

PV FAQs

What is the energy payback for PV?

Producing electricity with photovoltaics (PV) emits no pollution, produces no greenhouse gases, and uses no finite fossil-fuel resources. The environmental benefits of PV are great. But just as we say that it takes money to make money, it also *takes energy to save energy*. The term “energy payback” captures this idea. How long does a PV system have to operate to recover the energy—and associated generation of pollution and CO₂—that went into making the system, in the first place?


Energy payback estimates for rooftop PV systems are 4, 3, 2, and 1 years: 4 years for systems using current multicrystalline-silicon PV modules, 3 years for current thin-film modules, 2 years for anticipated multicrystalline modules, and 1 year for anticipated thin-film modules (see Figure 1). With energy paybacks of 1 to 4 years and assumed life expectancies of 30 years, 87% to 97% of the energy that PV systems generate won't be plagued by pollution, greenhouse gases, and depletion of resources.

Based on models and real data, the idea that PV cannot pay back its energy investment is simply a myth. Indeed, researchers Dones and Frischknecht found that PV-systems fabrication and fossil-fuel energy production have similar energy payback periods (including costs for mining, transportation, refining, and construction).

What is the Energy Payback for Crystalline-Silicon PV Systems?

Most solar cells and modules sold today are crystalline silicon. Both single-crystal and multicrystalline silicon use large wafers of purified silicon. Purifying and crystallizing the silicon are the most energy-intensive parts of the solar-cell manufacturing process. Other aspects of silicon-cell and module processing that add to the energy input include: cutting the silicon into wafers, processing the wafers into cells, assembling the cells into modules (including encapsulation), and overhead energy use for the manufacturing facilities.

Today's PV industry generally recrystallizes any of several types of “off-grade” silicon from the microelectronics industry, and estimates for the energy used to purify and crystallize silicon vary widely. Because of these factors, energy payback calculations are not straightforward. Until the PV industry begins to make its own silicon, which it could do in the near future, calculating payback for crystalline PV requires that we make certain assumptions.



To calculate payback, Dutch researcher Alsema reviewed previous energy analyses and did not include the energy that originally went into crystallizing microelectronics scrap. His best estimates of electricity used to make near-future, frameless PV were 600 kWh/m² for single-crystal-silicon modules and 420 kWh/m² for multicrystalline silicon. Assuming 12% conversion efficiency (standard conditions) and 1,700 kWh/m² per year of available sunlight energy (the U.S. average is 1,800), Alsema calculated a payback of about 4 years for current multicrystalline-silicon PV systems. Projecting 10 years into the future, he assumes a solar-grade silicon feedstock and 14% efficiency, dropping energy payback to about 2 years.

Other recent calculations support Alsema's figures. Based on a solar-grade feedstock, Japanese researchers Kato *et al.* calculated a multicrystalline payback of about 2 years (adjusted for the U.S. solar resource). Palz and Zibetta also calculated an energy payback of about 2 years for current multicrystalline-silicon PV. For single-crystal silicon, which Alsema did not calculate, Kato calculated a payback of 3 years when he did not charge for off-grade feedstock. Knapp and Jester studied an actual manufacturing facility and found that, for single-crystal-silicon modules, the actual energy payback time is 3.3 years. This *includes* the energy to make the aluminum frame and the energy to purify and crystallize the silicon.



What is the Energy Payback for Thin-Film PV Systems?


Thin-film PV modules use very little semiconductor material. The major energy costs for manufacturing are the substrate on which the thin films are deposited, the film-deposition process, and facility operation. Because PV technologies all have similar energy requirements, we'll use amorphous silicon as our representative technology.

Alsema estimated that it takes 120 kWh/m² to make near-future, frameless, amorphous-silicon PV modules. He added another 120 kWh/m² for a frame and support structure for a rooftop-mounted, grid-connected system. Assuming 6% conversion efficiency (standard conditions) and 1,700 kWh/m² per year of available sunlight energy, Alsema calculated a payback of about 3 years for current thin-film PV systems. Kato and Palz calculated shorter paybacks for amorphous silicon, each ranging from 1 to 2 years.

Deleting the frame, reducing use of aluminum in the support structure, assuming a conservative increase to 9% efficiency, and factoring in other improvements, Alsema projected the payback for thin-film PV that would drop to just 1 year by 2009.

CuInSe₂ and CdTe modules are already being sold in the 9%–12% efficiency range, so their energy payback may be less than a year, depending on design details, such as frames and mounting.

Figure 2. Cumulative Net Clean Energy Payoff



PV systems can repay their energy investment in about 2 years. During its 28 remaining years of assumed operation, a PV system that meets half of an average household's electrical use would eliminate half a ton of sulfur dioxide and one-third of a ton of nitrogen-oxides pollution. The carbon-dioxide emissions avoided would offset the operation of two cars for those 28 years.

For an investment of 1 to 4 years-worth of energy output, rooftop PV systems can provide 30 years or more of clean energy. However, support structures for ground-mounted systems, which might be more advantageous for utility generation, would add about another year to the payback period.

How Much CO₂ and Pollution Does PV Avoid?

An average U.S. household uses 830 kWh of electricity per month. On average, producing 1,000 kWh of electricity with solar power reduces emissions by nearly 8 pounds of sulfur dioxide, 5 pounds of nitrogen oxides, and more than 1,400 pounds of carbon dioxide. During its projected 28 years of clean energy production, a rooftop system with a 2-year energy payback and meeting half of a household's electricity use would avoid conventional electrical-plant emissions of more than half a ton of sulfur dioxide, one-third a ton of nitrogen oxides, and 100 tons of carbon dioxide (see Figure 2). PV is clearly a wise energy investment that affords impressive environmental benefits.

References

- E. Alsema, "Energy Requirements and CO₂ Mitigation Potential of PV Systems," *Photovoltaics and the Environment*, Keystone, CO. Workshop Proceedings, July 1998.
- R. Dones; R. Frischknecht, "Life Cycle Assessment of Photovoltaic Systems: Results of Swiss Studies on Energy Chains." Appendix B-9. *Environmental Aspects of PV Power Systems*. Utrecht, The Netherlands: Utrecht University, Report Number 97072, 1997.
- K. Kato; A. Murata; K. Sakuta, "Energy Payback Time and Life-Cycle CO₂ Emission of Residential PV Power System with Silicon PV Module." Appendix B-8. *Environmental Aspects of PV Power Systems*. Utrecht, The Netherlands: Utrecht University, Report Number 97072, 1997.
- K. Knapp; T.L. Jester, "An Empirical Perspective on the Energy Payback Time for PV Modules." *Solar 2000 Conference*, Madison, WI, June 16–21, 2000.
- W. Palz.; H. Zibetta, "Energy Payback Time of Photovoltaic Modules." *International Journal of Solar Energy*. Volume 10, Number 3-4, pp. 211–216, 1991.

For more information on PV, please read the other *PV FAQs* in this series. You can order hard copies of the FAQs from the National Center for Photovoltaics, or visit our Web site at www.nrel.gov/ncpv.

A Strong Energy Portfolio for a Strong America

Energy efficiency and clean, renewable energy will mean a stronger economy, cleaner environment, and greater energy independence for America. Working with a wide array of state, community, industry, and university partners, the U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy invests in a diverse portfolio of energy technologies.

The National Renewable Energy Laboratory, a DOE national laboratory, produced *PV FAQs* for: U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy 1000 Independence Ave., S.W. Washington, D.C. 20585

DOE/GO-102004-1847
January 2004

Printed with a renewable-source ink on paper containing at least 50% wastepaper, including 10% postconsumer waste