

Second-Generation Fuel Cell Stack Durability and Freeze Capability from National FCV Learning Demonstration



Fuel Cell Seminar 2009

**Keith Wipke, Sam Sprik,
Jennifer Kurtz, Todd
Ramsden¹, John Garbak²**

**November 18, 2009
Palm Springs, CA**

NREL/PR-560-46858

¹NREL, ²US Dept. of Energy


This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Outline and Context

- Project Objectives and Partners
- Vehicle/Station Deployment and Status
- Vehicle Analysis Results
- Infrastructure Analysis Results
- Summary

HSDC

NREL's Hydrogen Secure Data Center



Fuel Cell Vehicle Learning Demonstration Project Objectives and Targets

- Objectives

- Validate H₂ FC Vehicles and Infrastructure in Parallel
- Identify Current Status and Evolution of the Technology
- Objectively Assess Progress Toward Technology Readiness
- Provide Feedback to H₂ Research and Development

Key Project Targets

Performance Measure	2009	2015
Fuel Cell Stack Durability	2000 hours ✓	5000 hours
Vehicle Range	250+ miles ✓	300+ miles
Hydrogen Cost at Station	\$3/gge <small>Outside review</small>	\$2-3/gge



Photo: NREL


Industry Partners: Four Automaker/Energy-Supplier Teams




DAIMLER



Vehicle Deployment Complete at 140 FCVs, Some Early Vehicles Retired




DOE Learning Demo Fleet Has Surpassed 100,000 Vehicle Hours and 2.3 Million Miles




Some Gen 1 vehicles have now been retired (red bars)

Gen 2 vehicles make up most of 2nd bulge at low hours/miles



Project Exploring 4 Types of Hydrogen Refueling Infrastructure: Delivered and Produced On-Site

Mobile Refueler
Sacramento, CA




Delivered Liquid, 700 bar
Irvine, CA



Steam Methane Reforming
Oakland, CA




Water Electrolysis
Santa Monica, CA




Total of 115,000 kg H₂
produced or dispensed

Refueling Stations Test Performance in Various Climates; Learning Demo Stations Comprise ~1/3 of all U.S. Stations



Average Ambient Temperature of Learning Demo Vehicles Spans Most Climates




72 Public Composite Data Products Have Been Published; New Results and Updates Every 6 Months



A small subset of the 72 latest results follow

While Improving Durability and Freeze Capability, FC System Efficiency Stays High




¹ Gross stack power minus fuel cell system auxiliaries, per DRAFT SAE J2615. Excludes power electronics and electric drive.

² Ratio of DC output energy to the lower heating value of the input fuel (hydrogen).

³ Individual test data linearly interpolated at 5,10,15,25,50,75, and 100% of max net power. Values at high power linearly extrapolated due to steady state dynamometer cooling limitations.


Gen 1 and Gen 2 Stack Operating Hours and Projected Time to 10% Voltage Drop



- (1) Range bars created using one data point for each OEM. Some stacks have accumulated hours beyond 10% voltage degradation.
- (2) Range (highest and lowest) of the maximum operating hours accumulated to-date of any OEM's individual stack in "real-world" operation.
- (3) Range (highest and lowest) of the average operating hours accumulated to-date of all stacks in each OEM's fleet.
- (4) Projection using on-road data -- degradation calculated at high stack current. This criterion is used for assessing progress against DOE targets, may differ from OEM's end-of-life criterion, and does not address "catastrophic" failure modes, such as membrane failure.
- (5) Using one nominal projection per OEM: "Max Projection" = highest nominal projection, "Avg Projection" = average nominal projection. The shaded projection bars represents an engineering judgment of the uncertainty on the "Avg Projection" due to data and methodology limitations. Projections will change as additional data are accumulated.
- (6) Projection method was modified beginning with 2009 Q2 data, includes an upper projection limit based on demonstrated op hours.

Created: Sep-09-09 10:48 AM



10% Voltage Drop Is One Metric – Sensitivity of Projections to % Voltage Drop



- (1) 10% Voltage degradation is a DOE metric for assessing fuel cell performance.
- (2) Projections using on-road data -- degradation calculated at high stack current.
- (3) Curves generated using the Learning Demonstration average of each individual fleet average at various voltage degradation levels.
- (4) The projection curves display the sensitivity to percentage of voltage degradation, but the projections do not imply that all stacks will (or do) operate at these voltage degradation levels.
- (5) The voltage degradation levels are not an indication of an OEM's end-of-life criteria and do not address catastrophic stack failures such as membrane failure.
- (6) All OEM Gen 2 average fleet projections are higher than Gen1 projections, however due to less operation data for Gen 2, these projections are limited by demonstrated operation hours to minimize extrapolations.

