

Controlled Hydrogen Fleet and Infrastructure Demonstration and Validation Project: Fall 2006 Progress Update

Keith Wipke, Cory Welch, Holly Thomas, Sam Sprik¹
Sigmund Gronich, John Garbak²

EVS-22
October 26, 2006
Yokohama, Japan

¹NREL, ²US Dept. of Energy

This presentation does not contain any proprietary or confidential information

Disclaimer and Government License

This work has been authored by Midwest Research Institute (MRI) under Contract No. DE-AC36-99GO10337 with the U.S. Department of Energy (the “DOE”). The United States Government (the “Government”) retains and the publisher, by accepting the work for publication, acknowledges that the Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for Government purposes.

Neither MRI, the DOE, the Government, nor any other agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe any privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of the authors and/or presenters expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of MRI, the DOE, the Government, or any agency thereof.

Outline

- Project Objectives and Overview
- Industry Partners; H2 vehicles and stations
- Process and Methodology
- Key Fall 2006 Results
 - Vehicles
 - Net fuel cell system efficiency
 - Fuel economy and range
 - Fuel cell durability
 - Safety
 - High-level vehicle metrics
 - H2 Refueling Infrastructure
 - Refueling Rates
 - H2 station impurities
- Summary and Future Results

Project Objectives and Targets

- Objectives
 - Validate H₂ FC Vehicles and Infrastructure in Parallel
 - Identify Current Status of Technology and its Evolution
 - Assess Progress Toward Technology Readiness
 - Provide Feedback to H₂ Research and Development




Key Targets

Performance Measure	2009*	2015**
Fuel Cell Stack Durability	2000 hours	5000 hours
Vehicle Range	250+ miles	300+ miles
Hydrogen Cost at Station	\$3/gge	\$2-3/gge
* To verify progress toward 2015 targets		
** Subsequent projects to validate 2015 targets		

Teams are Fielding Four Main Types of Vehicles



Number of Learning Demo Vehicles in Operation, 3 H2 Storage Technologies Used




Created 25-Aug-2006

Representative Hydrogen Refueling Infrastructure Supporting Vehicles



Refueling Stations from All Four Teams Test Vehicle/Infrastructure Performance in Various Climates



Providing Data Analysis and Results for Both the Public and for the Industry Project Teams

Hydrogen Secure Data Center (HSDC)

- Located at NREL: Strictly Controlled Access
- Detailed Analyses, Data Products, Internal Reports



Raw Data, Reports



Data is delivered to NREL's Hydrogen Secure Data Center (HSDC) on CD/DVDs

Composite Data Products

- Pre-agreed upon aggregate data results for public
- No confidential information


Detailed Data Products

- Only shared with company which originated the data



Data protected in HSDC for 5 years after data is developed under EPACT 2005, Sec. 810

Five Quarters of Data Analyzed To-Date




Current Status of Data Reporting to the Hydrogen Secure Data Center at NREL



Analysis Calculations and Results are from NREL-Developed GUI – Fleet Analysis Toolkit (FAT)



TripView






Quantity of Project Results Continues to Increase; Public Updates Every Six Months

25 Composite Data Products Have Now
Been Published, Including Updating 10 of the
16 Published in Spring 2006

Fall 2006

Spring 2006



CONFERENCE ABSTRACTS
NATIONAL HYDROGEN ASSOCIATION
CONFERENCE
MARCH 13, 2006
AT THE
HILTON HOTEL
WASHINGTON, D.C.

National Hydrogen
Association Conference
March 13, 2006

CONFERENCE ABSTRACTS
EVS-22
OCTOBER 26, 2006
AT THE
HILTON HOTEL
WASHINGTON, D.C.


EVS-22 Conference
October 26, 2006

CONFERENCE ABSTRACTS
FUEL CELL SEMINAR
NOVEMBER 15, 2006
AT THE
HILTON HOTEL
WASHINGTON, D.C.

Fuel Cell Seminar
November 15, 2006

RESULTS

Controlled System Tests Verify High Fuel Cell System Conversion Efficiency




¹ Gross stack power minus fuel cell system auxiliaries, per DRAFT SAEJ2615.

² Ratio of DC output energy to the lower heating value of the input fuel (hydrogen). Excludes power electronics and electric drive.

Created: Aug-29-06 4:09 PM

Dynamometer and On-Road Fuel Economy from Learning Demonstration Vehicles



(1) One data point for each make/model. Combined City/Hwy fuel economy per DRAFT SAEJ2572.


(2) Adjusted combined City/Hwy fuel economy ($0.78 \times \text{Hwy}$, $0.9 \times \text{City}$).

