

# A Giant Leap Forward toward Quality Assurance of PV Modules



**2012 PV Module Reliability Workshop**

**Golden, CO**

**Sarah Kurtz<sup>1</sup>, John Wohlgemuth<sup>1</sup>,  
Tony Sample<sup>2</sup>, Masaaki Yamamichi<sup>3</sup>**

**<sup>1</sup>NREL**

**<sup>2</sup>EC-JRC**

**<sup>3</sup>AIST**

**Feb. 29, 2012**

**NREL/PR-5200-54567**

# Outline

- Motivation – Customers want to know quality of PV modules
- Two parts of quality assurance (QA) (during design and manufacturing phases)
- QA Task Force – formed July, 2011
- Plan for today:
  - Review IEC 61215 as a starting point
  - Review proposed new tests
  - Task Groups 2-5: introduction and updates
  - Discussions: consensus building; identification of issues

# Motivation: the question on the street

## “How do I predict lifetime of PV modules?”

- Reliability engineer: How do I test to determine the number of years for the warranty?
- PV customer: How do I choose the PV module that will last longer?
- PV investor: How do I know that I'm making a safe investment of \$1 billion (if the modules fail after 10 yr, the warranty will be worthless because the company will be gone)?
- Insurance company: How do I determine rates for insuring PV installations?
- PV Manufacturer: How do I differentiate my product from other products?

# Two parts of Quality Assurance

1. Is the *design* durable for the intended application?
  - Depends on location (hot & humid; hot & dry, temperate, etc.)
  - Depends on mounting (close-roof mount runs hotter; partially shaded modules undergo different types of stress)
  - Depends on application (a customer may plan to resurface the roof 10 years from now and only cares about the modules lasting that long)
2. Are the modules *consistently manufactured*?
  - Could variations in the material composition or manufacturing processes result in premature failure of some fraction of the modules?

**International PV Module Quality Assurance Forum  
was held in July, 2011, San Francisco**

**General agreement to work together on PV QA**

**Formed International PV QA Task Force:**

**Group of volunteers/professionals working toward a  
common goal**

The PV QA Task Force formed at the conclusion of the Forum consists of six Task Groups:

**Task Group 1:** PV QA Guideline for Manufacturing Consistency

(leaders Ivan Sinicco, Alex Mikonowicz, Yoshihito Eguchi, Wei Zhou, G. Breggemann)

**140 volunteers; held meeting last night**

**Task Group 2:** PV QA Testing for Thermal and mechanical fatigue including vibration (leader Chris Flueckiger, Tadanori Tanahashi)

**Task Group 3:** PV QA Testing for Humidity, temperature, and voltage

(leaders John Wohlgemuth, Neelkanth Dhere, Takuya Doi)

**Task Group 4:** PV QA Testing for Diodes, shading and reverse bias

(leaders Vivek Gade, Paul Robusto, Yasunori Uchida)

**Task Group 5:** PV QA Testing for UV, temperature and humidity

(leader Michael Köhl, Kusato Hirota, Jasbir Bath)

**Task Group 6:** Communication of PV QA ratings to the community

(leader David Williams) **230 volunteers for Task Groups 2-6**

# International PV Module Quality Assurance Forum

July, 2011, San Francisco

## Formed International PV QA Task Force:

### Goals of International PV QA Task Force:

1. *To develop a QA rating system that provides comparative information about the relative durability of PV modules to a variety of stresses as a useful tool to PV customers and as a starting point for improving the accuracy of quantitative PV lifetime predictions.*
  - 1) Compare module designs
  - 2) Provide a basis for manufacturers' warranties
  - 3) Provide investors with confidence in their investments
  - 4) Provide data for setting insurance rates
2. Create a guideline for factory inspections of the QA system used during manufacturing.

# International PV Module Quality Assurance Forum

July, 2011, San Francisco

## Formed International PV QA Task Force:

### Goals of International PV QA Task Force:

1. *To develop a QA rating system that provides comparative information about the relative durability of PV modules to a variety of stresses as a useful tool to PV customers and as a starting point for improving the accuracy of quantitative PV lifetime predictions.*
  - 1) Compare module designs
  - 2) Provide a basis for manufacturers' warranties
  - 3) Provide investors with confidence in their investments
  - 4) Provide data for setting insurance rates
2. Create a guideline for factory inspections of the QA system used during manufacturing.

## Task Group 1: PV QA Guideline for Manufacturing Consistency met last night (Feb. 28<sup>th</sup>):

- The regional task groups are each working on a PV-specific version of ISO 9001:2008
- This will define an ISO 9001-like quality management system with technical specifics relevant to PV: e.g., documentation of control of solder-bond quality
- The procedure for turning this ISO-like document into a standard is not yet clear, but is being investigated; may involve ISO and/or IEC
- Chinese regional group is planning to complete their draft by the end of March
- It is currently envisioned that this certification would be a way to differentiate products, not be required for a baseline IEC 61215 certification. For example, an insurance company might reduce the rate based on adding the PV-specific ISO 9001-like certification

## Introduction to Today – What can we accomplish today?

Challenge is to accomplish our goals quickly

- Many ideas
- Not enough experience/wisdom for the path to be clear
- We will need to work together effectively and pool the wisdom we do have!
- Move decisively on the information we have
- Plan to modify approach as more information becomes available

## Introduction to Today

1. Current status: IEC 61215 – what it does and doesn't do
2. Overview of many test methods that are out there
  - IEC 61215 on steroids; Accelerated simulation of weather; New tests
  - Beware: Many details lead to much confusion
  - Listen: What makes each test method valuable?
3. Overview of status of the QA Task Groups 2-5
  - Listen: What are the questions that need to be resolved?
4. Community input/discussion
  1. Discuss the value we found in the proposed tests – see hand out
  2. Consensus building – what can we agree about? – see hand out
  3. Your concerns/questions
  4. Next steps

# Requirements for a comparative QA rating system

- Customer's perspective
  - #1 desire: A number that indicates the service life (would this be meaningful?)
  - Relevant to customers' application
  - Easy to understand, but sophisticated customers would like detail
  - Tests that do not add to the cost
- Manufacturer's perspective
  - Single set of tests (applied under ILAC: International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation)
  - Tests that require minimal time and minimal expense
  - Ability to differentiate products
- Scientific perspective
  - Must be meaningful (based on data, not guesses)
  - Logical approach may be helpful

Today we are limited to a *comparative* test, but we want to lay the groundwork for *quantitative predictions* in the future

## My requests to you for today and going forward:

- Keep your eye on the goal – inexpensive, comparative standards that correlate with field performance
- Look amongst us for wisdom of what is most useful to the community, setting aside personal agendas
- Take a giant leap forward toward creating comparative test standards that go “beyond” IEC 61215