Created: Sep-11-09 8:57 AM


Fuel Cell Stack Operation Hours; Early in Gen 2 Life, But Results Encouraging



Created: Sep-09-09 10:48 AM


- 1) Stack currently accumulating hours
- 2) Stack removed for low performance
- 3) Stack not currently accumulating hours, but not removed because of low performance

Max Fuel Cell Power Degradation – Gen 1




- 1) Normalized by fleet median value at 200 hours.
- 2) Each segment point is median FC power (+50 hrs).
Box not drawn if fewer than 4 points in segment.

Max Fuel Cell Power Degradation – Gen 2




Projected Hours to OEM Low Power Operation Limit



Fuel Cell Start Times from Sub-Freezing Soak Conditions

Fuel Cell Vehicle Start Time from Sub-Freezing Soak Condition¹




(1) Learning Demo soak temperature for freeze tests were between -9 and -20 °C


(2) 2010 & 2015 DOE MYPP Cold Start Up Time Target: 30 seconds to 50% of rated power from -20 °C (soak duration not specified).

Fuel Cell System (including H2 storage)

Close to 2010 and 2015 W/L and W/kg Targets



Significant Improvements
Seen in Specific Power
(...systems getting lighter)




Power Density Held Similar
Between Gen 1 and Gen 2
(...same size or larger)


Created: Sep-08-09 10:32 AM (1) Fuel cell system includes fuel cell stack, BOP and H2 storage, but excludes power electronics, battery storage, and electric drive.

Created: Aug-28-09 8:42 AM (1) Fuel cell system includes fuel cell stack, BOP and H2 storage, but excludes power electronics, battery storage, and electric drive.


Refueling Times are Short; Amounts are Reflective of Demonstration-Sized Systems




Results from 21,000 Refueling Events




Actual Vehicle Refueling Rates from 21,000 Events: Measured by Stations or by Vehicles




Refueling Rates by Year: ~1/4 Now Exceed 1 kg/min, 2009 to be Highest # of Fills



Comparison of Fueling Rates for 350 and 700 bar Pressure Fueling Events




Communication H₂ Fills Achieving 39% Higher Average Fill Rate than Non-Communication



Learning Demonstration Vehicle Greenhouse Gas Emissions Using Actual Production Efficiencies and Fuel Economies

Learning Demonstration Fuel Cycle Well-to-Wheels Greenhouse Gas Emissions¹



1. Well-to-Wheels greenhouse gas emissions based on DOE's GREET model, version 1.8b. Analysis uses default GREET values except for FCV fuel economy, hydrogen production conversion efficiency, and electricity grid mix. Fuel economy values are the Gen 1 and Gen 2 window-sticker fuel economy data for all teams (as used in CDP #6); conversion efficiency values are the production efficiency data used in CDP #13.

2. Baseline conventional passenger car and light duty truck GHG emissions are determined by GREET 1.8b, based on the EPA window-sticker fuel economy of a conventional gasoline mid-size passenger car and mid-size SUV, respectively. The Learning Demonstration fleet includes both passenger cars and SUVs.

3. The Well-to-Wheels GHG probability distribution represents the range and likelihood of GHG emissions resulting from the hydrogen FCV fleet based on window-sticker fuel economy data and monthly conversion efficiency data from the Learning Demonstration.

4. On-site electrolysis GHG emissions are based on the average mix of electricity production used by the Learning Demonstration production sites, which includes both grid-based electricity and renewable on-site solar electricity. GHG emissions associated with on-site production of hydrogen from electrolysis are highly dependent on electricity source. GHG emissions from a 100% renewable electricity mix would be zero, as shown. If electricity were supplied from the U.S. average grid mix, average GHG emissions would be 1245 g/mile.

Created: Sep-08-09 4:21 PM

Summary

- Learning Demo evaluation is ~80% complete
 - 140 vehicles and 20 stations deployed
 - 2.3 million miles traveled, 115,000 kg H₂ produced or dispensed
 - 346,000 individual vehicle trips analyzed
 - FC durability and vehicle range targets met with Gen 2 vehicles
 - Project to continue into 2010
- Emphasis from project has been on providing maximum value from the data collected during project
 - 72 results have been published, updates every 6 months
 - Current results are always available on our web page
- Vehicle/Station Status
 - 2nd generation vehicles have now been on road for >1 year
 - Station deployment nearing completion; some early stations retired
- Similar Evaluations Now Underway at NREL for FC Forklifts, Backup Power, Prime Power



Questions and Discussion

Project Contact: Keith Wipke, National Renewable Energy Lab
303.275.4451 keith.wipke@nrel.gov



NREL's Renewable H2 Station Opened in September and is Ready to Fuel Vehicles

All public Learning Demo and FC Bus Evaluation papers and presentations are available online at http://www.nrel.gov/hydrogen/proj_tech_validation.html