(3) Excludes trips < 1 mile. One data point for on-road fleet average of each make/model.

(4) Calculated from on-road fuel cell stack current or mass flow readings.


Created: Aug-25-06 10:45 AM

Vehicle Range Based on Fuel Economy and Usable H₂ Fuel Stored On-Board



- (1) Range is based on fuel economy and usable hydrogen on-board the vehicle. One data point for each make/model.
- (2) Fuel economy from unadjusted combined City/Hwy per DRAFT SAEJ2572.
- (3) Fuel economy from EPA Adjusted combined City/Hwy (0.78 x Hwy, 0.9 x City).
- (4) Excludes trips < 1 mile. One data point for on-road fleet average of each make/model.
- (5) Fuel economy calculated from on-road fuel cell stack current or mass flow readings.

Effective Vehicle Range Reduced from Dyno Range by On-Road Fuel Economy




1. Calculated using the combined city/hwy fuel economy from dyno testing (non-adjusted) and usable fuel on board

2. Applying window-sticker correction factors for fuel economy: 0.78 x Hwy and 0.9 x City

3. Using fuel-economy from on-road data (excluding trips > 1 mile, consistent with other data products)

Created: Aug-30-06 3:09 PM

Learning Demo Data Show Actual Refueling Behavior as % of Theoretical




1. Range calculated using the combined city/hwy fuel economy from dyno testing (not EPA adjusted) and usable fuel on board.



2. Some refueling events are not detected/reported due to data noise or incompleteness.

Created: Aug-30-06 3:09 PM

Learning Demo Fuel Cell Stack Hours Accumulated Through August 2006




Limited Data Necessitated Projecting the Time to 10% Fuel Cell Stack Voltage Degradation




Note: 10% is an R&D metric for FC stack degradation. It does not necessarily indicate an end-of-life condition. OEMs may use other values or indicators.

Technique Makes Performance Projection Based on All Available FC Data; Includes Reporting Confidence in Results




Hours Accumulated To-Date and Projected Hours to 10% Stack Voltage Degradation

DOE Learning Demonstration Fuel Cell Stack Durability:
Based on Data Through August 2006




- (1) Range bars created using one data point for each OEM.
- (2) Range (highest and lowest) of the maximum operating hours accumulated to-date of any OEM's individual stack in "real-world" operation.
- (3) Range (highest and lowest) of the average operating hours accumulated to-date of all stacks in each OEM's fleet.
- (4) Projection using on-road data -- degradation calculated at high stack current. This criterion is used for assessing progress against DOE targets, may differ from OEM's end-of-life criterion, and does not address "catastrophic" failure modes, such as membrane failure.
- (5) Using one nominal projection per OEM: "Max Projection" = highest nominal projection, "Avg Projection" = average nominal projection.
The shaded green bar represents an engineering judgment of the uncertainty due to data and methodology limitations. Projections will change as additional data are accumulated.

Safety Incidents – Vehicles




Created: 8/28/2006

Actual Vehicle Refueling Rates from >2000 Events: Measured by Stations or by Vehicles



Created: Aug-29-06 4:47 PM


Hydrogen Impurities Sampled from All Stations – Includes On-Site Reformation, Electrolysis, and Delivered H₂



Created: Sep-26-06 3:47 PM

*Calculated from SO₂, COS, H₂S, CS₂, and Methyl Mercaptan (CH₃SH).


Range of Ambient Temperature During Vehicle Operation



Created: 16-Feb-2006

Vehicle Operating Hours and Miles Traveled Distribution


Vehicle Hours: All OEM's Combined
Through Q2 2006



The bulge of operating hours and miles traveled is now shifting to the right.

New Gen 1 vehicles continue to be introduced, but 2nd bulge will appear at left with Gen 2 vehicle introduction.


Vehicle Miles: All OEMs Combined
Through Q2 2006



Summary

- First 5-quarters of project completed
 - 63 vehicles now in fleet operation
 - 9 stations in operation to support project vehicles
 - No major safety problems encountered
 - Total of 25 composite data products published to-date
- Project has identified current technical status relative to program targets
 - Will track improvements from 2nd generation stacks/vehicles introduced mid-way through project
- Future public results will include:
 - 6-month updates to existing composite data products
 - Fuel cell cold start-up times
 - H₂ production cost and efficiency
 - Other composite data products created based on insights learned

Questions and Discussion



Contact: Keith Wipke, National Renewable Energy Lab
303.275.4451 keith_wipke@nrel.gov

All public Learning Demo papers and presentations are available online at http://www.nrel.gov/hydrogen/proj_tech_validation.html