



Suggested Actions

Reduce operating costs through maximizing the return of hot condensate to the boiler.

Consider the following actions:

- If a condensate return system is absent, estimate the cost of a condensate return and treatment system (as necessary) and install one if economically justified.
- Repair steam distribution and condensate return system leaks.
- Insulate condensate return system piping to conserve heat and protect personnel against burns.

Resources

U.S. Department of Energy—DOE’s software, the *Steam System Assessment Tool* and *Steam System Scoping Tool*, can help you evaluate and identify steam system improvements. In addition, refer to *Improving Steam System Performance: A Sourcebook for Industry* for more information on steam system efficiency opportunities.


Visit the BestPractices Web site at www.eere.energy.gov/industry/bestpractices to access these and many other industrial efficiency resources and information on training.

Return Condensate to the Boiler

When steam transfers its heat in a manufacturing process, heat exchanger, or heating coil, it reverts to a liquid phase called condensate. An attractive method of improving your power plant’s energy efficiency is to increase the condensate return to the boiler.

Returning hot condensate to the boiler makes sense for several reasons. As more condensate is returned, less make-up water is required, saving fuel, makeup water, and chemicals and treatment costs. Less condensate discharged into a sewer system reduces disposal costs. Return of high purity condensate also reduces energy losses due to boiler blowdown. Significant fuel savings occur as most returned condensate is relatively hot (130°F to 225°F), reducing the amount of cold makeup water (50°F to 60°F) that must be heated.

A simple calculation indicates that energy in the condensate can be more than 10% of the total steam energy content of a typical system. The graph shows the heat remaining in the condensate at various condensate temperatures, for a steam system operating at 100 pounds per square inch gauge (psig), with makeup water at 55°F.



Example

Consider a steam system that returns an additional 10,000 pounds per hour (lb/hr) of condensate at 180°F after distribution modifications. Assume this system operates 8,000 hours annually with an average boiler efficiency of 80%, and makeup water temperature of 55°F. The water and sewage costs for the plant are \$0.002 per gallon (\$/gal), and the water treatment cost is \$0.002/gal. The fuel cost is \$8.00 per million Btu (\$8.00/MMBtu). Assuming a 12% flash steam loss*, calculate overall savings.

Annual Water, Sewage, & Chemicals Savings

$$= (1 - \text{Flash Steam Fraction}) \times (\text{Condensate Load, lb/hr}) \times \text{Annual Operating Hours} \times (\text{Total Water Costs, \$/gal}) / (\text{Water Density, lb/gal})$$

$$= \frac{(1 - 0.12) \times 10,000 \times 8,000 \times \$0.004}{8.34}$$

$$= \$33,760$$

* When saturated condensate is reduced to some lower pressure, some condensate flashes off to steam again. This amount is the flash steam loss.



$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Annual Fuel Savings} &= (1 - \text{Flash Steam Fraction}) \times (\text{Condensate Load, lb/hr}) \times \\
 &\quad \text{Annual Operating Hours} \times (\text{Makeup Water Temperature} \\
 &\quad \text{Rise, } ^\circ\text{F}) \times (\text{Fuel Cost, } \$/\text{MMBtu}) \times (\text{Heat Capacity of} \\
 &\quad \text{Water, Btu/lb-}^\circ\text{F}) / (\text{Boiler Efficiency} \times 10^6 \text{ Btu/MMBtu}) \\
 &= \frac{(1 - 0.12) \times 10,000 \times 8,000 \times (180 - 55) \times \$8.00}{(0.80 \times 10^6)} \\
 &= \mathbf{\$88,000}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Total Annual Savings Due to Return of} \\
 \text{an Additional 10,000 lb/hr of Condensate} &= \$33,760 + \$88,000 \\
 &= \mathbf{\$121,760}
 \end{aligned}$$

Condensate Recovery Produces Savings

A large specialty paper plant reduced its boiler makeup water rate from about 35% of steam production to between 14% and 20% by returning additional condensate. Annual savings added up to more than \$300,000.

Adapted from an Energy TIPS fact sheet that was originally published by the Industrial Energy Extension Service of Georgia Tech.

BestPractices is part of the Industrial Technologies Program Industries of the Future strategy, which helps the country's most energy-intensive industries improve their competitiveness. BestPractices brings together emerging technologies and best energy-management practices to help companies begin improving energy efficiency, environmental performance, and productivity right now.

BestPractices emphasizes plant systems, where significant efficiency improvements and savings can be achieved. Industry gains easy access to near-term and long-term solutions for improving the performance of motor, steam, compressed air, and process heating systems. In addition, the Industrial Assessment Centers provide comprehensive industrial energy evaluations to small- and medium-size manufacturers.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, PLEASE CONTACT:

EERE Information Center
 1-877-EERE-INF
 (1-877-337-3463)
www.eere.energy.gov

Industrial Technologies Program
 Energy Efficiency
 and Renewable Energy
 U.S. Department of Energy
 Washington, DC 20585-0121
www.eere.energy.gov/industry

A STRONG ENERGY PORTFOLIO FOR A STRONG AMERICA

Energy efficiency and clean, renewable energy will mean a stronger economy, a cleaner environment, and greater energy independence for America. Working with a wide array of state, community, industry, and university partners, the U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy invests in a diverse portfolio of energy technologies.

DOE/GO-102006-2253
 January 2006
 Steam Tip Sheet #8

Revised from DOE/GO-10099-953 • June 2001