

Results from the Vehicle/Infrastructure Learning Demonstration Project

Keith Wipke, Senior Engineer II Cory Welch, Holly Thomas, Sam Sprik National Renewable Energy Laboratory



2006 DOE Hydrogen Program

Merit Review and Peer Evaluation Meeting

May 18, 2006

Disclaimer and Government License

This work has been authored by Midwest Research Institute (MRI) under Contract No. DE-AC36-99GO10337 with the U.S. Department of Energy (the "DOE"). The United States Government (the "Government") retains and the publisher, by accepting the work for publication, acknowledges that the Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for Government purposes.

Neither MRI, the DOE, the Government, nor any other agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe any privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of the authors and/or presenters expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of MRI, the DOE, the Government, or any agency thereof.

Project Objectives and Targets

Objectives

- → Validate H₂ FC Vehicles and Infrastructure in Parallel
- → Identify Current Status of Technology and its Evolution
- → Re-Focus H₂ Research and Development
- → Support Technology Readiness Milestone by 2015



Key Targets

Performance Measure	2009*	2015**
Fuel Cell Stack Durability	2000 hours	5000 hours
Vehicle Range	250+ miles	300+ miles
Hydrogen Cost at Station	\$3/gge	\$2-3/gge

^{*} To verify progress toward 2015 targets

^{**} Subsequent projects to validate 2015 targets

Teams are Fielding Four Main Types of Vehicles










Representative Hydrogen Refueling Infrastructure Supporting Vehicles



Refueling Stations from All Four Teams Test Vehicle/Infrastructure Performance in Various Climates



Project Produces Results for Both the Public and the Industry Project Teams

Hydrogen Secure Data Center (HSDC)

- Located at NREL: Strictly Controlled Access
- Detailed Analyses,
 Data Products,
 Internal Reports



Composite Data Products

- Pre-agreed upon aggregate data results for public
- No confidential information


Detailed Data Products

 Only shared with company which originated the data


Raw Data, Reports



Project Now Well Underway: 1st Year of Data Analyzed Current Status of Data Reporting to the Hydrogen Secure Data Center at NREL



New Fleet Analysis Toolkit (FAT) Helps Automate the Analysis



CORRELATE

PUBLISH

First 16 of 26 Composite Data Products Published Earlier This Year

A. Critical Program Metrics:

- 1. Fuel Cell Durability, Actual vs. DOE Targets, All OEM's
- 2. Vehicle Ranges, Actual vs. DOE Targets, All OEM's
- 3. H2 Production Cost. Actuals/Projections vs. DOE Targets

Highlighted CDPs Have Been Completed

B. Composite Performance Tracking:

Vehicles

- 4. Reliability (FC System & Powertrain, MTBF)
- 5. Start Times vs. DOE Target
- 6. Fuel Economy: Dyno, On-Road
- 7. Normalized Vehicle Fuel Economy
- 8. Fuel Cell System Efficiency
- 9. Safety Incidents Vehicle Operation
- 10. Weight % Hydrogen
- 11. Energy Density of Hydrogen Storage
- 12. Vehicle Hydrogen Tank Cycle Life

Hydrogen Infrastructure

- 13. H2 Production Efficiency vs. Process
- 14. Combined Heat and Power (CHP) Efficiencies
- 15. H2 Production Cost vs. Process
- 16. H2 Purity vs. Production Process
- 17. Hydrogen Impurities Range for Production Process A
- 18. Histogram: Refueling Rate
- 19. Average Maintenance Hours Scheduled and Unscheduled
- 20. Safety Incidents Infrastructure

C. High Level Program Progress:

Vehicles

- 21. Range of Actual Ambient Temperatures During Vehicle Operation All Vehicle Teams
- 22. Histogram: # Vehicles vs. Operating Hours to Date
- 23. Histogram: # Vehicles vs. Miles Traveled to Date
- 24. Cumulative Vehicle Miles Traveled All Teams
- 25. Progression of Low to High Pressure On-board H2 Storage

Hydrogen Infrastructure

26. Cumulative Hydrogen Production - All Teams


Composite Data Products are
Main Output to Public and
Hydrogen Community

Dynamometer Testing Completed to Evaluate Fuel Economy Under Controlled Conditions

- One vehicle per team per geographic region
- 11 vehicles tested using SAE J2572



Dynamometer and On-Road Fuel Economy




- (1) One data point for each make/model. Combined City/Hwy fuel economy per DRAFT SAEJ2572.
- (2) Adjusted combined City/Hwy fuel economy (0.78 x Hwy, 0.9 x City).


Created: 16-Feb-2006

- (3) Excludes trips < 1 mile. One data point for on-road fleet average of each make/model.
- (4) Calculated from on-road fuel cell stack current or mass flow readings.


Vehicle Range Based on Dyno Results and Usable H₂ Fuel Stored On-Board





Vehicle H₂ Storage Technologies Include 350 bar, 700 bar, and Liquid H₂



Technical Status of On-Board H₂ Storage Technologies Being Validated









Compressed and liquid H₂
tanks meet durability and
short term weight %, but
don't meet long-term
weight % or volumetric
capacity targets for vehicles


Hydrogen Purity Sampled from Stations Meets Target Majority of the Time




Actual Vehicle Refueling Rates: Measured by Stations or by Vehicles



Range of Ambient Temperature During Vehicle Operation



Vehicle Operating Hours and Miles Traveled Distribution




Cumulative Hydrogo

Fleet is young, but vehicle

usage is increasing and initial

fleets are approaching full Gen 1 vehicle deployment


Large amount of hydrogen used includes both vehicle refueling and on-site electricity production



Summary

- First year of the 5-year project completed
 - → 59 vehicles now in fleet operation
 - → Several new refueling stations opened
 - → No major safety problems encountered
- Project has identified current technical status relative to program targets
 - → Will track improvements from 2nd generation stacks/vehicles introduced mid-way through project
- Future public results will include:
 - → FC durability, reliability, efficiency, and start-up times
 - → H₂ production cost, efficiency, and maintenance

Questions and Discussion



Contact:

Keith Wipke, National Renewable Energy Lab 303.275.4451, keith_wipke@nrel.gov http://www.nrel.gov/hydrogen/proj_tech_validation.html