followed by education building type.
- On average, the primary energy for electricity generation is about 5.5 times the natural gas energy for selected building types.



Resource Assessment at 20% Market Share

- About 16% reduction in the total grid-electricity use for commercial buildings is expected with any of the DG alternatives.
- Alternative FCDG-ADV requires about 29% more natural gas compared to 43% and 49% for CHP and FCDG, respectively.



National Renewable Energy Laboratory

Resource Assessment (cont.)

Resource requirements—absolute values.



Energy and Environmental Impact at 20% Market Share

The advanced DG system, FCDG-ADV, offers the greatest reduction in primary energy use and GHG emissions, followed by the CHP system.



Vehicle Refueling Capacity / Model I—Building Level

- Under Model I integration, excess H2 capacity and the FC efficiency are negatively correlated. (The opposite will be the case for fixed H2 production rate for all FC types.)
- An office building offers a greater refueling capacity per GWh of the annual energy consumption than hospital.
- For office buildings, excess on-site H2 prod.
 can meet and even surpass the hydrogen demand of the occupants' FCVs (13,000 miles/yr at 50 miles/kg H2), Office occupancy: 1 person per 26 m².



Vehicle Refueling Capacity / Model I—EIA Community

 Community of hospitals, retails, offices, and supermarkets

EIA-aggregated floor area: 10,000 m²

- Office buildings have the largest share of the total fueling capacity.
- Commercial buildings with a total floor area of 50,000 m² can support a 1,500 kg/day fueling station in LA and a larger station in Atlanta and Chicago when PEMFC is used in Model I.



Economic Impact of System Integration

- Model I supporting a 50,000-m² commercial community can avoid installation of a 1,500-kg/day refueling station—saving about \$1M.
- In Model II, the refueling station oversized by 60% (i.e., 1,500 x 1.60 kg/day) can support a 30,000-m² commercial community— saving only about
 \$0.5M.

16

<u>Model II:</u> Scaling Ratio: 1.30 – 1.70



Conclusions

- Advanced system, FCDG-ADV, incorporating SOFC and internal heat recovery yields significantly higher amounts of primary energy and GHG reductions than the other two alternatives, particularly FCDG.
- With water recovery management in place, the net increase in on-site water consumption is estimated to be less than 3%.
- Integration of stationary/transportation applications can lead to significant cost reduction by avoiding stranded investment in H2 production.
- The integration Model I (using buildings' excess H2 for FCV refueling) is economically more tenable than Model II (scaling up the FCVrefueling production facility to support the community's commercial buildings).

Questions / Comments ?

Thank you!

Ali.Jalalzadeh@nrel.gov