# 

NREL is a national laboratory of the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Operated by the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC



# EVALUATING FUTURE STANDARDS AND CODES WITH A FOCUS ON HIGH PENETRATION PHOTOVOLTAIC (HPPV) SYSTEM DEPLOYMENT

Michael Coddington, Benjamin Kroposki, Tom Basso, and Kevin Lynn (DOE) Michael.Coddington@nrel.gov

Effectively interconnecting high-level penetration of photovoltaic (PV) systems requires careful technical attention to ensuring compatibility with electric power systems. Standards, codes, and implementation have been cited as major impediments to widespread use of PV within electric power systems.

On May 20, 2010, in Denver, Colorado, the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE), held a workshop to examine the key technical issues and barriers associated with high PV penetration levels with an emphasis on codes and standards.

There was significant focus on future inverters that would be capable of staying online during grid anomalies while maintaining grid safety and reliability. Discussions included multiple definitions of high penetration, enhanced monitoring and control opportunities, and the new IEEE P1547.8 (Expanded Use of IEEE Standard 1547) that will focus on resolution of many concerns of high-penetration PV deployment.

# DEFINING HIGH PENETRATION

- · Minimum Load to Generation Ratio: this is the annual minimum load on the relevant power system section divided by the aggregate DG capacity on the power system section
- · Stiffness Factor: the available utility fault current divided by DG rated output current in the affected area
- Fault Ratio Factor: available utility fault current divided by DG fault contribution in the affected area
- · Ground Source Impedance Ratio: ratio of zero sequence impedance of DG ground source relative to utility ground source impedance)



Type of Ratio	What is it useful for? Note these ratios are intended for distribution and subtransmis- sion system impacts of DG listed below, and not necessarily the overall bulk system stability impact	Suggested Penetration Level Ratios		
		Very Low Penetration Very low probability of any issues	Moderate Penetration Low to minor probability of issues	Higher Penetration Increased probability of serious issues.
Minimum Load to Generation Ratio	Ground fault overvoltage analysis (use ratios shown when DG is not effectively grounded) Islanding analysis (use ratios 2/3 of those shown)	> 10 Synchronous Gen.	10 to 5 Synchronous Gen.	Less than 5 Synchronous Gen.
		> 6 Inverters	6 to 3 Inverters	Less than 3 Inverters
Fault Ratio Factor (I <sub>SCUtility</sub> /I <sub>SCDG</sub> )	Overcurrent device coordination Overcurrent device ratings	>100	100 to 20	Less than 20
Stiffness Factor (I <sub>UitlitySC</sub> /I <sub>RatedDG</sub> )	Voltage Regulation (b): atto is a good indicator of voltage influence. Wind/PV have higher ratos due to their fluctuations. Besides this ratio, may need to check for current reversal at upstream regulator devices.)	>100 PV/Wind	100 to 50 PV/Wind	Less than 50 PV/Wind
		> 50 Steady Source	50 to 25 Steady Source	Less than 25 Steady Source
Ground Source Impedance Ratio	Ground fault desensitization Overcurrent device coordination and ratings	>100	100 to 20	Less than 20



# DYNAMIC CONTROL OF INVERTERS

### **TECHNICAL CHALLENGES**

- Most of the changes can be done in software
- Minor hardware changes - Additional Sensors
- Inverter will operate at
- off of unity power factor - Impacts efficiency

#### DYNAMIC CONTROL

- Communications to PV inverters to control operational setpoints - Real Power Limit
- Reactive Power Level

- Operate like traditional power plants

#### Voltage Ride-Through Requirements





Time (seconds)

58.0

Presented at the 4th International Conference on the Integration of Renewable and Distributed Energy Resources, 6-10 December 2010, Albuquerque, New Mexico. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DOE-AC36-08GO28308 with the National Renewable Energy Laboratory. The authors wish to acknowledge the contributions of Kevin Lynn and Jennifer DeCesaro of the U.S. DOE Solar Energy Technologies Program. NREL/PO-5500-49789 • December 2010

Hour